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Introduction

U.S. military installations are responsible for archaeological artifact collections and accompanying documentation (hereafter referred to as archaeological collections) stored in many different institutions in every state. The project area covered in this report consists of military installations in the states of Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah, and the District of Columbia. Military installations located in the states of California, Delaware, Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming were investigated and reported in separate curation-needs assessment reports, which are outlined in the Executive Summary. The remaining states, all bordering or east of the Mississippi River, will be addressed in the next report to the Legacy Resource Management Program office.

The responsibility for archaeological collections is mandated through numerous legislative enactments, including the Antiquities Act of 1906 (16 U.S.C. 431-433), the Historic Sites Act of 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461-467), the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 469-469c), the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470), and the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 (16 U.S.C. 470aa-470mm). Executive Order 11593 (U.S. Code 1971) and amendments to the National Historic Preservation Act in 1980 provide additional protection for these resources. The implementing regulation for securing the preservation of archaeological collections is 36 CFR Part 79, Curation of Federally-Owned and Administered Archeological Collections. Additionally, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the only federal agency that possesses strict standards for curation of archaeological materials. ER 1130-2-540, which was implemented in November 1996, serves as a standard for long-term Corps archaeological curation.

The Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C.3001 et seq., NAGPRA) was enacted in 1991 to identify federal holdings of Native American human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony. In addition, NAGPRA mandates that federal agencies reach agreements with Native American Tribes, and Native Alaskan and Hawaiian groups, on the repatriation or disposition of these remains and objects. All federal agencies were required to meet mandated deadlines for compliance with NAGPRA by November 16, 1993, when a summary of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony was to be completed. An inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects was to be completed by November 15, 1995.

As the first step in complying with 36 CFR Part 79 and NAGPRA, the Legacy Resource Management Program began providing funds to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in 1992 for the purpose of inventorizing archaeological collections recovered from active DoD installations across the nation. Funding was provided in fiscal years 1992 and 1993 for the complete investigation of installations in California, Oregon and Washington (Trimble and Pulliam 1997,1999), and funding for fiscal year 1994 called for
the complete investigation for installations in Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Virginia, and Wyoming (Wissehr, et al. 1999). Fiscal year 1995 funds were initially awarded to the St. Louis District for the purpose of conducting curation assessments in the states of Louisiana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Texas. However, in fiscal year 1996 these funds were applied to a new DoD curation assessment project, at the direction of DoD. Reasons for this are twofold: (1) the new DoD project anticipated a much larger geographical study area and (2) archaeological collections recovered from active military installations in the states of Delaware, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota were assessed, in fiscal years 1995 and 1996 by funds provided by the U.S. Air Force’s Air Combat Command (Drew 1996, Marino 1997). The executive summary of this report outlines the curation assessment coverage of active military installations in the states from a historical perspective.

As part of the DoD curation strategy and at the request of DoD, the St. Louis District initiated curation assessments for active military installations in the following states: Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah, and the District of Columbia. In addition, fiscal year 1995 funds were provided to perform assessments of potential curation partners in all western states and the mid-Atlantic states. The partnership program is outlined in a separate report for the DoD (U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis 1999). Fiscal year 1996 funds were subsequently provided to perform curation assessments and partnership assessments in the remaining eastern states, which will be addressed in future reports.

As part of this curation assessment project, the DoD would receive a general inventory of collections, providing a firm estimation of the magnitude of curation needs. In addition, collections managers at storage facilities and cultural resource managers at installations would receive a plan addressing their specific curation needs.

The Scope of Work outlines the following services:

1. Provide professional and technical services to the Department of Defense for the inspection and inventory of archaeological collections in selected repositories.

2. Provide a final report detailing the results of the inspection and evaluation, and addressing the following items.
   a. Physical description of all repository facilities.
   b. Physical description of all recovered artifact collections.
   c. Physical description of all associated documentation collections.
   d. Recommendations for compliance with the requirements of 36 CFR Part 79.

3. Provide a master bibliography of reports associated with the military collections.

**Methods**

Eighty six facilities were evaluated in the course of the curation-needs assessment. Among the facilities were 27 archaeological research firms, 25 museums (both private and public), 13 military installations, 12 university laboratories/curation facilities, and nine government agencies. The following schedule outlines the facilities visited and the dates of visit. Some facilities that were visited were not included in the report for reasons outlined below.

**Alaska**

- Bureau of Land Management, Fairbanks District May 22, 1997
- Delta Chamber of Commerce May 29, 1997
- Northern Land Use Research May 23, 1997
- Office of History and Archaeology (no chapter—site files search only) July 8–19, 1996
- University of Alaska Museum May 20–23, 1997

**Arizona**

- Archaeological Research Services April 23, 1997
- Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (no chapter—site files search only) June 17–18, 1996
- Arizona State Museum June 10–14, 1996; Feb. 4–7, 1997
- Arizona State University April 24, 1997
- Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix District April 29, 1997
- Bureau of Land Management, Yuma District Dec. 11, 1997
Fort Huachuca  
Jan. 28-Feb. 3, 1997; May 1, 1997

Gutierrez-Palmenberg, Inc.  
(see Yuma Proving Ground)  
Dec. 12, 1996

Luke Air Force Base  
April 22-23, 1997

Mesa Southwest Museum  
(no chapter—collections found not to belong to DoD)  
April 28, 1997

Museum of Northern Arizona  
April 21, 1997

Northland Research  
Dec. 9, 1996

Statistical Research  
April 30, 1997

SWCA  
Dec. 10, 1996

Williams Air Force Base  
April 25, 1997

Yuma Proving Ground  
Dec. 12, 1996

California

KEA Environmental  
Feb. 20, 1997

Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County  
Feb. 11-12, 1997

San Diego Museum of Man  
Feb. 12-13, 1997

Tetra Tech  
Feb. 13-14, 1997

U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles  
Feb. 12, 1997

U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento  
May 20, 1997

Colorado

Colorado Department of Transportation  
Nov. 13, 1996

Colorado Historical Society, Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (no chapter—site files search only)  
May 13-24, 1996; June 24-26, 1996

Goodson and Associates (no chapter—no collections found)  
Nov. 15, 1996

IT Corporation (see University of Denver Museum)  
Feb. 27, 1997

National Park Service, Rocky Mountain Regional Office (no chapter—collections assessed under Technical Report No. IV)  
Feb. 28, 1997

Peterson Air Force Base  
Feb. 25, 1997

Powers Elevation Company  
Nov. 14, 1996

University of Colorado at Colorado Springs  
Nov. 19, 1996

University of Colorado Museum  
Nov. 18, 1996

University of Denver Museum  
Feb. 27, 1997

Georgia

New South Associates  
May 6-7, 1997

Hawaii

Archaeological Consultants of the Pacific  
March 19, 1997

Bernice P. Bishop Museum  
July 23-25, 1996; March 25, 27, 1997

U.S. Army Central Identification Laboratory (no chapter—CILHI not a repository)  
March 17, 1997

Cultural Surveys Hawaii  
March 18, 1997

Department of Land and Natural Resources (no chapter—site files search only)  
July 15-22, 1996; March 21, 1997

Garcia and Associates  
March 20, 1997

International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc.  
March 18, 26, 1997

Ogden Environmental and Energy Services  
March 25, 1997

Paul H. Rosendahl, Inc.  
March 18-20, 1997

Schofield Barracks, U.S. Army Garrison (see U.S. Army Engineer District, Pacific Ocean Division)  
March 21, 24, 1997

Scientific Consultants Services  
March 21, 1997

U.S. Army Engineer District, Pacific Ocean Division  
March 21, 24, 1997

University of Hawaii at Hilo  
March 19, 1997

Kansas

Frontier Army Museum, Fort Leavenworth  
Aug. 20-21, 1996

Kansas Historical Museum, Center for Archaeological Research (site files search and assessment)  
May 13-16, 1996; Aug. 22-23, 1996

University of Kansas Museum  

Louisiana

Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center  
Oct. 28-Nov. 8, 1996

Gulf South Research Corporation  
Dec. 4, 1996

Louisiana Department of Culture, Recreation, and Tourism (no chapter—site files search only)  
May 16-23, 1996

Northwestern State University of Louisiana  
Dec. 3, 1996
Maryland
U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore Sept. 9, 1996

Missouri
Kansas City Museum Aug. 12–13, 1996

Nevada
Harry Reid Center, University of Nevada at Las Vegas April 25, 1997
Nevada State Museum March 24–25, 1997

New Mexico
Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University Sept. 16, 1996
Human Systems Research, Las Cruces Sept. 11–12, 1996
Human Systems Research, Tularosa Sept. 18–19, 1996
Kirtland Air Force Base Oct. 22, 1996
Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture and Archaeological Records Management Section May 14–23, 1996; Dec. 3–4, 1996; April 28–29, 1997
Maxwell Museum of Anthropology, University of New Mexico Oct. 28–29, 1996
New Mexico State University Museum Sept. 13, 1996
Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico Oct. 30, 1996
Public Service Company, New Mexico Oct. 23, 1996
Quivira Research Center Oct. 23, 1996
School of American Research (no chapter—collections consist of curriculum reports only) Dec. 6, 1996
U.S. Army Engineer District, Albuquerque Oct. 21, 1996
White Sands Missile Range Sept. 17, 1996

North Carolina
Garrow and Associates Nov. 12, 1996

Oklahoma
Fort Sill March 18, 1997

Maryland
Oklahoma Archaeological Survey (no chapter—site files search only) June 10–11, 1996
Oklahoma Museum of Natural History (no chapter, no assessment due to scheduling problems) March 19, 1997

Texas
Centennial Museum, University of Texas at El Paso Nov. 18–21, 1996
Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas at San Antonio Nov. 28–30, 1996
Fort Bliss Mar. 24–Apr. 2, 1997
Fort Hood Jan. 7–14, 1997
Fort Sam Houston Oct. 24, 1996
Museum of Texas Tech University March 24, 1997
Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory (site files search and assessment) July 8–12, 1996; July 15–16, 1996; Oct. 23, 1996
Texas Historical Commission (no chapter—site files search only) July 17–19, 1996
Wilderness Park Museum Apr. 28–May 1, 1997

Utah
Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City District Oct. 10, 1996
Dames and Moore Jan. 17, 1997
Dugway Proving Ground Jan. 14, 1997
Fort Douglas Military Museum Jan. 16, 1997
Hill Air Force Base Oct. 15, 1996
Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University Oct. 10, 1996
Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants Jan. 15, 1997
Utah Geological Survey Oct. 8, 1996
Utah Museum of Natural History Oct. 9, 1996
Weber State University Oct. 15–16, 1996

Virginia
Prior to visiting the aforementioned facilities, site file searches were conducted at the state historic preservation offices and/or site file facilities for Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah and the District of Columbia. In addition to conducting fieldwork, much of the project was conducted in house. This work consisted of pre-fieldwork, fieldwork planning, and report generation. The following schedule outlines the course of activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Fieldwork</td>
<td>April 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Site File Visits</td>
<td>May –October 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork Planning</td>
<td>August 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork</td>
<td>September 1996–May 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pre-Fieldwork Investigation**

Assessment of each facility's compliance with 36 CFR Part 79 included the following items.

1. A (National Park Service) National Archeological Database and general records search were performed for each installation.

2. Topographic maps of each installation were acquired for the purpose of establishing base boundaries for the site file searches.

3. Site file searches were conducted at respective state archaeology and historic preservation offices to determine the sites located within installation boundaries and to determine where collections might be located.

4. During site file searches a database was compiled of all fieldwork reports deposited at the state repositories.

5. All institutions and personnel likely to be knowledgeable about the collections were contacted by telephone.

6. A list was compiled of all agencies, firms, and institutions associated with the recovery or curation of materials belonging to the U.S. Military.

7. Agencies, firms, and institutions were contacted by telephone for information regarding the curation of military collections. From these phone conversations evolved the list of repositories visited for the project.

**Field Inspection and Assessments of Repositories and Collections**

1. A survey questionnaire was completed for every facility involved with the curation of military archaeological collections. The questionnaires solicit information on repositories, artifact collections, and associated documentation.

2. A building evaluation facilitated the determination of whether or not the facility approached compliance with the requirements for repositories specified in 36 CFR Part 79. Forms address topics such as structural adequacy, space utilization, environmental controls, security, fire detection and suppression, pest management, and utilities. Information was gathered both by observation and through discussion with collections and facilities managers.

3. An examination of all documentation was conducted to determine the presence of the different documentation types, the amount present, and its condition. Types of documentation include project and site reports, administrative files, field records, curation records, and photographic records. For each type of document the length (in linear feet), the physical condition of the containers and the records, and the overall condition of the storage environment was collected. The determination of whether or not the facility is in compliance with the archives management requirements specified in 36 CFR Part 79 is based on this information.
4. Artifact collections were examined and evaluated as to their condition and compliance with 36 CFR Part 79. Assessments included examination of (1) the condition of the primary and secondary containers, (2) the degree of container labeling, (3) the extent of laboratory processing, (4) the material classes included in each collection, and (5) the condition of and approximate minimum number of individuals of any human skeletal remains. Primary containers are generally acidic or acid-free cardboard boxes that contain artifacts. Secondary containers are those included within the primary container, and they are composed of a wider range of materials. Secondary containers may include, but are not limited to, acidic paper bags, plastic sandwich bags, archival or non-archival plastic zip-lock bags, glass jars, film vials, aluminum foil, newspaper, packing materials, or small acidic or acid-free cardboard boxes.

**NAGPRA-Compliance Assessment**

To satisfy the requirements for Section 5 NAGPRA, the following tasks must be performed at each repository holding military collections.

1. Conduct a records search of the collections to identify the accession and catalog numbers and to gather all written information on the NAGPRA Section 5 material.

2. Perform a physical inspection of storage containers to identify human skeletal remains, associated and unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony.

3. Conduct an analysis of the human skeletal remains, which includes (1) a detailed skeletal inventory listing elements present, their completeness, and condition; (2) measurements of long bones and crania sufficient to provide basic description of physical characteristics, stature, and morphology of the skeletal remains; (3) estimates of age and gender; and (4) observations of any pathological conditions, cultural modifications, and evidence of life activities and trauma that might provide evidence of cultural affiliation of the remains or the context from which they were recovered.

4. Produce summary and inventory reports for each repository.

**Report Preparation**

1. A written report is required by DoD that details the results of the curation-needs assessment. General information included in the report are estimates of the sizes of collections including condition statements, and descriptions of the facilities.

2. Recommendations are provided for the rehabilitation of the facilities and/or the collections according to the federal standards established in 36 CFR Part 79.

**Chapter Synopsis**

Preceding Chapter 1 is an executive summary of the project, and Chapter 75 outlines the overall findings of the project and lists references cited in this report. Chapters 2–74 provide a detailed examination of the state of archaeological collections under the jurisdiction of individual military installations. Each chapter contains an executive summary for each installation, a detailed examination of any on-post repository or repositories and the collections, recommendations for the improved care of the collections, and a bibliography of archaeological work conducted on the installation.

