Interagency Agreements, DSMOAs, ATSDR, and Cooperative Agreements

This appendix fulfills specific statutory reporting requirements and provides information on key Department of Defense (DoD) partnership programs with federal and state agencies that further the goals of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP).

Interagency Agreements

Federal facilities on the National Priorities List (NPL) are required to enter into an interagency agreement (IAG) or a federal facility agreement (FFA) within 180 days of completion of the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS), per the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act provisions codified as Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) §120(e)(2). The IAG outlines the responsibilities of the Military Component(s), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and, frequently, the state in the cleanup process. It allows EPA and states to assume regulatory oversight over environmental restoration activities at DoD installations. CERCLA §120(e)(5) requires that the number of IAGs and FFAs signed and in negotiation, the costs associated with IAGs, public comments, and IAG and FFA developments within the previous fiscal year be reported in the DERP Annual Report to Congress. The information provided in this appendix is for Fiscal Year 2001 (FY01).

The three IAGs signed in FY01 (Table D-1) bring the total number of DoD IAGs to 117. Table D-2 lists all installations that conducted negotiations or

Table D-1 IAG/FFA Status Summary

Component	IAGs/FFAs Signed in FY01	IAGs/FFAs in Negotiation in FY01
Army	0	4
Navy	3	5
Air Force	0	5
DLA	0	0
FUDS	0	0
Total	3	14

signed IAGs or FFAs in FY01 and provides additional information on IAGs and FFAs that are under negotiation or were not concluded within the required time frame.

Other information that must be reported according to CERCLA §120(e)(5) is provided as follows—

Cost estimates and budgetary proposals for IAGs: Table B-1 provides (1) information on environmental restoration costs that each DoD installation has incurred through FY01 and (2) estimates of each installation's costs from FY02 through completion.

Public comments regarding proposed IAGs: The public did not submit comments on IAGs to DoD during FY01.

Table D-2 Installations That Conducted Negotiations Toward, or Signed, IAGs or FFAs in FY01

Installation Name	IAG/FFA Status (signed or negotiated)	Description of Cases in Which Negotiations Are Under Way or No Agreement Was Reached Within 180 days of Completion of the RI/FS
ARMY		
Fort Eustis	Negotiations ongoing	The RI/FS is not complete, and a Record of Decision (ROD) has not been prepared. FFA negotiations between Army and EPA are considered ongoing until the FS is complete.
Fort Meade	Negotiations ongoing	A portion of Fort Meade (Tipton Army Airfield) was deleted from the NPL. The Fort Meade partnering team is working on a site management plan as well as a draft FFA.
Redstone Arsenal	Negotiations ongoing	A state-stipulated penalties issue needs to be resolved.
Soldier Systems Center	Negotiations ongoing	FFA negotiations began with EPA.
NAVY		
Alameda Naval Air Station	Signed	Signed 7/5/01
Cherry Point Marine Corps Base	Negotiations ongoing	FFA signature did not occur due to disputed language in the agreement.
Concord Naval Weapons Station	Signed	Signed 6/12/01
Indian Head Naval Surface Warfare Center	Signed	FFA negotiated and signed in FY01.
Mechanicsburg Naval Inventory Control Point	Negotiations ongoing	Not signed. Protracted negotiations with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection have resulted in consensus on most of the language in the agreement. Disagreement remains concerning the standard language on which documents should be considered primary and secondary documents.
Parris Island Marine Corps Recruit Depot	Negotiations ongoing	Negotiations in progress between key participants.
Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (Jackson Park Housing Complex)	Negotiations ongoing	Not signed due to continuing negotiations with the Washington State Department of Ecology regarding funding language.
Whiting Field Naval Air Station	Negotiations ongoing	Signing delayed by issues related to post-ROD authority and land use controls.

Table D-2
Installations That Conducted Negotiations Toward, or Signed, IAGs or FFAs in FY01

Installation Name	IAG/FFA Status (signed or negotiated)	Description of Cases in Which Negotiations Are Under Way or No Agreement Was Reached Within 180 days of Completion of the RI/FS
AIR FORCE		
Air Force Plant 44	Negotiations ongoing	In FY01, EPA submitted revised draft of FFA.
Hanscom Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	Negotiations at the local level have been completed, but two outstanding issues remain that have been elevated to EPA and the Air Force for resolution. These issues concern the Reservation of Rights language and whether or not the Remedial Action Completed Report is to be a primary document.
Langley Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	Air Force completed the RI/FS in September 1999. There is a pending legal agreement between EPA and DoD, however, concerning post-ROD primary documents.
McGuire Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	The RI/FS is still under way.
Tyndall Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	The timelines and appendices for the FFA are under discussion with the regulators. The FFA is projected to be signed in FY02.

