

Meeting Conservation and Compliance Needs **Through Improved Greater Sage-Grouse Lek** Line-of-Sight Visibility Analysis Using **Highest-Hit LiDAR Data**

CLEARED

Background:

For Open Publication Summary of Approach:

Across the western United States, successful management of greater sage-grouse (Cenpeer 2, 2019 *urophasianus*) on Department of Defense lands involves balancing habitat protection and somerting befense human-centered land uses. Habitate protections ATION AND SECURITWISH with the analyses. At each study site, visibility was typically preclude development that is visible from sage-grouse breeding display grounds, known as leks, limiting the military's ability to operate in areas within and adjacent to sage-grouse habitat. Visibility is typically determined by first modeling a computerized representation of a vegetation-free landscape (a bareearth digital elevation model [DEM]). Then, locations of straight-line, unobstructed visibility (lines-of-sight) between the lek and the potential development are calculated across that landscape. However, vegetation height, size and location can be accurately measured and incorporated into the model using a laser scanning technique known as light detection and ranging (LiDAR). Incorporating vegetation obstructions into modeled lines-of-sight between leks and potential development would better characterize visibility, and potentially increase usable area while maintaining sage-grouse habitat quality.

Objective:

This study aimed to determine the impact on visibility in sage-grouse habitat from 1) varying DEM type; 2) varying simulated development structure height; and 3) varying the LiDAR data density from which the DEMs are derived. The hypotheses were that 1) points viewed across DEMs that include vegetation and other aboveground obstructions (highest-hit DEMs) would be less visible than points at the same locations viewed across bare-earth DEMs 2) shorter structures would be less visible than taller ones; and 3) points viewed across DEMs derived from higher density LiDAR data would be less visible than points at the same locations viewed across DEMs derived from lower density LiDAR data, due to more accurate obstruction (shrub) modelling.

Benefit:

This study's primary military mission benefit is a demonstration of how to enlarge developable area without negatively impacting sage-grouse habitat through increased visibility. This has potential to reduce natural-resources-related encroachment on training and testing areas.

Across southern Idaho, 3 study sites were chosen that had both documented sage-grouse leks and freely available high-density LiDAR data. Bare-earth and highest-hit DEMs created from the LiDAR datasets were used for calculated between 3 lek points and simulated structures of 2, 15, and 50 m, representing fences, electrical poles, and towers, respectively, at 100,000 random points across each type of DEM. Additionally, at one site, visibility calculations were compared between DEMs created from the LiDAR dataset before (11.73 points/m²) or after thinning to 4.31 points/m².

Accomplishments:

The results of this study supported all hypotheses, although individual results among sites varied. Specific findings include:

- Using a highest-hit DEM instead of a bare-earth DEM reduced visibility in all cases. Non-trivial reductions occurred in 5 of the 9 original LiDAR data scenarios, with 23 - 47% fewer points visible viewed across a highest-hit instead of a bare-earth DEM.
- Shorter structures were less visible in every case tested. 15 m structures were visible at 21 - 54% fewer points than 50 m structures; 2 m structures were visible at 53 - 79% fewer points than 50 m structures.
- Both data density scenarios reduced structure vsibility, although reductions from using a highest-hit DEM instead of a bare-earth DEM were a mean 50% greater when using the higher density LiDAR data.

Using a highest-hit DEM instead of a bare-earth DEM is most likely to reduce visibility and increase land available for military activities when: the topography is flat or highly varied; the planned development is less than or equal to 15 m; and the DEMs are developed from LiDAR data of at least 4 points/m², although denser data is likely to be more effective. These parameters can guide future implementations of this method.

Contact Information:

Jena Ferrarese, Spatial Analyst Center for Environmental Management of Military Lands Colorado State University 1490 Campus Delivery | Fort Collins, CO 80523 Phone: 970-491-0852 | Fax: 970-491-2713 Email: jena.ferrarese@colostate.edu



Enabling the Mission, Defending the Resources Department of Defense Natural Resources Program