Chapters 77-145 in Volume 2 consist of nonmilitary repository summaries, referenced in the installation chapters as applicable. Volume 1, Appendix 1 lists references for military installations in the project area for which no collections were identified.

A total of 86 facilities (museums, universities, state agencies, county agencies, federal agencies, private societies, and firms) was assessed for the project. Collections are stored in a total of 107 repositories within the 86 facilities. Throughout the report, assessment emphasis was placed on the 33 facilities that are considered permanent repositories. Detailed recommendations for the care of federal collections are provided at the end of each permanent repository chapter. For nonpermanent repositories, recommendations are less detailed.
Given the current state of DoD archaeological collections, all materials and documentation stored at facilities without the proper staff, infrastructure, or storage requirements should be deposited at permanent repositories. These facilities should meet or exceed the standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79.
Naval Air Station Adak

Adak, Alaska

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 1.0 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains in collections; no associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.1 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.1 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 0.9 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.9 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: A minimum of one individual from Adak Naval Air Station was poorly preserved and is in poor condition at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2).

Linear Feet of Records: None

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Established in 1942 by the U.S. Navy as a Naval Operating Base on Adak Island in the Aleutians, the installation provides a base for ships and aircraft operations in the North Pacific. From Adak the P-3 Orion aircraft flies antisubmarine patrols, ice patrols, search missions, and routine surveillance flights. The harbor at Sweeper Cove provides full services for U.S. ships, and the tenant Naval Security Group Activity’s mission is in fleet communications. Oceanographic research is another mission activity of the naval facility. As of July 1994, the installation became a Naval Air Station and was to lose antisubmarine operations (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for NAS Adak. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at NAS Adak

Bank, Theodore P.

Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services

Frohlich, B. and D. Kopjanski

Reynolds, Georgeanne
Clear Air Force Station

Clear, Alaska

### Collections Summary

**On Post:** None  
**Off Post:** 0.8 linear feet at Northern Land Use Research (Chapter 111, Volume 2)  
**Compliance Status:** Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.  
**Status of Curation Funding:** There is no funding for curation activities.

**Collections Total:** No archaeological materials or human skeletal remains; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** None

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

**Linear Feet of Records:** 0.8 linear feet (9.0 linear inches)

In 1959, two Ballistic Missile Early Warning Systems (BMEWS) were constructed, one stationed in Clear, Alaska, and a second in Thule, Greenland, to provide for more adequate warning of ballistic missiles. Both stations had a 3000-mile range and could detect a Soviet intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) about five minutes after launch. All missile warning systems were tied into North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) headquarters at Colorado Springs, Colorado. Presently, the BMEWS is an active advanced warning radar facility (Denfeld et al. 1994)

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Clear AFS. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.

### Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Clear AFS

Bowers, Peter M., Andrew S. Higgs, Owen K. Mason, Charles W. Smythe, and Catherine M. Williams  
Northern Land Use Research, Fairbanks, Alaska.

Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services

Goebel, Ted, and Nancy Bigelow

Goebel, Ted, Nancy Bigelow, and W. Roger Powers

Reynolds, Georgeanne
Collections Summary

Collections Total: 6.9 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 0.9 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 6.6 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 6.6 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: A minimum of eight individuals from Eareckson Air Force Station were fairly well preserved and in fair condition.

Linear Feet of Records: 0.9 linear feet (11.25 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.9 linear feet (11.25 linear inches) at University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for modern archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Formerly Shemya AFB, named after the island it occupied in the Aleutians, Eareckson AFS was established in May 1943. The installation's primary service was as a bomber base during World War II. The reservation accommodated a cryptological unit from June 1956–April 1975. Shemya Air Force Base did not receive primary installation status until December 1970. In 1993, it was renamed after Colonel William O. Eareckson (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995; Mueller 1989).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Eareckson Air Force Station. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Eareckson AFS

Corbett, Debra Garland

Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services

Reynolds, Georgeanne L.

Established in December 1943, the installation was previously named Mile 26 Satellite Field and Mile 26 Field before being named Eielson AFB. It was given its current name in 1948 after the famed arctic pioneer, National Guard, and Alaskan bush pilot Colonel Carl Benjamin Eielson. The installation’s operational facilities were used little during World War II. Until 1961, Eielson AFB was a satellite installation of Ladd Field, which was then occupied by the Army and renamed Fort Wainwright. The Strategic Air Command at Eielson AFB was supported by the 5010th Air Base Wing and Alaskan Air Command. In 1981, the 343rd Composite Wing was activated as a host and in 1984 was redesignated as the 343rd Tactical Fighter Wing. It was reorganized in 1991 as the 343rd Wing. The 354th Fighter Wing from Myrtle Beach AFB became host on the installation in 1993. Current base mission activities include training and equipping tactical air support and close air support, as well as forward air control for Army ground forces in Alaska (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995; Mueller 1989).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Eielson AFB. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.
Assessment

Date of Visit: May 30, 1997

Point of Contact: Tom Slater (for Gerry Von Rueden)

Eielson AFB is a 19,790-acre installation about 25 miles southeast of Fairbanks in the interior of Alaska. The Natural/Cultural Resources Branch manages forested land, lakes, ponds, and freshwater streams. The base has an enormous amount of land desirable for fishing, trapping, hunting, hiking, bird watching, canoeing, camping, berry and mushroom picking, wildlife observation, cross-country and downhill skiing, archery, skeet, rifle and pistol shooting, and picnicking.

The Eielson AFB Natural Resources building serves as an office and a public information center for individuals wishing to take advantage of the many opportunities for outdoor activities. Completed in 1989, the building (also known as Building 2160) is the only structure built by the Air Force.

Structural Adequacy

The single-story building has a crawl space, a brick foundation, and an all wood exterior with cedar siding and cedar shingles on the roof (Figure 1). The building encompasses 2244 ft² and is structurally solid, with no cracks or leaks. Interior space contains the offices of the Natural/Cultural Resources Branch, serves as an information center for outdoor recreation, and has an exhibit area displaying mounted animal specimens from Alaska. The collections storage area is located in the garage area of the building, which has a concrete floor. The interior walls are plasterboard, and the ceiling is cedar panel. The garage measures 717 ft².

Environmental Controls

Wood framed windows on the northern and southern walls are covered with blinds. The garage has unfiltered fluorescent lighting, and some natural light comes from one window in the garage door. The rest of the facility has incandescent and natural light. Temperature is controlled with fuel oil forced-air heat or occasionally a wood stove; however, there is no air conditioning system or humidity control. The targeted temperature is 70°F. Additional services/utilities include rest rooms, telephones, and electricity. There are no dust filters. The building is regularly maintained by the staff and the Civil Engineer Squadron, who clean the building interior daily. The garage is cleaned on an as-needed basis.

Pest Management

No precautions are taken against insects or rodents. Staff claim that pest infestation is unusual for their location in Alaska. The team saw no evidence of pest problem during the assessment.

Security

Security measures for the repository include deadbolt locks on exterior doors, window locks, and a 24-hour military police patrol.

Fire Detection and Suppression

The building has heat sensors and manual fire alarms wired into the fire department. There are extinguishers for fire suppression next to most doors.

Artifact Storage

No archaeological materials have been collected from Eielson Air Force Base.

Figure 1. View of the exterior of the Natural Resources building at Eielson Air Force Base.
Human Skeletal Remains
No human remains are housed at Eielson Air Force Base.

Records Storage
Archaeological records which are stored in a wood cabinet in the garage, are in good condition. Additional items stored in the room include a snow blower, a tractor, and tools. Supplies and equipment encompass approximately seventy-five percent of the storage area.

Report Records
One report measuring 1.5 inches and entitled , is housed in this location.

Photographic Records
Photographic records totaling 2.75 inches include a vinyl binder containing color prints, black-and-white prints, negatives, slides, and a photolog. The negatives and slides are in archival sleeves and labeled with the installation, project, roll number, and, occasionally, the year. Most are labeled directly in marker; however, some slides are typed. Photographs, which are also in archival sleeves, are labeled directly in marker with roll number, print number, and sometimes the installation. Sticky tags mark the first page of each roll for easy access.

Collections-Management Standards
The repository is not a permanent curation facility; therefore, collections management standards were not assessed.

Curation Personnel
Personnel include Gerry Von Rueden, chief, Natural/Cultural Resources and Tom Slater and Jim Schemanski, Natural Resources technicians. Their primary responsibilities are land-management tasks such as forest management, waste disposal and borrow pit areas, outdoor recreation, and fish and wildlife management. The staff also helps with obtaining licenses and permits for sport fishing, hunting, and trapping.

Curation Financing
Curation has not been financed.

Access to Collections
Collections can be accessed through one of the Natural Resources staff members.

Future Plans
No future plans regarding curation have been determined.

Comments
1. The building is structurally sound.
2. Environmental controls are inadequate, with only a wood-stove or forced-air heat.
3. No pest-management system has been established.
4. Security measures currently include a 24-hour patrol and locks for all doors and windows.
5. Fire suppression is inadequate, with only hand held fire extinguishers.

Recommendations
1. Transfer archaeological collections to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Coordinate with applicable installations to establish agreements for the permanent disposition of the collections.
2. Produce duplicate copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in a separate, secure location. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, and paper clips, or other contaminants.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Eielson AFB

Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services.

Gerlach, S. Craig, Stacie J. McIntosh, Peter M. Bowers, and Owen K. Mason

Mason, Owen, Peter Bowers, and S. Craig Gerlach

Reynolds, Georgeanne

Staley, David P.
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Fort Greely

Fort Greely, Alaska

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 45.1 ft³ of archaeological material; 1.0 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 45.1 ft³ plus oversized archaeological materials

On Post: None

Off Post: 19.5 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2) and 25.6+ ft³ at the Delta Chamber of Commerce (Chapter 91, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 1.0 linear feet (11.5 linear inches)

On Post: None

Off Post: 4.75 linear inches at the Bureau of Land Management-Northern District Office (Chapter 82, Volume 2) and 6.75 linear inches at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

An Army Air Force Base was established in June 1942 at the present location of Fort Greely. Station 17, Alaskan Wing, Air Transport Command was established during World War II and was formed under the Lend-Lease program as a transfer site for American and Russian pilots. In 1945, the reservation was inactive but was designated in 1947 as the first postwar cold weather maneuver site, Exercise Yukon. Redesignated an Army post in 1948, the installation was named U.S. Troops, Big Delta, after the Arctic Training Center. Originally this center consisted of the Army Arctic Indoctrination School, Army Training Company, and Test and Development Section. In 1952, the site was renamed the Army Arctic Center, and the Army Chemical Corps-Arctic Test Team was established. In 1955 the installation was named Fort Greely, for the arctic explorer and founder of Alaska Communications System, Major General Adolphus Washington Greely. The Chemical Corps-Arctic Test Team was redesignated in 1956 to Class II activity and renamed Army Chemical Corps-Arctic Test Activity. In this year the Arctic Test Group was renamed Arctic Test Board and was renamed again in 1964 to Arctic Test Center. The Arctic Indoctrination School became the Army Cold Weather and Mountain School, which in 1963 became the Northern Warfare Training Center. Fort Greely became part of the 172nd Infantry Brigade in 1974. With the activation of the 6th Infantry Division (Light) and the Army Garrison, Alaska, in 1986 Fort Greely became one of the

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Fort Greely. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at three repositories in Alaska.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Greely

Bacon, Glenn H.

Bacon, Glenn H., and Charles Holmes

Bacon, Glenn H., James A. Ketz, and Charles M. Mobley


Bureau of Land Management, Steese/White Mountains District

Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services

Hadleigh-West, Frederick
1967 The Donnelly Ridge Site and the Definition of an Early Core and Blade Complex in Central Alaska. American Antiquity, 32(3).

Holmes, Charles E.

1979 Archaeological Reconnaissance Report for Fort Wainwright, Fort Greely, and Fort Richardson Withdrawal Lands, Alaska. Laboratory of Anthropology, Washington State University, Pullman.
Phillips, Walter T., Sr.

Reynolds, Georgeanne


Solka, Paul

Steele, Julia L.


Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: No archaeological material or human skeletal remains; 0.7 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Linear Feet of Records:** 0.7 linear feet (8.75 linear inches)

- On Post: None
- Off Post: 0.7 linear feet at University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** There is no funding for curation activities.

Fort Richardson—named for the military pioneer explorer, Brigadier General Wilds P. Richardson who served three tours of duty in the Alaska territory from 1897 to 1917—was built in 1940-1941 on the site of what is now the post’s sister installation, Elmendorf Air Force Base. The installation was established in 1947 as the headquarters of the U.S. Army Alaska (USARAL) and it was moved to its present location in 1950. In 1959, three off-post Nike Hercules missile sites were built at Fort Richardson. From 1961 to 1973, the installation was home to the U.S. Modern Biathlon Training Center. Fort Richardson was established as the headquarters for the 172nd Infantry Brigade (Alaska) in 1974 and the 6th Infantry Division (Light) and U.S. Army Garrison, Alaska in 1986. In 1990, the headquarters moved to Fort Wainwright. The 6th Infantry Division (Light) was to be reorganized in 1994 as the brigade task force with the Commander, U.S. Army Alaska forces stationed at Fort Richardson (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Fort Richardson. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Richardson

Bacon, Glenn H., James A. Ketz, and Charles M. Mobley


Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

EBASCO Services

Holmes, Charles E.

1979 *Archaeological Reconnaissance Report for Fort Wainwright, Fort Greely, and Fort Richardson Withdrawal Lands, Alaska*. Laboratory of Anthropology, Washington State University, Pullman.

Reynolds, Georgeanne


Steele, Julia L.
## Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total</th>
<th>Human Skeletal Remains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 ft³ of archaeological material; 2.7 linear feet of associated records.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Volume of Artifact Collections**: 4.1 ft³ plus oversized archaeological materials

- **On Post**: None
- **Off Post**: 3.9 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2) and 0.2 ft³ at the Bureau of Land Management-Northern District Office (Chapter 82, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status**: Collections require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Feet of Records</th>
<th>Status of Curation Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7 linear feet (32.0 linear inches)</td>
<td>There is no funding for curation activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **On Post**: None
- **Off Post**: 4.5 linear inches at the Bureau of Land Management-Northern District Office (Chapter 82, Volume 2) and 27.5 linear inches at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status**: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

### Fort Wainwright

Fort Wainwright was established in late 1941 as Ladd Army Airfield, a link in the Alaska Siberia Lend Lease route. In 1947, the site became part of Eielson Air Force Base and was used as a resupply base for Distant Early Warning radar sites and experimental ice stations in the Arctic Ocean. The Army reassumed command of Ladd Field in 1961 and renamed it Fort Wainwright for General Jonathon M. Wainwright, defender of Bataan Peninsula in World War II. Fort Wainwright is home to the 171st Infantry Brigade (Mechanized) and the 172nd Infantry Brigade. Headquarters, 6th Division was scheduled to leave in September 1994 (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Fort Wainwright. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at two repositories in Alaska.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Wainwright

Bacon, Glenn H., James A. Ketz, and Charles M. Mobley


Bureau of Land Management, Steese/White Mountains District

Cash Barner Usher Architects

Cook, John P.


