FINAL OPERATIONAL RANGE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM PHASE I QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT REPORT TWIN FALLS TRAINING SITE TWIN FALLS COUNTY, IDAHO

MARCH 2008

Prepared for:

UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BALTIMORE DISTRICT P.O. Box 1715
Baltimore, Maryland 21203

and

UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL COMMAND

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010

Prepared by:

MALCOLM PIRNIE, INC. 300 E. Lombard Street Suite 610

Baltimore, Maryland 21202



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The United States (U.S.) Army is conducting qualitative assessments at operational ranges to meet the requirements of Department of Defense policy and to support the U.S. Army Sustainable Range Program. The operational range qualitative assessment (hereinafter referred to as Phase I Assessment) is the first phase of the U.S. Army Operational Range Assessment Program. This Phase I Assessment evaluates the operational range area at Twin Falls Training Site to assess whether further investigation is needed to determine if potential munitions constituents of concern (MCOC) are or could be migrating off-range at levels that may pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. In conducting the Phase I Assessment, MCOC sources, potential off-range migration pathways, and potential off-range human and ecological receptors are evaluated as appropriate.

Twin Falls Training Site occupies approximately 318 acres in south-central Idaho in Twin Falls County. Twin Falls Training Site is approximately eight miles south of Twin Falls, Idaho, and approximately two miles south of the Twin Falls Airport (Joslin Field – Magic Valley Regional Airport). The surrounding land uses include a reclaimed landfill to the west, agricultural land to the north and east, and communication towers to the south. The site is not currently utilized for military training and is undeveloped and covered with vegetation.

The Army Range Inventory Database-Geodatabase from 2006 lists two operational ranges at Twin Falls Training Site: a small arms range (known distance) that encompasses approximately five acres and a maneuver/training area (heavy forces) that encompasses 313 acres. Based on data collection and interviews with Idaho Army National Guard (ARNG) personnel, two additional ranges were identified at Twin Falls Training Site, a historical small arms range and a pistol range. The historical small arms range and the pistol range are both located within the footprint of the maneuver/training area. The historical small arms range and the pistol range were combined with the maneuver/training area for the source-pathway analysis and discussion in the Phase 1 Assessment. A review of available records and background data, as well as interviews with Idaho ARNG personnel, indicates that Twin Falls Training Site has limited training opportunities for units and has not been used since approximately 2000. There is no other than operational area or cantonment area at Twin Falls Training Site

The two operational ranges at Twin Falls Training Site are categorized as Unlikely.

Unlikely – Five-Year Review

Two ranges at Twin Falls Training Site are categorized as Unlikely, totaling approximately 318 acres. These ranges consist of a small arms range (known distance) and a maneuver/training area (heavy forces). Ranges where, based upon a review of readily available information, there is sufficient evidence to show that there are no known releases or source-receptor interactions on ranges that could present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment are categorized as Unlikely. Ranges categorized as Unlikely are required to be re-evaluated at least every five years. Re-evaluation may occur sooner if significant changes (e.g., change in range operations or site conditions, regulatory changes) occur that affect determinations made during this Phase I Assessment.

Table ES-1 summarizes the Phase I Assessment findings.

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

Table ES-1: Summary of Findings and Conclusions for Twin Falls Training Site

Category	Total Number of Ranges and Acreage	Source(s)	Pathway(s)	Human Receptors	Ecological Receptors	Conclusions and Rationale
Unlikely	Two operational ranges; 318 acres	Small arms firing	No pathways identified	Not evaluated (no pathway identified)		Re-evaluate during the five-year review. No pathways were identified.

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

amsl	Above Mean Sea Level		
ARID-GEO	Army Range Inventory Database-Geodatabase		
ARNG	Army National Guard		
bgs	Below Ground Surface		
CSM	Conceptual Site Model		
DoD	Department of Defense		
DODI	Department of Defense Instruction		
Е	Ecological receptors identified. (This refers to range grouping; pathway		
	designation always precedes E designation.)		
EMO	Environmental Management Office		
ESRP	Eastern Snake River Plain		
GIS	Geographic Information System		
GW	Groundwater pathway identified. (This refers to range grouping; M		
	designation always precedes GW designation.)		
Н	Human receptors identified. (This refers to range grouping; pathway		
	designation always precedes H designation.)		
IG	Idaho Group		
LS	Limited Source		
M	Munitions used. (This refers to range grouping; M designation always		
	precedes applicable pathway.)		
MCOC	Munitions Constituents of Concern		
mph	Miles per Hour		
NG	Nitroglycerin		
ORAP	Operational Range Assessment Program		
PU	Pathway unlikely or incomplete. (This refers to range grouping; M		
	designation always precedes PU designation.)		
RFMSS	Range Facility Management Support System		
SDZ	Surface Danger Zone		
SRG	Snake River Group		
SW	Surface water pathway identified. (This refers to range grouping; M		
	designation always precedes SW designation.)		
TFCC	Twin Falls Canal Company		
U.S.	United States		
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers		
USACHPPM			
USAEC	·		
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency		
USGS	United States Geological Survey		
°F	degrees Fahrenheit		

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