D-3 Appendix D =

DSMOAs and Cooperative Agreements

As described on page 10 of this report, the Defense and State Memorandum of Agreement (DSMOA) program reimburses states for reviewing environmental restoration efforts at DoD active or closing installations and at formerly used defense sites. In order for a state or territory to receive DSMOA funding, it must first sign a cooperative agreement (CA) with DoD detailing a 2-year work plan and planned projects for the following 4 years, including cost estimates.

As of September 30, 2001, DoD had signed 51 DSMOAs with 46 states, 4 territories, and the District of Columbia. Two of these four territories have not negotiated CAs with DoD. Georgia signed the one new CA reached during FY01. Oklahoma, American Samoa, and Puerto Rico have not filed CAs.

A list of the states and territories participating in the DSMOA program, including their status as of September 30, 2001, is presented in Table D-3 of this appendix.

During FY01, the Military Components provided almost \$23.1 million in DSMOA reimbursements to states. The distribution of DSMOA funding by state for FY01 is provided on page 11 of this report.

Technology Cooperative Agreements

As required by the FY98 National Defense Authorization Act, DoD must report the following information:

- ☐ Number of technology CAs
- ☐ Partners in each such agreement
- Description of the nature of the technology involved in the agreement

DoD did not participate in any technology CAs in FY01.

Table D-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2001

State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number
Alabama	05/29/90	01/27/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jim Grassiano	(334) 271-7738
Alaska	06/04/90	04/26/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Jennifer Roberts	(907) 269-7553
American Samoa	07/10/91	NA	NA	Sheila Wiegman	(684) 633-2304
Arizona	03/13/91	01/20/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Moses Olade	(602) 207-4245
Arkansas	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
California	05/31/90	06/09/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	CurvinLee	(916) 323-3506
Colorado	10/18/93	02/08/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jeff Edson	(303) 692-3388
Connecticut	04/23/98	02/06/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Mark Lewis	(860) 424-3768
Delaware	02/26/90	06/13/00	06/01/00-06/30/02	Jim Poling	(302) 395-2600
District of Columbia	05/09/94	06/01/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Jim Sweeney	(202) 535-2289
Florida	06/14/90	04/17/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Eric Nuzie	(850) 921-9999
Georgia	05/08/90	04/17/01	07/01/01 - 06/30/02	Jim Ussery	(404) 656-2833
Guam	11/27/91	03/10/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Conchita Taitano	(671) 475-1609
Hawaii	09/10/91	02/07/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Michael Miyasaka	a (808) 586-4653
Idaho	02/06/91	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Dean Nygard	(208) 373-0285
Illinois	12/17/92	03/21/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Clarence Smith	(217) 524-1655

D-5

Table D-3 States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program: Agreement Status as of September 30, 2001

State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number
Indiana	04/17/91	06/02/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Rex Osborn	(317) 234-0351
lowa	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kansas	08/06/92	01/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Randy Carlson	(785) 296-1682
Kentucky	06/06/91	01/28/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Nini Hughes	(502) 564-2225
Louisiana	11/13/91	06/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Sandra Greenwich	n (225) 765-0437
Maine	06/24/91	01/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Denise Messier	(207) 287-4851
Mariana Islands	10/18/91	06/30/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	David Chargualaf	(670) 664-8500
Maryland	11/26/90	02/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	John Fairbank	(410) 631-3475
Massachusetts	10/18/91	03/13/00	05/01/00 - 06/30/02	Anne Malewicz	(617) 292-5659
Michigan	08/27/92	02/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	George Jackson	(517) 335-0223
Minnesota	06/28/91	04/06/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Gary Krueger	(651) 296-6139
Mississippi	10/13/89	02/14/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Philip Weathersby	y (601) 961-5302
Missouri	05/22/91	02/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Bob Geller	(573) 751-3907
Montana	04/17/98	04/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jeffrey Dominiski	(406) 444-1438
Nebraska	09/29/92	03/10/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Mike Felix	(402) 471-3388
Nevada	09/12/90	01/24/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Paul Liebendorfer	(775) 687-4670