Denfeld, D. Colt

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter

Dixon, E. James, Jr., George S. Smith, and David C. Plaskett


EBASCO Services

Frizzera, Arturo

Holmes, Charles E.

1979 *Archaeological Reconnaissance Report for Fort Wainwright, Fort Greely, and Fort Richardson Withdrawal Lands, Alaska*. Laboratory of Anthropology, Washington State University, Pullman.

Matheson, Janet
Matheson, Janet, and F. Bruce Haldeman  

Phillips, Walter T., Sr.  

Reynolds, Georgeanne L.  


Solka, Paul  

Staley, David P.  
Harding Lake Recreation Center
Fairbanks, Alaska

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 1.3 ft³ of archaeological material; 0.5 linear inches of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 1.3 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.5 linear inches
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.5 linear inches at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Harding Lake Recreation Center

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Harding Lake Recreation Center. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports mention the archaeological investigations performed at Harding Lake Recreation Site. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.

Bacon, Glenn H., James A. Ketz, and Charles M. Mobley
Alaska Heritage Research Group, Fairbanks.
Submitted to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Anchorage.

Denfeld, D. Colt
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Anchorage.

Denfeld, D. Colt, Jennifer Abel, and Dale Slaughter
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Anchorage.

EBASCO Services

Reynolds, Georgeanne


Yarborough, Linda Finn
Kotzebue Air Force Station
Kotzebue, Alaska

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 4.2 ft³ of archaeological material; 0.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 4.2 ft³
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 4.2 ft³ at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.1 linear foot (0.75 linear inches)
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 0.1 linear feet (0.75 linear inches) at the University of Alaska Museum (Chapter 130, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for modern archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Kotzebue Air Force Station was originally built as a temporary Aircraft Control and Warning (AC&W) site to fill a radar coverage gap while Cape Lisburne and Tin City permanent sites were being constructed. The station became operational in 1950, and in 1954, the Alaskan Air Command (AAC) decided to make the site a permanent station. Construction of the facility was completed in 1958. The station operated as a ground control intercept site until 1973 when it was converted to a NORAD surveillance station. In 1977, a contractor took over operations as part of an AAC program. The station was deactivated in 1984 (Denfeld et al. 1994).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Alaska Office of History and Archaeology in Anchorage. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Kotzebue AFS. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports mention Kotzebue AFS. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Alaska.
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EBASCO Services

Reynolds, Georgeanne
Fort Huachuca

Fort Huachuca, Arizona

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 223.5 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 14.3 linear feet of associated record collections.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 223.5 ft³
  On Post: 191.7 ft³
  Off Post: 5.6 ft³ at the Arizona State Museum/University of Arizona (Chapter 79, Volume 2), and 26.2 ft³ at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological collections.

Human Skeletal Remains: 0.02 ft³
  On Post: 0.02 ft³
  Off Post: None

Compliance Status: Human skeletal materials, which fall under Section 3 of NAGPRA, were found in the collections housed on post.

Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 14.3 linear feet (172.18 linear inches)
  On Post: 7.4 linear feet (89.25 linear inches)
  Off Post: 3.3 linear inches at the Arizona State Museum/University of Arizona (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 6.6 linear feet (78.88 linear inches) at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2); and 0.75 linear inches at the U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles (Chapter 138, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation is financed through TRADOC as a line item on the yearly budget. To date, curation financing has been adequate. A budget increase will be needed if there is a future need to add to the building currently being renovated as a curation facility.

Fort Huachuca was established as a post in the foothills of the Huachuca Mountains in 1877. It played a key role in the United States’ 1886 campaign against Geronimo and his Apache warriors when it served as a supply base and provided housing for calvary troops during the five-month pursuit and capture of Geronimo and his men. Today, Fort Huachuca is the home of the U.S. Army Intelligence Center and School, the U.S. Army Information Systems Command, the Joint Interoperability Test Center, the 11th Signal Brigade, and other specialized units. The base has a museum and a military cemetery dating from 1877. The Old Post area is designated as a National Historic Landmark (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).
In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office in Phoenix. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Fort Huachuca and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are currently housed at four repositories in Arizona and California.

**Assessment**

**Date of Visit:** January 28–February 3 and May 1, 1997

**Point of Contact:** John Murray

**Structural Adequacy**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

At the time of the first assessment, archaeological collections were being housed in Building 22330 (Figure 2). This 900-ft² building was constructed circa 1884 and originally served as a magazine. It is a single-story adobe structure with a rock and adobe-block foundation. The wood-and-tar shingled roof was most recently repaired in 1995. Interior plaster walls are one-to-three-inches thick and were installed between 1918 and 1922. In 1993 one of the walls of the building collapsed; it was rebuilt and the window in it was replaced. The windows measure 33 x 60 inches (w x h), with 15-inch-wide window sills. The other four windows of the building have not been replaced, but their wood frames have been refurbished. The lathe ceiling was also plastered between 1918 and 1922. The building has most of its original wood flooring, with the exception of several areas that were removed for construction purposes. The wood flooring in those areas was not saved and had to be replaced. There is a door at the front and the back of the building, both of wood with wood frames. The building is without utilities. The only source of illumination is natural light.

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

Originally a pumping station, the post Sewage Treatment Plant (Building 90322), is being remodeled for use as an Archaeology Laboratory and Curation Facility for the Fort Huachuca collections (Figure 3). Plans to move the collections into this building in March 1997 were changed to summer 1997. Renovations were still underway during the St. Louis District visit in May. The building, constructed in 1905, has one floor above grade and one below grade. A room was added on the ground-level floor in the 1950s. Building 90322 encompasses approximately 1,000 ft², has a concrete foundation, and painted concrete block exterior walls. The roof is steel reinforced poured concrete that was resealed in 1997. The building has proven to be structurally solid, and any wall or foundation cracks are being repaired.
prior to the transfer of the collections. Steel framed windows measure 3-x-4 feet (w x h) and are without shades. A few of the windows had to be replaced. The two wood-and-glass exterior doors are being replaced with metal doors. All of the utilities were upgraded in 1997 and a bathroom was added.

The collections will be stored below grade, where the floor and ceiling are concrete and the interior walls are painted plasterboard. There are no windows in the collection storage room, which encompasses approximately 236 ft².

**Environmental Controls**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

This repository has no heating or cooling system, and the temperature and humidity of the building vary according to climate changes. The building is regularly maintained by the Directorate of Engineering and Housing (DEH) or the Directorate of Public Works (DPW).

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

The building recently had an electrical climate control system installed, which monitors and regulates temperature and humidity levels and includes a dust filtration system. There are no windows in the collections storage room downstairs. Fluorescent and natural light on the ground level floor are not equipped with filters. A regular maintenance and cleaning schedule has not been implemented during the remodeling of the building, but the same measures used for the rest of the buildings on the post will extend to this facility when completed. Staff and volunteers will clean the facility. Asbestos is not present in this building.

**Pest Management**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

There is no scheduled spraying of Building 22330. An entomologist on staff in DEH is responsible for monitoring for pest infestation, and the building is sprayed as needed. The St. Louis District team discovered a dead moth in one box, and a live spider in another box.

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

Because the building is open during remodeling insects were noted during the assessment. An integrated pest management program is not in place at this time for the curation facility.

**Security**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

The doors to Building 22330 are secured with key locks, and there is limited access to the keys. Windows are secured with latches. The building is patrolled regularly by the post’s military police. Under previous staff, collections from a 1964 Garden Canyon site excavation were lost. It is known that some of the large ground stone archaeological materials were recovered from a dumpster by the post museum staff. It is suspected that other archaeological collections may have been discarded in a similar fashion.

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

A request has been made in the project funds available for the renovation of Building 90322 to include an intrusion alarm and motion detectors wired to the military police. The building is surrounded by a padlocked security fence that is topped with barbed wire. Doors will have key and dead-bolt locks, and all the windows will have security screens installed. Access is controlled by limiting access to the keys for the building.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

Fire safety consists of two fire extinguishers in the building. There were no tags present on the extinguishers, but the St. Louis District team was told that they are inspected annually.

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

Fire detection measures present in this building include heat sensors that trigger an alarm that notifies the post fire and emergency department. A sprinkler system has been installed, and fire extinguishers will be placed on both floors of the building. The collections will be housed in the room downstairs
behind a fire door. A small closet area will also be fitted with a fire door to protect the records that will be stored there.

**Artifact Storage**

Approximately 191.7 ft³ of archaeological material collections are stored at Fort Huachuca. For a breakdown of material classes present in these collections, refer to Table 9.

**Table 9.**

**Summary of Material Classes Present in the Fort Huachuca Collection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prehistoric</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁴C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Remains</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Bone</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Shell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historical-Period</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crockery</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Storage Units**

**Repository 1—Building 22330**

Collections are stored on unsealed wood shelves (Figure 4). The shelving units measure 43.75 x 29.75 x 62 inches (l x w x h). There are five shelves per unit and three units in a row. There is a total of eight rows of wooden shelves, plus four free standing metal shelving units in the building.

**Repository 2—Curation Facility**

Metal shelving units have been ordered that measure 7 x 2 x 6 feet (l x w x h). Shelves will be spaced approximately 14 inches apart, and collections will be stored beneath water-bearing pipes.

**Primary Containers**

Cardboard boxes are used as primary containers; 38% are archival quality and the remaining 62% are acidic. Boxes vary in degree of wear and size, but most are approximately 1.2 ft³. Some of the boxes are overpacked and too heavy. Of the artifacts that have primary containers, 50% of the containers are labeled with acid-free paper labels glued or taped to the box. Twenty-one percent of the primary containers are labeled directly in marker or pen, and the remaining 29% are labeled with both paper labels and directly in marker.

**Secondary Containers**

Most (78%) of the secondary containers are plastic bags. Ten percent of the artifacts are loose in the boxes. Paper bags constitute 2% of the secondary containers. The remaining 10% of the artifacts are stored in various secondary containers including plastic boxes, foil, bubble wrap, plastic sheeting, foam sheeting, and cardboard boxes. Most (88%) of the secondary containers are labeled directly in marker or ink. Eight percent have no labeling, and 4% have paper labels glued, taped, or tied to the secondary container.

**Laboratory Processing and Labeling**

Most of the artifacts have been cleaned (73%), but only 15% have been labeled either directly on the surface of the artifact in ink or with a paper label.
inserted in the secondary container. Almost all (99%) of the artifacts have been sorted by material class.

**Human Skeletal Remains**

Less than one percent of the collections (0.02 ft³) consists of human skeletal remains. A member of the St. Louis District staff performed an inventory of human skeletal remains for compliance with Section 5 of NAGPRA during the first visit. This was conducted at the request of the U.S. Army Environmental Center (AEC). Three excavations conducted at the Garden Canyon Site yielded human skeletal remains.

The first excavation was conducted by Jon Nathan Young in 1964. Documentation examined by St. Louis District personnel indicates that 43 cremations and seven inhumations, as well as associated objects, were recovered at that time. The whereabouts of this collection is uncertain, although some of the objects may be curated at the Fort Huachuca Historical Museum. The Center for Military History assumes responsibility for these collections; therefore, they were not assessed for this project.

In 1991–1992, Marie Cottrell, the post archaeologist at that time, conducted an excavation that yielded human skeletal remains. These remains were inventoried by St. Louis District personnel, and the minimum number of individuals (MNI) was determined to be 10.

The current post archaeologist, has been conducting excavations at the Garden Canyon Site from 1995 to the present. A small amount of human skeletal remains have been recovered from this work. St. Louis District personnel examined these remains and from dentition analysis determined the MNI for this collection to be five. Fort Huachuca has begun the consultation process in order to determine the disposition of remains.

**Records Storage**

Approximately 7.4 linear feet (89.25 inches) of records are housed in Building 22330 on Fort Huachuca (Figure 5). These records are stored in acidic cardboard boxes, on open metal shelves, and in three-ring binders on metal shelves with glass front doors. A small closet in the collections storage room in the new curation facility will be fitted with filing cabinets and shelves to house the record collections.

**Paper Records**

Most of the records in Building 22330 are paper records (4.1 linear feet). Five three-ring vinyl binders hold laboratory reference materials. An additional five binders hold original level forms. Other paper records include reference materials for Southwest archaeology and Fort Huachuca, copies of the 1964 Garden Canyon excavation documentation conducted by Jon Nathan Young, collections inventories, release forms from the Arizona State Museum, survey field notes, and site forms. Records are either in binders or stacked on work tables and shelves. Contaminants are present, including paper clips, staples, rubber bands, and metal binder clips.

**Report Records**

Fort Huachuca houses 0.8 linear feet (10 inches) of report records that are either spiral bound or perfect bound. They consist of both preliminary drafts and final reports. Reports are stored in the same manner as the paper records.

**Photographic Records**

Photographic records which are in need of organization, currently are stored in their original commercial developing packets, acidic paper envelopes, manila envelopes, shoe boxes, nonarchival quality plastic sleeves, and plastic slide boxes. Approximately 1.9 linear feet (22.5 inches) of color
prints, black-and-white prints, negatives, slides, and contact sheets are present. A few of the slides are in plastic sleeves that are stored in a three-ring binder; otherwise photographic records are stacked on top of each other in a large, open box lid. Most of the material is unlabeled.

Maps and Oversized Documents
Approximately seven inches of USGS topographic maps, drawings and site sketches, and blue-line maps were assessed. Maps were either stored loose on the shelves, folded and stored with the paper records, or rolled and housed in cardboard mailing tubes. These documents were not labeled and considerable wear was noticed on many.

Collections-Management Standards

Registration Procedures
Fort Huachuca does not accession collections from outside sources. They are currently in the process of accessioning the collections they have that were generated from work conducted on post.

Location Identification
There is no written record of location of collections. All artifact collections are located in Building 22330 and are grouped by project on the shelves.

Cross-Indexed Files
Files are not cross indexed.

Published Guide to Collections
There is no published guide to the collections.

Site-Record Administration
Fort Huachuca follows the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office’s site numbering system.

Computerized Database Management
There are two computers used for data entry of the archaeological collection. The post archaeologist is currently looking for a program for collections management.

Written Policies and Procedures

Minimum Standards of Acceptance
Fort Huachuca does not accept collections beyond what is generated at Fort Huachuca.

Curation Policy
A curation policy is under development for Fort Huachuca. It will be implemented when collections are moved to the curation facility on post. Presently, everything is collected during excavations. The policy will address the criteria for permanent curation of materials. The post archaeologist has a core of volunteers who regularly work with the collection. Also, a field school is conducted at the Garden Canyon site. Volunteers will be given a 40-hour course on the procedures and standards of the collections and curation facility.

Records-Management Policy
Guidelines and standards for the curation of associated documentation will be included in the curation policy under development.

Field-Curation Guidelines
Fort Huachuca has field-curation guidelines in which both students in the field school and volunteer staff are trained.

Loan Procedures
Presently there is no loan policy. The majority of collections from Fort Huachuca remain on post. A loan policy will be developed for collections that are curated at other museums and will remain there on a long-term basis.

Deaccessioning Policy
Fort Huachuca does not have a deaccessioning policy.

Inventory Policy
A field inventory is made of collections. An inventory policy is under development.
Latest Collection Inventory

A full inventory of all the collections is currently ongoing.

Curation Personnel

There is no full-time curator of archaeological collections. John Murray spends eight hours per week on curation. Additionally, there are five part-time volunteers that each work four-to-five hours per week with the collections. Volunteers work three days a week as field crew and in the laboratory conducting rough sorting, identification, and preliminary data entry for cataloging.

Curation Financing

Curation is financed through TRADOC as a line item on the yearly budget. To date, curation financing has been adequate. A budget increase will be needed if there is a future need to add to the building currently being renovated as a curation facility.

Access to Collections

Only authorized personnel have access to the collection. Keys to Building 22330 are held by three people. Volunteer staff have access to the collections on their scheduled days to work. The collections are accessible to researchers for academic purposes on a need-to-know basis.

Future Plans

Building 90322, formerly part of a water treatment plant, on post is presently being renovated to serve as a curation facility. A request has been made in the project funds available for the renovation of Building 90322 to include an intruder alarm and motion detectors wired to the military police. The building is surrounded by a padlocked security fence that is topped with barbed wire. Doors will have key and dead-bolt locks, and all the windows will have security screens installed. Fire extinguishers will be placed on both floors of the building. The collections will be housed in the room downstairs behind a fire door. A small closet area also will be fitted with a fire door to protect the records that will be stored there. It is being brought up to federal standards for a curation facility.

Ongoing excavations at the Garden Canyon site, therefore, continue to add to the collection, more storage space will be needed in the near future. There is room for an addition to Building 90322. Mr. Murray also plans to investigate what became of the collections, including the human skeletal remains, from the 1964 Garden Canyon excavation.

Comments

1. Building 22330 does not have adequate facilities to serve as a curation facility; however, it is only being used as such until renovations are complete on Building 90322.

2. Mr. Murray is actively developing a curation plan and facility for the Fort Huachuca collections. He is closely following federal guidelines and seeking professional guidance.

3. Disposition of the collections generated from archaeological work conducted at the Garden Canyon Site is presently under investigation.

4. Artifact collections are not consistently housed in archival-quality containers.

5. Associated documentation requires complete rehabilitation to meet archival standards for federal guidelines.

6. Although Fort Huachuca has just one staff member responsible for the curation of collections, there is a strong, consistent volunteer pool dedicated to working with the archaeological collections.

7. At present, adequate financing has been secured for the housing and care of archaeological collections.

Recommendations

1. Associated documentation should be rehabilitated to meet federal guidelines and standards for modern archival preservation. Records should be duplicated onto acid-free paper and stored in a separate, secure, and fire-safe location.
2. All contaminants need to be removed. Records should be organized in acid-free file folders and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Photographic records should be labeled and placed in inert plastic sleeves. Large maps should be placed in flat map cases to avoid further deterioration. A finding aid should be developed for the record collections.

3. Artifact collections not presently stored in acid-free boxes should be transferred to such boxes as they are inventoried. Boxes should be labeled with acid-free paper inserted into adhesive polyethylene sleeves on the outside of the boxes. As box contents change, a new label can then be inserted, avoiding conflicting label information.

4. As collections are reboxed, the weight of each box should not exceed a manageable amount.

5. The recommended management policies and procedures should be developed and implemented for the proper long-term care of the collections.

6. NAGPRA materials should be dealt with as soon as possible.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Huachuca
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Cochran
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Luke Air Force Base and Barry M. Goldwater Range (East)

Luke Air Force Base, Arizona

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 56.6 ft³ of archaeological material; 11.8 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 56.6 ft³
- On Post: 1 ft³
- Off Post: 54.3 ft³ at the Arizona State Museum/University of Arizona (Chapter 79, Volume 2) and 1.3 ft³ at the Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix Office (Chapter 83, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 11.8 linear feet (141.78 linear inches)
- On Post: 5.2 linear feet (62.3 inches)
- Off Post: 1.5 linear feet (17.8 inches) at Archaeological Research Services (Chapter 78, Volume 2); 2.7 linear feet (32.3 inches) at Arizona State Museum/University of Arizona (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 2.3 linear feet (27 inches) at Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix Office (Chapter 83, Volume 2); 1.0 inch at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2); 1.4 inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2); and 0.1 inch at U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles (Chapter 138, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Presently, there is no curation financing system in place at Luke AFB. Individual project budgets may include repository fees for a curation facility, such as Arizona State Museum, but there is currently no system that allows for curation activities to be funded specifically.

Luke Air Force Base was named after a World War I fighter pilot and Medal of Honor recipient who was a native of Phoenix, Lt. Frank Luke, Jr. Known as “Home to the Fighter Pilot,” it was called Luke Field in 1941 and served as a training facility for fighter pilots. The base, which occupies 4,197 acres 20 miles west of Phoenix, was closed between 1946 and 1951, but was reactivated after 1951 under Air Training Command. It was transferred to Tactical Air Command in 1958, and in 1977 HQ Tactical Training

Luke (TTL) was activated. Today Luke AFB serves as home for the 58th Fighter Wing, which trains aircrews in the F-16 Fighting Falcon and the F-15E Strike Eagle. Pilots from Luke AFB conduct maneuvers on the 2.7 million acre Barry M. Goldwater Range.

This range which is in the Sonoran Desert of Arizona, received its current designation as the Barry M. Goldwater Range (BMGR) in 1986 and boasts of supporting the world largest gunnery range. The site
An Archaeological Curation-Needs Assessment of Military Installations in Select Western States

was selected in 1941 for a flight-training gunnery range for Luke Field and Williams Field. The site was deactivated from 1946 until 1951. It became Williams Bombing and Gunnery Range at the time of reactivation. In 1963, it was redesignated as Luke Air Force Range, which it remained until it received its present name (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).

In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office in Phoenix. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Over 440 archaeological sites have been recorded on the range alone, and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations conducted on both Luke AFB and Goldwater Range. Collections are currently housed at seven repositories in Arizona, California, and Utah.

Assessment

Date of Visit: April 22, 1997

Point of Contact: Bruce Masse

Luke AFB currently curates approximately 1 ft³ of archaeological materials recovered from Luke AFB and BMGR managed lands. The base also has 5.2 linear feet of associated documentation from projects conducted on their property.

Structural Adequacy

Building 302, encompassing approximately 6,781 ft², is the administrative office complex for the Natural and Cultural Resource Management and Environmental Impact Analysis Section at Luke AFB (Figure 6). This office complex is officially titled the Base Engineering Administrative Building, Air Force Category Code 610127, but is generally referred to by its building number. Building 302 was originally the site of two separate office structures: one building housed the contracts and maintenance personnel and the second complex accommodated the environmental offices. Both of the buildings were constructed in 1985 but were connected in 1995 to house the expanded environmental office. The contracts and maintenance personnel were moved across the street to the engineering building.

The building has a concrete foundation with slump-block exterior walls. The roof is made of built-up asphalt and is 12 years old, with the exception of the addition, which is only two years old. The building has one floor above grade and is structurally solid. It is important to note, however, that one of the original buildings had a lower foundation. Therefore, there is a step when entering or exiting this section of the new complex. There have been both internal and external renovations. Thirty-six exterior aluminum framed windows with blinds are located on all four sides of the building. The windows measure 1.8 x 5.9 feet (w x h) and show no evidence of water or air leaks. Interior wood panel doors are located throughout the building, and metal paneled doors lead to the exterior.

The collection storage area measures approximately 120 ft² and is filled to approximately thirty percent capacity. The collections storage area encompasses the area allocated to the archaeological staff in the building. This area includes the two offices belonging to the archaeologists on staff and an open cubicle space. Most of the records, such as paper, map, and computer records, are located in Dr. Masse's office. Report records are stored in the offices of both archaeologists, as well as on a shelf in the cubicle area. The majority of these records were duplicate copies of reports.

The collection storage area has concrete floors covered with carpet. Interior walls consist of...
Painted plaster and the ceiling consists of suspended acoustical tiles. There are interior wood panel doors in the office spaces. No exterior doors lead into the collections storage area. Dust is present within the collections storage area, which contains boxes, curation supplies, office furniture, and books/reports.

**Environmental Controls**

Building 302 has temperature controls that consist of both an electric heat pump and an air conditioning system. The air conditioner is the only temperature control equipment with dust filters. Humidity levels are not regulated or monitored. A janitorial staff maintains the very basic cleaning needs of the office on a weekly basis. Nonfiltered fluorescent lighting without ultraviolet (UV) filters throughout the building. All of the offices’ plumbing, electrical, and heating is original to the building, except for the new addition. Asbestos is not present in the building.

**Pest Management**

Precautions are taken against insects and rodents in the office building on an as-needed basis. Cutbacks in the budget have prevented any further control or monitoring procedures. There were no signs of pest infestation in Building 302.

**Security**

Security measures for the building, including the collections storage area, consist of dead bolt locks on all exterior doors, sealed windows, base security patrol, and controlled access onto the premises of the base. There have never been any past episodes of unauthorized entry into the office building.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

Building 302 is equipped with manual fire alarms that are wired into the fire department and a sprinkler system. The base also has an electronic fire monitoring and control system that regulates temperatures by a computer system. The electronic system controls approximately 40-50% of the buildings on base, including Building 302. The computer is alerted if temperatures in the building are getting too high or too low so that appropriate adjustments can be made.

**Artifact Storage**

**Storage Units**

The only artifact at Luke AFB is on display in a metal and glass cabinet in Building 3020. The display case measures 1.3 x 3.0 x 6.0 feet (l x w x h) and has six shelves.

**Primary Containers**

The glass shelves individually occupy approximately 3.9 ft² of space. There are no labels on the shelves. One large fragment of a ceramic vessel is on display in the case. The object encompasses less than 1 ft³ of space on that shelf.

**Secondary Containers**

The ceramic vessel fragment is loose on the display case shelf.

**Laboratory Processing and Labeling**

The archaeological material has been cleaned but has not been labeled.

**Human Skeletal Remains**

Luke AFB is not curating human skeletal remains recovered from any archaeological projects conducted on Luke AFB and BMGR.

**Records Storage**

Luke AFB currently curates approximately 5.2 linear feet (62.3 linear inches) of documentation associated with archaeological work performed on Luke AFB and BMGR.

**Paper Records**

More than five feet (61.5 linear inches) of paper records—administrative records, background records, and survey records—are stored in Dr. Masse’s office. However, it is important to note that the amount of paper records, especially the administrative records, could be doubled in size to incorporate those records that are scattered throughout the office in boxes and loose on the table.
and desk. These records are in use, in need of filing, or awaiting future use. A wooden desk measuring 2.0 x 4.4 x 2.5 feet (l x w x h) has two file cabinet drawers that contain paper records. The letter-sized file cabinet drawers measure 1.9 x 1.3 x 1.0 feet (l x w x h). Secondary containers consist of hanging file folders and manila folders all of which are in good condition. The containers are either labeled directly in pen and marker or have a paper tag inserted in the plastic holder on the hanging file. Information on the labels is not consistent and has either the contents or subject matter. Twenty-three linear inches of survey records have been placed in plastic vinyl binders on wooden shelving unit in Dr. Masse’s office.

**Report Records**
Luke AFB has approximately 0.25 linear inches of report records curated in the environmental offices. These records are stored in the same manner as the paper records that are located in the desk file drawers. Although not included in the volume of report records, Dr. Masse has duplicate copies of reports in his office. Another base archaeologist also has a shelving unit that contains copies of reports and papers.

**Computer Records**
Computer records at Luke AFB total approximately 0.25 linear inches. These records are stored in the same manner as the paper records in the desk file drawers.

**Map Records**
Luke AFB holds approximately 0.25 linear inches of maps. These records are stored in the same manner as the paper records in the desk file drawers.

**Collections-Management Standards**
Luke AFB is not a permanent curation facility; therefore, collections management standards are not described.

**Curation Personnel**
No personnel are dedicated to the curation of collections; however, Dr. Bruce Masse and Adrien Rankin, staff archaeologists, maintain the archaeological collections.

**Curation Financing**
No curation financing system is in place presently at Luke AFB. Individual project budgets may include repository fees for a curation facility, such as Arizona State Museum, but there is currently no system that allows for curation activities to be funded specifically.

**Access to Collections**
Access to the collections is controlled by the base archaeologists.

**Future Plans**
Plans to establish a Natural and Cultural Field Office at the Gila Bend Air Force Auxiliary Field are being developed to facilitate the management of the BMGR. Once established, this facility will house the majority of current and future records from Luke AFB-managed lands on BMGR.

The Cultural Resources Management Program has a projected list of activities for FY1998–FY2003. Bruce Masse was able to calculate an estimated volume of records that will be produced from these projects that would also need long-term curation. Numerous archaeological surveys and data recovery projects are proposed for the next five years. It is estimated that the surveys will produce 0.75 to 1.5 linear feet of records and the data recoveries will generate 7.0 linear feet of records, as well as an unknown amount of archaeological materials. Miscellaneous projects throughout the five years will add an additional 1.5 linear feet per project to the total amount of records. It is predicted that the various projects will create over 200 photographs per year. A Geological Information System will also be established, which will produce a large amount of maps.

Current ongoing projects probably will generate 7.5 linear feet of records—four surveys (3 linear feet), one data recovery project (0.75 linear feet), and five miscellaneous projects (3.75 linear feet).
 Comments

1. Luke AFB has an air conditioning and an electric heat pump system. Only the air conditioning has dust filters. Humidity is not controlled or monitored. The building has nonfiltered fluorescent lighting.

2. There is not an integrated pest management system that includes both monitoring and control. Insect and rodent precautionary measures are performed on an as-needed basis.

3. The security system in Building 302 includes deadbolt locks on all exterior doors, sealed windows, security patrols, and controlled access onto the base premises.

4. The repository has a fire detection system that consists of manual fire alarms connected to the local fire department and a fire suppression system that consist of a sprinkler system. The base is also equipped with a computer system that can regulate temperatures in approximately one-half of the buildings.

5. The one artifact at Building 302 is in a metal and glass display case with a variety of other objects, specifically biological specimens. This object is not labeled.

6. Documentation is stored throughout the collections storage area, but is primarily arranged in Dr. Masse's wood desk filing cabinet drawers. However, there are numerous paper records scattered throughout the office that need to be filed.