Table D-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2001

State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number
New Hampshire	01/22/93	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Richard Pease	(603) 271-3649
New Jersey	04/03/92	03/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Dhruva Kanjarpane	(609) 633-1455
New Mexico	06/12/90	02/03/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Julie Jacobs	(505) 827-2776
New York	06/06/91	01/03/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	James Quinn	(518) 402-9697
North Carolina	06/06/91	02/08/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Grover Nicholson	(919) 733-2801
North Dakota	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ohio	10/06/92	02/27/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Bonnie Buthker	(937) 285-6469
Oklahoma	12/28/92	01/11/01	07/01/00-06/30/02	Hal Cantwell	(405) 702-5139
Oregon	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Pennsylvania	04/14/94	05/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Gary Moulder	(717) 787-7566
Puerto Rico	02/04/91	NA	NA	Miguel Maldonado	(787) 766-0368
Rhode Island	09/26/91	06/05/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Richard Gottlieb	(401)222-2797
South Carolina	05/08/91	02/18/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Kathy Williams	(803) 896-4140
South Dakota	10/25/91	01/19/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	MarkLawrensen	(605) 773-5868
Tennessee	06/02/92	02/11/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Suzanne Wilkes	(615) 532-0925
Texas	04/08/91	06/01/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Allan Posnick	(512) 239-2332

D-7 ______ Appendix D =

Table D-3 States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program: Agreement Status as of September 30, 2001

State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number	
Utah	11/11/98	05/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Martin Gray	(801) 538-6819	
Vermont	06/22/90	04/25/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Chuck Schwer	(802) 241-3876	
Virgin Islands	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Virginia	08/31/90	04/07/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Erica Dameron	(804) 698-4201	
Washington	02/03/94	01/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jan Swanberg	(360) 407-7213	
West Virginia	05/24/90	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Tom Bass	(304) 558-2745	
Wisconsin	07/22/92	09/15/00	07/01/00 - 03/31/02	Richard Kalnicky	(608) 267-7554	
Wyoming	06/27/90	02/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Kevin Frederick	(307)777-5985	
Total Possible DSM0	e DSMOAs = 56 (50 states; 5 territories; 1 district)					
Total Signed DSMO	4s = 51 (46)	states; 4 territories; 1	district)			
Total Signed CAs	= 49 (46 :	states; 2 territories; 1	district)			

NA means not applicable, or states or territories without a DSMOA or a CA signed as of September 30, 2001.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), an agency of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, conducts public health assessments (PHAs) at DoD and non-DoD sites that are on or proposed for the NPL or are the subject of citizen petition. The PHA evaluates hazardous substance releases, community health concerns, and local health outcome data. The assessment has three parts: an assessment of past, present, and future impacts on public health; the development of health advisories and recommendations; and the identification of studies or actions needed (e.g., disease registries, epidemiological studies, health surveillance programs, or health education programs) to evaluate, mitigate, or prevent adverse human health effects.

Through the end of FY01, ATSDR had completed 127 PHAs for DoD installations and properties. Eight PHAs are currently undergoing public comment, and 8 are undergoing initial review. ATSDR published the following PHAs in FY01 (presented by component in figure D-4)—

- 8 initial release documents
- ☐ 14 public comment releases
- ☐ 11 final release documents
- 2 update releases.

The initial release document provides DoD, state, and local health departments and federal regulatory agencies with an opportunity to guarantee that the most accurate information about a site is available to ATSDR.

The public comment release provides a formal means for the community to make additional comments and raise its concerns, thus increasing stakeholder involvement. The final release document responds to comments by DoD, the regulatory agencies, public health departments, and the community.

As additional environmental information becomes available, final release public health assessments are updated through health consultations, site reviews and updates, or updated public health assessments, depending on the amount of new environmental information available.

Figure D-4 summarizes the PHAs completed at DoD installations and properties in FY01.

Figure D-4
Summary of the Public Health Assessments Completed at DoD Installations in FY01

Stage of Assessment	Army	Navy	Air Force	DLA	FUDS	Total
Initial Release Document	1	4	3	0	0	8
Public Comment Release	3	5	6	0	0	14
Final Release Document	4	2	5	0	0	11
Update Releases	0	1	0	1	0	2
All Stages, Total Number	8	12	14	1	0	35