 Recommendations

1. Transfer all archaeological collections to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79.

2. If and when the artifact is taken off display, it will be important to place it in acid-free primary and secondary containers with appropriate labels.

3. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, and paper clips, or other contaminants. Photographic material should be placed in archival-quality photographic sleeves, labeled properly, and stored in a secure storage unit.

 Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Luke AFB and BMGR

 Adams, Kim

 Anonymous


 Bauer, Sharon K., Glenn P. Darrington, Kristopher S. Shepard, and J. Simon Bruder

 Bauer, Sharon K., Kristopher S. Shepard, and J. Simon Bruder
Bowen, Greg L.

Bruder, J. Simon, Kristopher S. Shepard, and Glenn P. Darrington

Darrington, Glenn P., Sharon K. Bauer, Everett J. Bassett, and J. Simon Bruder

Homburg, Jeffrey, Jeffery H. Altschul, and Rein Vanderpot

Huckell, Bruce

Huckell, Bruce et al.

Ensor, Bradley E. and Barbara S. Macnider

Haynes-Peterson, Robert G

HDR Ecosciences

Bruder, J. Simon, Kristopher S. Shepard, and Glenn P. Darrington

Darrington, Glenn P., Sharon K. Bauer, Everett J. Bassett, and J. Simon Bruder

Homburg, Jeffrey, Jeffery H. Altschul, and Rein Vanderpot

Huckell, Bruce

Huckell, Bruce et al.
Mayro, Linda L.


McClellan, Carole, and Lawrence Vogler

Olszewski, Deborah I., Sharon K. Bauer, Glenn P. Darrington, and J. Simon Bruder


Olszewski, Deborah I., Glenn P. Darrington, and Sharon K. Bauer

Polk, Michael R.

Rodgers, James B.
1993 *An Archaeological Inventory of the Dysart Drain Improvements Project Area of North-Central Maricopa County, Arizona.* Scientific Archaeological Services, Phoenix. Submitted to Flood Control District of Maricopa County, Phoenix.
1994 The Dysart Drain Addendum II
Archaeological Inventory Project of
North-Central Maricopa County, Arizona.
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1994 The Dysart Drain Archaeological
Inventory Project of North-Central
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Navajo Army Depot
Bellemont, Arizona

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 3.1 ft³ of archaeological material; 1.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 3.1 ft³
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 1.0 ft³ at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2) and 2.1 ft³ at SWCA (Chapter 126, Volume 2).

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 1.5 linear feet (17.7 linear inches)

Since 1982 Camp Navajo (Navajo Army Depot) has been used for Army National Guard ammunition training. The installation was originally constructed in 1942 as an ordnance depot, using substantial labor from the Navajo Indian Reservation. In 1953, a strategic and critical materials mission was assigned to Navajo Ordnance Depot. The installation was a backup to Erie Ordnance Depot and then to Benicia Arsenal in a general supply mission from 1955 to 1961. A physical distribution mission of the Defense Logistics Distribution was assigned to the depot in 1967. In 1971, the installation was put on reserve status under Pueblo Army Depot and reassigned to Tooele Army Depot Complex in 1975. The depot was selected in 1993 as a storage site for Minuteman II rocket motors (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office in Phoenix. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Navajo Army Depot and several reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are currently housed at five repositories in Arizona and California.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Navajo Army Depot

Anduze, Richard

Bupp, Susan L., and David L. Carmichael

Deats, Stewart, and Richard Anduze

Goodman, John D., II, and Preston C. Payton

Grenda, Donn R.

Kern, Laurence

Walsh-Anduze, Mary-Ellen
Collections Summary

Collections Total: 64.8 ft³ of archaeological material; 4.0 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 64.8 ft³
  On Post: 6.0 ft³
  Off Post: 13.6 ft³ at Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 6.4 ft³ at Arizona State University (Chapter 80, Volume 2); and 38.8 ft³ at the Museum of Northern Arizona (Chapter 105, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological collections.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 4.0 linear feet (48.4 linear inches)
  On Post: 0.7 linear feet (8.3 linear inches)
  Off Post: 1.0 linear foot (12.2 linear inches) at Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 1.4 linear feet (16.4 inches) at Arizona State University (Chapter 80, Volume 2); and 1.0 linear foot (11.5 inches) at the Museum of Northern Arizona (Chapter 105, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: The curation of archaeological collections is not funded.

In February 1997, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Williams AFB and several reports have generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are currently housed at four repositories in Arizona.
Assessment

Date of Visit: April 25, 1997

Point of Contact: Dan Lain

Structural Adequacy

The only remaining personnel on base responsible for its final closure and disposal of the property are temporarily located in Building 1 (Figure 7). It is a one-story building that was built in 1941 as an administrative office building. Encompassing approximately 5,000 ft², it has a concrete foundation and concrete block walls with stucco facing. The roof, which has been repaired often, is covered with fiberglass sheets to resemble ceramic tiles. The building which exhibits no cracks in the foundation or walls, has experienced roof leakage. The aluminum framed windows have been replaced and measure 3 x 5 feet (w x h). The windows have no shades and are not airtight.

Environmental Controls

The building is equipped with an electric heat pump and air conditioning system that has thermostat temperature controls. These units are mounted on the roof and do not function properly. Dust filters are located in the heat pump and the air conditioning system. Humidity within the building is neither monitored nor regulated because of the dry climate characterizing Mesa. There is no asbestos present within the building structure and no overhead pipes within the collections storage area. The facility is regularly maintained and cleaned by a maintenance staff provided by the Air Force.

Pest Management

A pest management and control service is provided by an outside contractor and includes periodic building inspection. There were no reported or observed signs of insect or rodent infestation within the building.

Security

The only security measure for Building 1 consists of key locks on all exterior doors. All windows in the facility are accessible from the outside ground level. There is no evidence of unauthorized entrance into the building, and no episodes had ever been reported.

Fire Detection and Suppression

Fire protection measures within the building include manual fire alarms, heat sensors, and fire extinguishers which were last inspected in August 1994.

Artifact Storage

This facility is not viewed as a permanent collection repository and no special area has been designated for the curation of archaeological artifacts. A ceramic vessel, bowl, sherd type collection, and stone and shell archaeological materials are housed in a display case in the hallway of Building 1 (Figure 8). For percentages of material classes in the collection, refer to Table 10. The display measures approximately 2.5 x 6 feet (w x d). The standing display case is constructed of painted wood with an angled glass front. The open back of the case is normally situated against a wall. At the time of the assessment, the case was not secured to a wall and access could have been gained through the back of the display case. There are no primary or secondary containers in use.
Figure 8. Archaeological collections remain in a display case in the headquarters building.

Table 10. Summary of Material Classes Present in the Williams Air Force Base Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximately seventy-five percent of the artifacts have been labeled with ink, some on a white or clear base coat, and some with a stamp. Labels are inconsistent and appear to be the result of different institutions labeling the archaeological materials from different projects. At least twenty-five percent of the artifacts have no label or provenience, except that they have been included in a display exhibiting artifacts recovered from the Midvale Site. Many projects have included this site in their surveys, and the artifacts may have been recovered from any number of projects.

Human Skeletal Remains

No human skeletal remains recovered from Williams AFB are currently housed at base.

Records Storage

The 8.3 linear inches of archaeological documentation for Williams AFB consist of files that are no longer in use. They are kept in a locked room with other inactive Williams AFB files in a metal 5-drawer letter-sized file cabinet. A magnetic sticker on the cabinet reads “Closed.” No other labels are present. There are no finding aids for the contents and location of the files, nor is there a preservation copy located in a separate, secure location. Records are in good condition; however, the only apparent organization to the records is that they belong to the “Archaeological” records group of files.

Paper Records

Paper records, including administrative correspondences, National Register forms, background information, and survey/excavation records, comprise a total of 4.75 linear inches. These records are housed in manila folders and hard-backed files where they are held in place with metal clasps. The files are labeled in a variety of ways, including typed adhesive tabs or handwritten directly on the file in either pen or marker. Contaminants include paper clips, staples, rubber bands, and metal clasps. Evidence of rust was noted on some of the documents.

Reports

Two linear inches of reports, including copies of final and letter reports, are stored in the same files with the paper records. They are not labeled and are in the same condition as the paper records.

Photographic Records

Less than one linear inch of color prints, black-and-white prints, negatives, and contact sheets are mixed in with the paper records. A few of the prints have been directly labeled with pen or with an adhesive label that had information written in pen. Photographs are stored with the paper records in the acidic manila files and are in danger of information loss and deterioration.

Maps and Oversized Documents

Approximately one linear inch of maps generated for fieldwork, or copies of USGS topographic maps, have been folded and stored with the rest of the paper records. These records have not been labeled and show signs of wear.
Collections Management Standards

Building 1 on Williams AFB is not a permanent curation facility; therefore, collections management standards were not addressed during the assessment.

Curation Personnel

There are no personnel specifically assigned to the curation of artifacts.

Access to Collections

Associated documentation is stored in the inactive office files, and the artifacts are stored in the hallway. Both areas are accessible to all environmental staff.

Future Plans

No future plans related to building renovation or document storage were reported, and Williams AFB staff have been directed to dispose of the collection. St. Louis District staff recommended turning the responsibility of the collections over to the environmental staff at Luke Air Force Base. One of the local Native American tribes has expressed an interest in taking responsibility for the display; however, St. Louis District staff believe that the artifacts labeled with specimen and accession numbers belong to larger collections located at one or more of the institutions currently housing Williams AFB collections.

Recommendations

1. Relinquish responsibility for the management of the collections to the environmental staff at Luke Air Force Base who have the personnel capable of handling the placement of the collections into a permanent curation facility.

2. Determine the project and/or institution responsible for the recovery of the artifacts on display and coalesce the artifacts with the rest of the collection.

3. Remove and place collections in a permanent curation facility that will ensure the proper environmental, security, and fire safety measures outlined in 36 CFR Part 79.

4. Ensure that the permanent curation of the original documentation is included with the artifact collections.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Williams AFB

Bradford, Don-Michael


Brew, Susan A.


Schoenwetter, James 1972 *Williams Air Force Base Archaeology AZ U:10:24 (ASU)*. Department of Anthropology, Arizona State University, Tempe.

1973 *Clearance Archaeology at Williams AFB: An Evaluative Report*. Department of Anthropology, Arizona State University, Tempe. Submitted to the National Park Service.

Schoenwetter, James, Sylvia W. Gaines, and Donald E. Weaver, Jr.

Schoenwetter, James, and Donald E. Weaver, Jr.

Shepard, Kristopher S., Glenn P. Darrington, and J. Simon Bruder

Sires, Earl W.

Stubing, Michael and Douglas R. Mitchell
1996 *Archaeological Testing at an Existing Radar Facility Within Site AZ U:10:65 (ASM) on Williams Gateway Airport, Mesa, Maricopa County, Arizona*. SWCA, Phoenix. Submitted to Raytheon Service Company, Manhattan Beach, California.
Marine Corps Air Station Yuma and Barry M. Goldwater Range (West)

Yuma, Arizona

Collection Summary

Collections Total: 21.3 ft³ of archaeological material; 2.9 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 21.3 ft³
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 18.8 ft³ at Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 0.01 ft³ at the Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix District (Chapter 83, Volume 2); and 2.5 ft³ at KEA Environmental (Chapter 102, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards of archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 2.9 linear feet (34.95 linear inches)
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 7.25 linear inches at Archaeological Research Services (Chapter 78, Volume 2); 1.2 linear feet (14.2 linear inches) at Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 1.0 linear foot (11.75 linear inches) at the Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix District (Chapter 83, Volume 2); and 1.75 linear inches at KEA Environmental (Chapter 102, Volume 2).

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

The federal government leased 640 acres of land in the desert of Arizona in 1928 as a flying field. This land was taken over by the Army during World War II for an air school and was named Yuma Army Air Field. Activities at the field stopped after the war. In 1951, Yuma Air Base was reactivated as a weapons proficiency center for fighter-interceptor units. In 1956, the facility was known as Vincent Air Force Base. It was signed over to the Navy in 1959, and it was designated a Marine Corps Auxiliary Air Station. Its current designation as MCAS Yuma occurred in 1962. MCAS Yuma uses the western half of the Barry M. Goldwater Range for training and is responsible for the cultural resources on this portion of the range.

The 2.7-million acre Barry M. Goldwater Range (BMGR) in the Sonoran Desert of Arizona received its current designation in 1986 and boasts of supporting the world largest gunnery range. The site was selected in 1941 for a gunnery range to serve flying training for Luke Field and Williams Field. The site was deactivated from 1946 until 1951. It became Williams Bombing and Gunnery Range at the time of reactivation. In 1963, it was redesignated as Luke Air Force Range, which it remained until it received its present name (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).
In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office in Phoenix. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on MCAS Yuma and several reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are currently housed at four repositories in Arizona.

### Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at MCAS Yuma
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**Bruder, J. Simon, Diane Fenicle, and Everett E. Bassett**
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**Bruder, J. Simon, Diane Fenicle, and Everett E. Bassett**
EIP Associates
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Olszewski, Deborah, and J. Simon Bruder

Sires, Earl W.

Van Wormer, Stephen R., Andrew Pignilolo, and Rebecca McCorkle Apple
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Yuma Proving Ground

Yuma, Arizona

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 37.5 ft³ of archaeological material; 4.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On Post: 3.1 ft³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                  | Off Post: 11.7 ft³ the Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 7.9 ft³ at Northland Research (Chapter 111, Volume 2); and 14.8 ft³ at the San Diego Museum of Man (Chapter 123, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 4.5 linear feet (54.4 linear inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On Post: 1.4 linear feet (17.0 linear inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                  | Off Post: 4.5 linear inches at Archaeological Research Services (Chapter 78, Volume 2); 1.2 linear feet (13.9 linear inches) at Arizona State Museum (Chapter 79, Volume 2); 1.5 linear feet (18.0 linear inches) at the Bureau of Land Management, Yuma District (Chapter 85, Volume 2); 0.75 linear inches at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2); and 0.25 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles (Chapter 138, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not adequately funded. Archaeological compliance projects are funded through the Environmental Division; however, long-term curation of artifacts and associated documentation is not provided.

The military has been present in the Yuma area since 1849, when a fort was established across the Colorado River in what is now California. The present site was activated as Yuma Test Branch under the Army Corps of Engineers to test bridges, boats, vehicles, and well-drilling equipment in 1943. In the 1940s the installation served as a Dam Engineer Station and then as Engineer Research and Development Laboratories. It was deactivated in 1950 and quickly reactivated in 1951 as Yuma Test Station. In 1963, it was redesignated Yuma Proving Ground. The 840,000-acre installation consists of two ranges and test facilities where weapons, armament systems, and military equipment are tested for desert warfare (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).

In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the State Site Files of the Arizona State Museum in Tucson, and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office in Phoenix. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been
recorded on Yuma Proving Ground and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are currently housed at eight facilities in Arizona and California.

Assessment

Date of Visit: December 12, 1996

Point of Contact: Delores Gauna

Repository 1, Building 3021, is an office building that houses the post’s Directorate of Environmental Sciences (Figure 9). Approximately 3.1 ft³ of boxed archaeological collections are stored with various other supplies in one of the offices. Approximately 1.4 linear feet of associated documentation from recent archaeological projects on the Proving Ground are located in the temporary offices of the environmental contractor, Gutierrez-Palmenberg, located beside Building 3021. Yuma Proving Ground (YPG) currently has a no-collection policy regarding archaeological resources on the installation. Endangered sites are mapped and avoided if at all possible. The only collections currently housed on post are those resulting from prior work and avocational collectors who donated them to, or dropped them off with, personnel in these offices.

A small prehistoric display is located in a corner of the foyer in the YPG Headquarters Building (Figure 10). Encased behind glass is a partially reconstructed ceramic pot next to a mano and metate, all resting on gravel and depicting their found environments. A building evaluation of the Headquarters facility was not performed since this was a temporary display in an extremely large office building.

Structural Adequacy

Repository 1—Building 3021

Building 3021, built in 1962, has a poured concrete foundation and painted concrete block exterior walls. The built-up gravel roof has recently been replaced. This single-story, 6,733 ft²-facility is structurally solid and shows no signs of cracks or leaks. Several internal renovations have occurred as office space needs have changed. Windows are on all sides of the building and measure approximately 3 x 3 feet. Windows have aluminum frames and are shaded. None of the windows have been replaced, and all of them appear to be airtight. All of the utilities are original to the construction of the building.

Repository 2—GPI Trailer

Two older trailers of an undetermined age were placed next to Building 3021 two years before the date of the St. Louis District visit. These provide approximately 600 ft² of office space for the current environmental contractors on post. The trailers rest on metal jack supports with prefabricated drywall exterior walls that are covered with siding. Interior walls are covered with wallpaper. The roof is constructed of metal sheeting. The trailer seems to be fairly solid with no evidence of cracks or leaks in
the walls or roof. There have been no internal or external renovations to the trailers. The windows measure 2 x 2 feet and have curtains that mostly remain drawn. The aluminum frames, which appear to be airtight, are original to the trailers. There is no running water or restrooms in these trailers. Heating, air conditioning, and electrical lines are also original to the construction of the trailers.

**Environmental Controls**

**Repository 1—Building 3021**

This building has a 25-ton central heat, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system is equipped with dust filters. Humidity levels are not monitored or controlled; however, high humidity levels in this region are uncommon. The installation’s services support contractor is responsible for maintenance of the building. Nonfiltered fluorescent light tubes are used to light the offices. Asbestos tiles are present underneath the carpeting in the office. An asbestos survey is currently ongoing at the installation.

**Repository 2—GPI Trailer**

The trailer that houses the file cabinets of associated documentation is equipped with electric heat and air conditioning, both of which are fitted with dust filters. However, on the day of the assessment the doors were open to let in fresh air. Humidity levels are not monitored or regulated. Natural light and nonfiltered incandescent light bulbs light the offices. The same installation contractor also services these trailers when necessary.

**Pest Management**

The installation employs an entomologist that is responsible for monitoring and taking precautions against pest infestation for both facilities. A pesticide is sprayed on a regular basis. There was no evidence of pest infestations during the assessment.

**Security**

**Repository 1—Building 3021**

Security measures in this building include dead bolt locks on the exterior doors, controlled access into the building, and standard window locks on all windows. Interior office doors are locked after business hours. The installation’s military police (MP) also patrol the area regularly and notify the designated Building 3021 security person if everything has not been locked properly at closing time.

**Repository 2—GPI Trailer**

The only security measures followed for the trailers consist of key-locks on all exterior doors and regular patrolling by the MPs.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

**Repository 1—Building 3021**

Fire detection measures present in this building consist of manual fire alarms placed throughout the building. These alarms are wired directly into the installation’s fire department. There are no fire suppression measures in Building 3021.

**Repository 2—GPI Trailer**

Fire extinguishers are the only means of fire protection in the trailers. A monthly fire inspection is done at all facilities.

**Artifact Storage**

**Storage Units**

The 3.1 ft³ of artifacts recovered from YPG are stored in a cramped supply storage cabinet. The metal, upright open cabinet is painted black and is not labeled with any collection information.

**Primary Containers**

Acidic cardboard boxes, all of which have folding flap closures that have been taped shut, are used as primary containers for the collections. The boxes are a variety of sizes and are not consistently labeled. Two of the five boxes have the words “White Tanks” written directly on their surfaces in marker. The other three boxes have no labels at all, with the exception of a shipping label from previous usage of one of the boxes.
Secondary Containers

Most of the secondary containers (67%) are paper bags that have been rolled or folded over and secured with rubber bands. Approximately eighteen percent of the collections are kept in plastic zip-lock bags, fourteen percent of the artifacts are loose within the primary container, and one percent of the artifacts are in acidic 3-x-5-inch manila envelopes or a black plastic film canister. If the secondary containers are labeled, label information is typically written directly on the container in marker. Data on the labels consist of a field number, date, and project investigator.

Prehistoric artifact material classes present in the collections include lithic artifacts (65%), ceramic sherds (21%), unmodified faunal material (2%), flotation sample (2%), \(^{14}C\) samples (2%), and botanical samples (1%). Historical-period material classes found in the collections include metal pieces (3%), ceramic/crockery fragments (2%), and glass (2%).

Laboratory Processing and Labeling

Approximately half of the 3.1 ft\(^3\) of artifacts have been cleaned (52%) and most have been sorted by material class (77%). Approximately thirty percent of artifacts have been labeled directly with black ink.

Human Skeletal Remains

No human skeletal remains were found in these collections.

Records Storage

Associated documentation is primarily located in the current office files maintained in the trailers outside Building 3021. However, approximately 0.5 inches of records were found in boxes containing the artifacts. These records are bound together with a large metal binder clip. Other contaminants present in the records include many staples, paper clips, and rubber bands. All of the records are generally in excellent condition; however, no duplicate copies have been produced of any original documentation (Figure 11).

Figure 11. Associated project records are on file in the GPI trailer on YPG.

Paper Records

Approximately 6 linear inches of paper records include administrative records and correspondence, background research records, survey records and field notes, and archaeological material inventories. Records are arranged by project number in acidic manila folders that have been labeled in marker with adhesive tabs labeled with the project number. These files are kept in either acidic hanging files, which have the plastic tabs with paper insert labels, or in acidic manila envelopes that are labeled in marker directly with project information. With the exception of the records in the artifact boxes, all of the records are filed in metal, four-drawer, legal-size file cabinets that have paper labels inserted into the drawers’ metal label holders.

Report Records

Seven linear inches of report records, including original copies, draft copies, and final camera-ready copies, are stored with the rest of the documentation in project files.

Photographic Records

Approximately 2.25 linear inches of color prints and negatives are included in the associated documentation for YPG. None of the photographic records have been labeled, and the negatives are in nonarchival quality plastic sleeves. Photographs are stored in their original film-developing envelopes.
Computer Records
Less than one linear inch of computer disks is located in the files of project records. The disks have adhesive labels with project information written in marker.

Maps and/or Oversized Documentation
Approximately one linear inch of cartographic records, including large USGS topographic maps, is stored folded in the files of project records. Also included are small camera-ready maps and site maps. The records are not separated or labeled specifically, outside of the project file.

Collections-Management Standards
This facility is not a permanent repository; therefore, collections management standards are not addressed in this report.

Curation Personnel
There is no full-time person dedicated to the curation of archaeological collections. The staff of the Directorate of Environmental Sciences are responsible for the security and maintenance of the artifacts and records in addition to their normal duties. Delores Gauna, a cultural resources manager, is the primary person in charge of archaeological compliance on the installation and, therefore, any collections that have been generated from these projects.

Curation Financing
Curation activities are not adequately funded. Archaeological compliance projects are funded through the Directorate of Environmental Sciences; however, long-term curation of artifacts and associated documentation is not provided.

Access to Collections
Collections are not kept in a secure area and all staff in the building have access to them. Researchers and Native Americans are given access upon request.

Future Plans
Ms. Gauna is currently trying to generate interest in the installation’s resources through consultation with Native American tribes. She would like to give the material to the tribes who are interested. She has had several visits to the post; however, no claims have been made on the collections. No future plans have been made for the long-term storage and curation of YPG’s collections.

Comments
1. An HVAC system is installed in Repository 1 but not in Repository 2.
2. Precautions are taken to prevent pests.
3. Asbestos is present in Repository 1 and possibly Repository 2.
4. Repository 2 does not have adequate security measures.
5. Fire safety measures are inadequate in both repositories.
6. Artifacts and records are not housed in appropriate storage containers.
7. A duplicate copy of all records has not been made.
8. No plans have been made for the long-term curation of the collections.

Recommendations
1. Transfer archaeological collections to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Coordinate with applicable repositories to establish memoranda of agreement for the permanent disposition of the collections.
2. Rebox those collections that are not in archival boxes and rebag collections into appropriately sized archival-quality polyethylene zip-lock bags. Reduce the volume of artifacts in each drawer and bag so that containers are not overpacked. Insert acid-free
paper labels into each bag. Do not use contaminants to secure the containers.

3. Make duplicate copies of all associated documentation onto acid-free paper. Store these copies in a separate and secure location. Process and arrange all records according to archival practices and standards. Place documents in acid-free folders, and lightly pack them into fire-resistant file cabinets. All records should be free of contaminants, including metal fasteners, rubber bands, dirt, and rocks. Provide a finding aid to the record holdings.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Yuma Proving Ground


Dosh, Stephen G.


Dosh, Stephen G., and William S. Marmaduke


Effland, Richard W., and Allan J. Schilz

Effland, Richard W., Allan J. Schilz, and Patricia R. Jertberg

Effland, Richard W. et al.

Effland, Richard W., and Margarie Green

Elling, C. Michael, and Jerry Schaefer

Geosciences Section

Gutierrez-Palmenberg


Haynes-Peterson, Robert G.


Hoffman, Teresa L.


Homburg, Jeffrey A.


Johnson, Boma


Schaefer, Jerry and Eric Jacobson

Schaefer, Jerry et al.

Schilz, Allan J.

Schilz, Allan J., R. L. Carrico, and J. Thesken

Schilz, Allan J. and Joyce M. Clevenger


Schilz, Allan J., Carolyn Kyle, and Joyce Clevenger

Schroeder, Albert H.
1952 *A Brief Archaeological Survey of the Lower Colorado River From Davis Dam to the International Border.* National Park Service, Region Three Office, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Reproduced by the Bureau of Reclamation, Reproduction Unit, Region Three, Boulder City, Nevada.

Seynor, Gregory R.

Stone, Bradford W., and Jeremy A. Life

SWCA
Torres, Javier F.

Torres, Javier F., and Bob Manygoats

Vivian, R. Gwinn

Waters, Michael R.

Wirth Associates
Cheyenne Mountain Air Force Base
Colorado Springs, Colorado

Collections Summary

Collections Total: No archaeological material or human skeletal remains; 0.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: None

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.1 linear feet (1.75 linear inches)

On Post: None
Off Post: 0.1 linear feet at University of Colorado, Colorado Springs (Chapter 131, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Cheyenne Mountain AFB

Arbogast, William R.
Falcon Air Force Base
Falcon Air Force Base, Colorado

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.1 ft³ of archaeological material; 0.4 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.1 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.1 ft³ at the University of Denver Museum (Chapter 133, Volume 2)
Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.4 linear feet (4.96 linear inches)

On Post: None
Off Post: 0.5 linear inches at the Colorado Department of Transportation (Chapter 88, Volume 2); 3.21 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2); and 1.25 linear inches at the University of Denver Museum (Chapter 133, Volume 2)
Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

On October 1, 1985, operations began on a site (as an Air Force Station) that did not have base support. The station was activated as a backup to Onizuka AFB, California. The 2nd Space Wing took operational control of the site's Air Force Satellite Control Network in 1987. In 1988, the installation was granted base status and redesignated Falcon AFB. The 50th Space Wing is the major unit at Falcon, controlling the Department of Defense satellite system and operating the Air Force Satellite Control Network (Cragg 1994, Evinger 1991, 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Falcon AFB. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at two repositories in Colorado and one repository in California.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Falcon AFB

Anderson, Jane L.

Cassells, E. Steve

Guthrie, Mark R.

Jepson, Daniel A.
1996 An Intensive Archaeological Inventory of the Proposed Falcon Air Force Base Defense Access Road, El Paso County, Colorado. Archaeological Unit, Colorado Department of Transportation, Denver.

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.3 ft³ of archaeological material; 0.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the University of Colorado Museum (Chapter 132, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Linear Feet of Records: 0.1 linear feet (0.75 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.75 linear inches at Powers Elevation Company (Chapter 119, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Fitzsimons Army Medical Center ground was broken in April 1918. In 1920, the installation was named after First Lieutenant William Thomas Fitzsimons, a doctor who was the first American officer killed in action in World War I. Fitzsimons Army Medical Center is the regional hospital for a 15-state area from Utah to Michigan, providing medical care to one million military beneficiaries (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Fitzsimons Army Medical Center. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at two repositories in Colorado.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Fitzsimmons Army Medical Center

Simmons, R. Laurie

Tate, Marcia J.
1987 Historic American Building Survey
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center/
Fitzsimons General Hospital. Greenhorne and O’Mara, Green Belt, Maryland

1991 Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Cultural Resources Inventory, Adams County, Colorado. Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.
Lowry Air Force Base
Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

Collections Summary

Collections Total: No archaeological material or human skeletal remains; 0.3 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: None

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.3 linear feet (3.0 linear inches)

On Post: None
Off Post: 0.3 linear feet at Powers Elevation Company (Chapter 119, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

Formerly Agnes Phipps Sanatorium for the treatment of tuberculosis, the installation began in 1937 as the Air Corps Technical School, Denver Branch. During World War II photography, armaments, and B-29 crew training took place here. The base was named in June 1948 for the Denver aerial observer, Lieutenant Francis Brown Lowry, killed in action in World War I. President Dwight D. Eisenhower used major base facilities from 1952 to 1955 as the “Summer White House.” Lowry AFB was home to the U.S. Air Force Academy from 1954 to 1958 before completion of its permanent site in Colorado Springs. Strategic Air Command used Lowry from 1958 to 1965 in the Titan I missile program. All flight operations were terminated in July 1966. Once one of the world’s largest training facilities in audiovisual, avionics, logistics, munitions, and space operations, Lowry was scheduled for closure September 30, 1994. The Defense Finance Accounting Service and Air Force Reserve Personnel Center were to remain on site. The training missions of Lowry were to be transferred to Lackland AFB, Texas and undergraduate space training was to move to Vandenberg AFB, California (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995; Mueller 1989).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Lowry AFB. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Colorado.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Lowry AFB

Simmons, Thomas H., and R. Laurie Simmons


U.S. Air Force Civil Engineering Squadron
**Peterson Air Force Base**

**Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado**

### Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Storage Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total archaeological materials</td>
<td>0.4 ft³</td>
<td>On Post: 0.1 ft³ Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the University of Colorado Museum (Chapter 132, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifact Collections</td>
<td>0.4 ft³</td>
<td>On Post: 3.0 linear inches Off Post: 3.05 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status: Artifacts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collections require partial -to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peterson AFB in 1976 and transferred to Strategic Air Command in 1979. In 1983, it was transferred to Air Force Space Command, 1st Space Wing. The 3rd Space Support Wing, established in 1986, and the 21st Space Wing, established in 1992, were the hosts of Peterson Complex which includes personnel from Peterson AFB, Cheyenne Mountain AFB, and Falcon AFB (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995; Mueller 1989). In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Peterson AFB. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a
number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at two repositories in Colorado and one repository in California.

Assessment

Date of Visit: February 25, 1997
Point of Contact: Casey Buechler

Peterson AFB is located in eastern Colorado Springs. The environmental offices are located in the Civil Engineering building (Building 1324), which was constructed in 1976. Offices are located on the north end of the building, while the south end and much of the structure’s length is devoted to shops. The offices are currently housing less than 0.1 ft³ of archaeological materials (one projectile point) recovered from Peterson AFB and three linear inches of associated documentation.

It is important to note that when the St. Louis District team visited Peterson AFB, Mr. Casey Bueckler was the natural resource manager; however, this position is currently occupied by Ms. Elise Sherva.

Structural Adequacy

The Civil Engineering building has a concrete foundation, with brick-faced masonry/concrete bearing walls. The roof is built-up asphalt. The building is solid, with no major cracks or leaks. Facilities include offices in a section of one floor above grade and one below, and shops on the ground level. There are multiple aluminum frame windows throughout the structure, all equipped with shades. The collections storage area is the natural resource manager’s office, a systems furniture cubicle on the lower floor. The floor is concrete covered with carpet, with a suspended acoustical-tile ceiling.

Environmental Controls

Environmental controls in the Building 1324 consist of baseboard hot water radiator heat, air conditioning, and humidity regulation. Air systems are equipped with dust filters. Base personnel regularly maintain the facility, and cleaning is conducted daily by a contracted firm. Lighting is provided by nonfiltered fluorescent tubes.

Pest Management

There is no integrated pest management system. Monitoring and control of pests are the responsibility of the base-supported entomology department. The assessment team did not observe any signs of insects or rodents.

Security

Security measures for the building consist of key locks on exterior doors, a 24-hour in-house guard, and an intrusion alarm wired to the military police. In addition, after 5:00 p.m., access is restricted by the guard. Military police regularly patrol the area.

Fire Detection and Suppression

Fire detection consists of heat sensors, smoke detectors, and manual fire alarms wired to the base fire department. Fire suppression consists of fire extinguishers.

Artifact Storage

One prehistoric lithic projectile point recovered from Peterson AFB is stored in the natural resource manager’s office on base. The artifact is stored in a lateral overhead systems furniture file, which has a sliding overhead door. The file measures 14.75 x 47.75 x 16.5 inches (l x w x h). The primary container for the point is a small cardboard envelope that is labeled directly in pen with project, site number, and contents. The artifact is loose in the envelope and is itself unlabeled but has been cleaned.

Human Skeletal Remains

Peterson AFB is not curating any human skeletal remains.

Records Storage

Associated documentation is located in the natural resource manager’s office in the same lateral
overhead systems furniture file where the archaeological material is stored.

Paper Records
Paper records total 1.75 linear inches and consist of administrative and background documentation. These include National Register nomination forms, correspondence, phone records, and notes. Secondary containers for the documentation are manila folders, although some records are loose. Envelopes are labeled with an adhesive-backed paper tag, with information either typed or directly recorded in pen or marker.

Report Records
One copy of a spiral bound draft report totaling 0.25 linear inches is stored with the paper records.

Photographic Records
Color prints, black-and-white prints, negatives, and contact sheets encompass 0.5 linear inches and are stored loose with the paper records. Color prints are directly labeled in pencil or are stamped. Black-and-white prints are directly labeled with pencil. Negatives are enclosed in an archival-quality plastic sleeve. A photograph log is present in the records collection.

Maps and Oversized Documents
One large color map totals 0.25 linear inches of the document collection. The map is stored folded with the paper records.

Microformat Records
One 5-inch floppy computer disk is stored with the paper records.

Collections-Management Standards
Peterson AFB manages archaeological research projects for the base and for satellite installations located throughout the country and overseas. Peterson AFB is not a permanent curation facility. Therefore, collections management standards were not evaluated.

Curation Personnel
Peterson AFB is staffed with a natural resources planner, Elise Sherva. At the time of the St. Louis District visit, Casey Buechler occupied this position. The position has multiple areas of responsibilities, including cultural resources and curation.

Curation Financing
Funds for archaeological projects are acquired through a conservation budget, which is appropriated through environmental funds at the major command.

Access to Collections
The collections are accessed through the natural resource manager and are available to outside researchers as necessary.

Future Plans
There are no plans for upgrading the curation program, although Mr. Buechler expressed an interest in displaying the projectile point or other base collections at the facility in the future.

Comments
1. Filtered heating, air conditioning, and humidity control systems are present for the building.

2. The building has no integrated pest management system. Pest control is probably performed as needed, and there were no signs of a current problem.

3. The building is staffed with a 24-hour security guard, and it is equipped with an intrusion alarm. Access to the base by nonemployees is controlled, and the building is regularly patrolled by military police. Exterior doors are equipped with key locks.

4. Fire detection consists of smoke detectors, heat sensors, and manual fire alarms, and fire suppression consists of fire extinguishers.

5. The primary container for the archaeological material is a cardboard envelope.
6. Records are stored in manila envelopes, and placed on an open, unsecured systems furniture shelf.

**Recommendations**

1. Transfer the artifact and records to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Coordinate with applicable installations to establish memoranda of agreement for the permanent disposition of the collections.

2. Place the archaeological material in an archival box and an appropriate archival-quality polyethylene zip-lock bag. Insert an acid-free paper label into the bag.

3. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, paper clips, or other contaminants. All photographic material should be placed in archival-quality photographic sleeves, labeled properly, and stored in a secure storage unit.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Peterson AFB**

Anderson, Jane L.


Anderson, Jane L., and Steven F. Mehls


Baker, Steven G.

Higginbotham/Briggs and Associates

Hilman, Ross G., and William L. Tibesar

Hoffecker, John F., and Mandy Whorton

National Park Service

Reddish, Rodger
Rocky Mountain Arsenal
Commerce City, Colorado

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; No associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the University of Colorado Museum (Chapter 132, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Land for Rocky Mountain Arsenal was purchased in 1942 for the production of chemical weapons and munitions during World War II. The site was used from 1945 to 1950 for reconditioning and demilitarization of mustard shells. During the Korean War, Rocky Mountain Arsenal produced white phosphorous-filled munitions and incendiary cluster bombs. Nerve gas was produced at the installation from 1953 to 1957. The late-1950s to mid-1960s missions consisted of demilitarization programs, followed in the 1970s with the disposal of chemical weapons material. The current mission of the reservation is the cleanup of contaminated materials (Evinger 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Rocky Mountain Arsenal. A few archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Colorado.

Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Rocky Mountain Arsenal

EBASCO Services
1988 Litigation Technical Support and Services
Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Draft Final: Volume II Structure Profile Structures Survey, Version 2.2 Section 2.7 Buildings 1405-1405; Buildings 1501-1512; Buildings 1601-1622; and Buildings 1701-1736 (North Plant). EBASCO Services, Newark, New Jersey.
1993 *Detailed Analysis of Alternative Report Version 2.0 Structures DAA, Volume VI or VII* and I of VII. EBASCO Services, Newark, New Jersey.

Burchett, Timothy W., Marcia J. Tate, and Paul D. Friedman

1985 *A Cultural Resources Survey of the Proposed Stapleton Expansion Area.* Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.

Carrasco, E. Dederick


Harrison, Cheryl A.

1993 *Archaeological Survey of a 64 Acre Grass Planting Area, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Adams County, Colorado.* Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.

1993 *Cultural Resources Inventory of 10 Proposed Planting Areas, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Adams County, Colorado.* Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.

Hess, Jeffery A.

1984 *Historic Properties Report: Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Commerce City, Colorado.* MacDonald and Mack Partnership, Minneapolis.

Johnson, Ann M.

1982 *Reconnaissance Inventory of Reported Site Location (SAM185), Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado.* National Park Service, Rocky Mountain Regional Office, Interagency Archaeological Services, Denver.

Kuznear, Casimir, and William Trautmann

1980 *History of Pollution Sources and Hazards at Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado.*

Nickens & Associates


Tate, Marcia J.

1987 *South Adams County Sanitation District, Adams County, Colorado.* Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.

Tate, Marcia J., and Paul D. Friedman

1987 *Stapleton International Airport Runway and Taxiway, Denver and Adams Counties, Colorado.* Powers Elevation Co., Archaeology Department, Aurora, Colorado.
23

U.S. Air Force Academy

Colorado Springs, Colorado

---

### Collections Summary

**Collections Total:** 5.9 ft³ of archaeological materials; 6.4 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 5.9 ft³  
- **On Post:** None  
- **Off Post:** 5.6 ft³ at University of Colorado, Colorado Springs (Chapter 131, Volume 2); 0.2 ft³ at the University of Colorado Museum (Chapter 132, Volume 2); and 0.1 ft³ at the University of Denver Museum (Chapter 133, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Collections require rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

---

**Linear Feet of Records:** 6.4 linear feet (77.25 linear inches)  
- **On Post:** None  
- **Off Post:** 6.14 linear feet; 6.1 linear feet at University of Colorado (Chapter 131, Volume 2); 0.04 linear feet at University of Colorado Museum (Chapter 132, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** There is no funding for curation activities.

---

The U.S. Air Force Academy was authorized by Congress in 1954, making it the newest of the three service academies. The first class entered the program in 1955 at temporary facilities located at Lowry AFB. Completion of the Academy in Colorado Springs was in 1958. In 1959, the Commission of Colleges and Universities of North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools accredited the Academy's program and the first class graduated with 206 officers. Women were admitted into the Academy in 1976 (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Colorado Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, Colorado Historical Society in Denver. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for U.S. Air Force Academy. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at four repositories in Colorado.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at U.S. Air Force Academy

Arbogast, William R., Margaret Bost, Paul Groves, Lynn Grundmann, Timothy Hatch, Patricia Joy, and Thomas Wynn

Arbogast, William R., Art Grundmann, Thomas Wynn, and Michelle L. Zupan

Arbogast, William R., Michelle Hertz, and Thomas Wynn

Bambrey, Lucy Hackett


Hand, O. D.

Howey, Allan William

International Technology Corporation

Reed, Alan D.


Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, D.C.

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 1.9 ft³ of archaeological materials; No associated record.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.9 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 1.9 ft³ at the U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore (Chapter 137, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None
Linear Feet of Records: None
Status of Curation Funding: There is no funding for curation activities.

By congressional legislation, construction of the Walter Reed General Hospital was authorized on May 1, 1909. The medical center, named in Major Reed's honor, was founded on principles that would integrate patient care, teaching, and research. World War I saw the hospital's capacity grow from 80 patient beds to 2,500 in a matter of months. Through World War II, the Korean conflict, and the Vietnam War, hundreds of thousands of soldiers were treated here. In nine decades, the hospital has grown to a vast medical complex, treating hundreds of thousands of patients (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Department of Consumer Affairs, Historic Preservation Division in the District of Columbia. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Walter Reed Army Medical Center. A few historic sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collection are currently housed at one repository in Maryland.

Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Walter Reed Medical Center

Custer, Jay F.
KFS Historic Preservation Group


Meyer, Richard, and Charles D. Cheek
1990 *Main Section, Walter Reed Army Medical Center Cultural Resources Reconnaissance Survey.* Rogers, Golden & Halpern, Philadelphia. Submitted to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District.

Rogers, Golden & Halpern
Naval Air Station Barbers Point

Barbers Point, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 314.1 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 7.4 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 311.2 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 53.4 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 5.5 ft³ at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 1.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 251.3 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 2.9 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 2.6 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2) and 0.3 ft³ at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: An unknown number of individuals at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum are in good condition. A minimum number of three individuals at International Archaeological Research Institute are included in the Family Housing Project collections. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 7.4 linear feet (89.25 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 11 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 1.3 linear feet (16 linear inches) at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 5.75 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 4.7 linear feet (56.5 linear inches) at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 119, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not currently funded.

Prompted by World War II, NAS Barbers Point was commissioned in April 1942. It was immediately used to train pilots and service planes from aircraft carriers in the Pacific theater. Following the war, it served as a rapid demobilization center and supported functions of all area aviation activities. The adjacent Ewa Marine Corps Air Station was incorporated into the NAS Barbers Point boundary in...
1949. NAS Barbers Point was established as a major antisubmarine warfare aviation center. During the Korean War, the installation served as a cargo forwarding and personnel replacement center for United Nation forces. Known as the Crossroads of the Pacific and Home of the Rainbow Fleet, it currently is the home port for 28 tenant commands including Command, Patrol Wing 2, six patrol squadrons, a helicopter antisubmarine, and the Army's 214th Aviation Company (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for NAS Barbers Point. Archaeological sites have been recorded and reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed in four repositories in Hawaii.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at NAS Barbers Point**

Belt, Collins and Associates

Burgett, Berdena, and Paul H. Rosendahl

Dye, Tom

Erkelens, Conrad

Haun, Alan E.

Kaneshiro, R.
1994 *Summary of Archaeological Inventory Survey for Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) and Comprehensive Long-Term Environmental Action Navy (CLEAN) Program at 13 Sites Within the Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii*. Letter report, Department of the Navy.

Landrum, Jim

Miller, Linda  
1993 *Archaeological Data Recovery of State Sites 50-80-12-2710 and 50-80-12-2711 at Barbers Point, Honouliuli Ahupuaa Ewa District, Oahu Island. Anthropology Department, Bishop Museum, Honolulu. Submitted to R. H. S. Lee, Pearl City.*

O'Hare, Constance R., Thomas R. Wolforth and Paul H. Rosendahl  

Schilz, Allan  

Tuggle, H. David  
1995 *Archaeological Inventory Survey for Construction Projects at Naval Air Station Barbers Point, Oahu, Hawaii (Prefinal Report).* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Belt, Collins and Associates, Honolulu.

Tuggle, H. David, and M. J. Tomonari-Tuggle  
1994 *Cultural Resources of Naval Air Station, Barbers Point: Summary Assessment, and Inventory Research Design Task 1b: Archaeological Research Services for the Proposed Cleanup, Disposal, and Reuse of Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Oahu, Hawaii.* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Belt, Collins and Associates, Honolulu.


1995 *A Cultural Resource Inventory of Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Oahu, Hawaii: Part I: Phase I Survey and Inventory Summary (Prefinal).* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Belt, Collins and Associates, Honolulu.

Welch, David J.  
1987 *Archaeological Reconnaissance of the Former Ewa Marine Corps Air Station, Barbers Point Naval Air Station, Oahu, Hawaii (Prefinal Report).* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.

Welch, David, and H. David Tuggle  

Wicker, Stephen K., and H. David Tuggle  
1996 *A Cultural Resource Inventory of Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Oahu, Hawaii. Part II: Phase II Inventory Survey of Selected Sites (Prefinal).* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Belt, Collins and Associates, Honolulu.

Wulzen, Warren, and Paul H. Rosendahl  

Wulzen, Warren, and Paul H. Rosendahl  
Bellows Air Force Station
Waimanalo, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 13 ft\(^3\) of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 5.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 11.7 ft\(^3\)
On Post: None
Off Post: 5.7 ft\(^3\) at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 3 ft\(^3\) at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 1 ft\(^3\) at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 2 ft\(^3\) at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 1.3 ft\(^3\)
On Post: None
Off Post: 1.3 ft\(^3\) at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: An unknown number of individuals are located at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum and are good condition. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 5.1 linear feet (61.75 linear inches)
On Post: None
Off Post: 8 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 1.3 linear feet (16 linear inches) at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 4 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 4.75 linear inches at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 119, Volume 2); 2 linear inches at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2); and 2.3 linear feet (27 linear inches) at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are currently not funded.

A Presidential Order in 1917 claimed 1,500 acres of land in Waimanalo for military use. The Waimanalo Military Installation was established, and was later renamed Bellows Field after a World War I navigator, F. B. Bellows. During World War II, an air unit operated out of Bellows. The personnel stationed at the base were credited with capturing the first prisoners of war of World War II. After 1945, Bellows Field's primary military function was recreational, many of its buildings being sold off or rented to private businesses for storage. The airfield itself remained open only as an emergency landing
field until 1956, when an Air Force Communications Center was established there. By 1958, flying activities were terminated at the field, and the Air Force granted grazing leases for certain areas of the property. Presently, the installation serves as a recreational facility for the military, an interference-free site for Air Force Communications Command Transmitter Complex, a training area for the Marine Corps, and the site of the Hawaii Army National Guard Academy. The Waimanalo area is rich in cultural resources. Representation of the earliest occupation in the Hawaiian Islands has been identified at the Bellows Sand Dune Site, an archaeological site located on this military property (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Bellows AFS. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at six repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Bellows AFS

Anonymous

Athens, J. Stephen


Barrera, William, Jr.
1984 *Archaeological Services During Installation of Five Replacement Antennas at Bellows Air Force Station, Oahu, Hawaii.* Chiniago, Honolulu. Submitted to U.S. Army Engineer District, Pacific Ocean Division, Fort Shafter, Hawaii.

Carlson, Ingrid K.
1997 *Archaeological Monitoring of Thirteen Locales for Site Assessment Field Sampling Activities at Bellows Air Force Station, Waimanalo, Oahu, Hawaii* (Draft). International Archeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to CH2M Hill, Honolulu.

Carter, L. A.

Cordy, Ross H.
1975 *O18: (Oahu Island) New Work and New Interpretations.* Department of Anthropology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu.

Cordy, Ross H., and H. David Tuggle

Davis, Bertell
1978 *Subsurface Archaeological Reconnaissance of Selected Areas at Bellows Air Force Station, Oahu Island.* Archaeological Research Center Hawaii, Inc., Honolulu.

Douglas, Michael T., and Michael Pietrusewsky
1990 *Human Skeletal Remains Discovered at 41-042 Manana Street Waimanalo, Oahu, Hawaii.* University of Hawaii, Manoa.

Dower

Eidsness, Janet

Erkelens, Conrad

Finsch, Otto (translated by A. D. Alexander)

Griffin, P. Bion
1985 *Test Excavations at the SEAREX Tower Site, Bellows Field Archaeological Area, Bellows Air Force Station, Waimanalo, Oahu.* Department of Anthropology, University of Hawaii, Manoa.

Hammatt, Hallett

Hammatt, Hallett H., and David W. Shideler

Harland Bartholomew and Associates

Hurlbett, Robert E.


Hurlbett, Robert E., and Alan E. Haun


International Archaeological Research Institute
1988 *Intensive Archaeological Survey and Data Recovery at a Prehistoric Cultural Deposit Site, Bellows Air Force Station, Waimanalo, Oahu*. International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu, Hawaii. Submitted to U.S. Army Engineers District, Honolulu.

Jackson, Thomas L.

Jensen, Peter

Kam, Wendell
1985 Field Inspection of Bellows AFB Picnic Area #6, Waimalao, Koolaupoko, Oahu.


1986 Investigation of Discovery of Human Skeletal Remains at Bellows AFS, Koolaupoko, Oahu.

Laudrum, Jim, and Allan Schilz

Leidemann, Helen, and Paul Cleghorn

Manable, T. N., and H. David Tuggle (editors)

McNeill, J. R.


Miller, Lynn O.

Nakama, Stella K., and H. David Tuggle (editors)

Nakamura, Greig et al.

Pearson, Richard J., Patrick Vinton Kirch, and Michael Pietrusewsky
1967 An Early Prehistoric Site at Bellows Beach, Waimanalo, Oahu, Hawaiian Islands.

Riley, Thomas J.
Rolett, Barry V.


Rosendahl, Paul H.


Shun, Kanalei


Spriggs, Matthew

Streck, Charles F., Jr., and Farley K. Watanabe


Tuggle, H. David


1982 *Archaeological Reconnaissance: Bellows Air Force Station Waimanalo, Oahu*. 


Tuggle, H. David, and Stella Nakama


Tuggle, H. David, Stella K. Nakama, and Thomas N. Manabe

**Camp H. M. Smith**

**Camp H. M. Smith, Hawaii**

### Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total</th>
<th>On Post: None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off Post: 1 linear inch at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2) and 0.75 linear inches at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: None</td>
<td>Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: None</td>
<td>Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are currently not funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Feet of Records: 0.1 linear foot (1.75 linear inches)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camp H. M. Smith is a 220.5-acre facility located on the northeast edge of Pearl Harbor. It was established as a naval hospital in 1942. The Marines acquired the facility in 1955, and it was dedicated in 1956 after its first commander, Lieutenant. General Holland M. "Hollin’ Mad" Smith. Today, Camp H. M. Smith serves as headquarters for Commander in Chief, Pacific, Marine Force, Pacific, and other commands (Evinger 1995; Cragg 1994).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. There are no records of archaeological sites on Camp H. M. Smith. Associated documentation is housed at two repositories in Hawaii.

### Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Camp H. M. Smith

Cleghorn, Paul L. and Nancy Farrell

Dillingham Military Reservation
Dillingham Air Force Base, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: No archaeological materials or human skeletal remains; 0.75 linear inches of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: None

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.75 linear inches

On Post: None

Off Post: 0.75 linear inches at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are currently not funded.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Dillingham Military Reservation

Rosendahl, Paul H.
Streck, Charles F., Jr.
Fort DeRussy
Honolulu, Hawaii

Collections Summary
Collections Total: 25.8 ft$^3$ of archaeological materials; 1.9 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 25.8 ft$^3$
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 23.8 ft$^3$ at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2) and 2 ft$^3$ at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Fort DeRussy occupies 72 acres on the beach at Waikiki, which is located on the southeast shore of the island of Oahu. The land was once used as duck ponds for Hawaiian royalty and fell under U.S. military ownership in the early 1900s. Work on the Fort began in 1910 with the construction of coastal batteries. The coastal defense system remained in service through World War II, when strategic air power rendered the batteries obsolete. Shortly after the war, they were decommissioned and razed. Battery Randolph was restored in 1970 to exhibit the history of the U.S. military in Hawaii and was placed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1984 as part of the Military District of Honolulu. Today Fort DeRussy serves as an Armed Forces Recreational Center. The Hale Koa Hotel, a first class resort, is reserved for military personnel (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded at Fort DeRussy and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at four repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort DeRussy

BioSystems Analysis


Carlson, Ingrid K.


Carlson, Ingrid K., Sara Collins, and Paul Cleghorn


Cleghorn, Paul L.


Cummings, Linda Scott


Davis, Bertell D.

n.d. Memo to Dr. Joyce Bath, SHPO Office, RE: Reports on Archaeological Surface Reconnaissance at Fort DeRussy. PHRI, Hilo.


Denham, Tim

Garcia and Associates

Johnson, Robert J., Paul L. Cleghorn, and Thomas L. Jackson

Rosendahl, Paul H.


Simons, Jeanette, Paul L. Cleghorn, Robert J. Jackson, and Thomas L. Jackson

Streck, Charles F., Jr.
### Collections Summary

**Collections Total:** 77.2 ft³ of archaeological material and human skeletal remains; 1.5 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 42.4 ft³
- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 0.9 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2) and 41.5 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

  **Compliance Status:** Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** 34.8 ft³
- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 1.3 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2) and 33.5 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

  **Compliance Status:** A minimum of one individual at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum is in good condition. A minimum of 90 individuals are currently located with collections at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

**Linear Feet of Records:** 1.5 linear feet (18.13 linear inches)
- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 0.13 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 1.0 linear inch at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 4.0 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 1.1 linear feet (13 linear inches) at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

  **Compliance Status:** Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation activities are not funded.

Pearl Harbor, located on the island of Oahu, is a strategic military stronghold that has played a significant role since early in the history of the United States' association with Hawaii. The Reciprocity Treaty of 1875 granted the U.S. sole rights to the entrance of Pearl Harbor. Fort Kamehameha which is located on the east side of Pearl Harbor, became a military installation in 1901. The installation was originally known as Queen Emma Military Reservation, named after its former resident Emma Rooke, wife of Kamehameha IV (Rosendahl 1977; Watanabe 1991).
In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Fort Kamehameha. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at five repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Kamehameha

Byars, Sara

Drolet, Robert P.


1996 *Phase I Archaeological Subsurface Testing and Data Recovery at Fort Kamehameha Wastewater Treatment Plant, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii (Final)*. Ogden Environmental and Energy Service Co., Honolulu.

Drolet, Robert P., and Allen Schilz

Erkelens, Conrad, and J. Stephen Athens

Eulberg, Delwyn

Hamatt, Hallett H., and Douglas Borthwick


Hammatt, Hallett H., Douglas Borthwick, and David Shideler


Hinkes, Madeline J.
1988 Skeletal Remains Recovered from Fort Kamehameha.

Kaku, Melvin N.

Masse, W. Bruce
1992 Weekly Status Reports for Phase I Archaeological Fieldwork Conducted in Conjunction with the Fort Kamehameha Sewage Treatment Plant Expansion Project. Status Reports 1-5. Department of Navy, PACDIVNAVFACENCOM, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

McAllister, J. Gilbert

Rosendahl, Paul H.

Streck, Charles F., Jr.


Watanabe, Farley K.

Fort Shafter
Fort Shafter, Hawaii

Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: 8.7 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 1.3 linear feet of associated records.</th>
<th>Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: 7.4 ft³</td>
<td>Linear Feet of Records: 1.3 linear feet (16.1 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 1.3 ft³ at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 1.1 ft³ at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); and 5.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)</td>
<td>Off Post: 0.13 linear inches at Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 0.25 linear inches at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 10.25 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 1.0 linear inch at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 4.5 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological preservation.</td>
<td>Compliance Status: Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: 1.3 ft³</td>
<td>Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 1.3 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status: A minimum of five individuals is located at the Bishop Museum.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fort Shafter was established as a military reservation in 1899 under the name Kahauiki. It was briefly renamed Camp McKinley and received its present name in 1907. It was the first permanent military reservation established in Hawaii. Today Fort Shafter serves as Headquarters, U.S. Army Pacific (USARPAC) and is responsible for providing Army ground combat forces throughout the Pacific region (except Korea), support for those forces administratively and logistically, and reserve contingency plans to meet any ground threats to the United States’ interests in the Pacific. Richardson Hall, on the grounds of Fort Shafter, is known as the “Pineapple Pentagon” (Cragg 1994).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the
Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Fort Shafter and reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at five repositories in Hawaii.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Shafter**

**Anderson, Lisa**

**Athens, J. Stephen, and M. J. Tomonari-Tuggle**

**Erkelens, Conrad, and M. J. Tomonari-Tuggle**

**International Archeological Research Institute**

**Office of the Deputy Installation Commander**

**Jackson, Thomas L., Kanalei Shun, and Marshall Weisler**

**McAllister, J. Gilbert**

**Rosenfeld, Paul H.**

**Shun, Kanalei, and Thomas L. Jackson**
Tomonari-Tuggle, M. J., Stephen Hamilton, and
Katherine Bouthillier
1996 Fort Shafter: Cultural Resource
Investigations at Hawaii's First Military
Post. International Archaeological Research
Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to U.S. Army
Corps of Engineers, Pacific Ocean Division,
Fort Shafter, Hawaii.

Williams, Scott, Lisa Anderson, and James Landrum
1996 Archaeological Monitoring and Sampling
During Construction for FY88 SR DN67
Warehouse Administration Facility, Shafter
Flats. Ogden Environmental and Energy
Service Co., Honolulu.
Radio Station
Helemano, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 3 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.2 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 3 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guideline and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.2 linear feet (2.0 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 2.0 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are currently not funded.

Radio Station, Helemano is located in the center of the island of Oahu. In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Helemano Radio Station. Several archaeological investigations have been conducted on Helemano. Collections are housed at one repository in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Radio Station, Helemano

Anonymous
Faunkhauser, Barry L.

Rosendahl, Paul H.
Hickam Air Force Base
Hickman Air Force Base, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 8.8 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 2.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 7.5 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 2.6 ft³ Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 3.9 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); and 1.0 ft³ at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 1.3 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 1.3 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: An undetermined number of individuals is located in the Osteology Laboratory at the Bishop Museum. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 2.5 linear feet (30.25 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 1.75 linear inches at Archaeological Consultants of the Pacific (Chapter 77, Volume 2); 2.25 linear inches at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 1.5 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 9.0 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 8.0 linear inches at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); 3.75 linear inches at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2); and 4.0 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Hickam Field, was cut from sugar cane fields and brush on the eastern shore of Pearl Harbor beginning in 1934 by the Quartermaster Corps and was completed in 1938. Hickam AFB was named after Lieutenant Colonel Horace M. Hickam, who died in an air crash in 1934. Hickam AFB served as the hub of the Pacific aerial network during World War II. It supported aircraft transporting troop and supplies to forward areas. When Pearl Harbor was attacked by the Japanese on December 7, 1941, 124 people were killed, 37 were missing, and 274 were wounded at Hickam AFB. In 1957, Far East Air Forces, Headquarters moved from Japan to Hawaii and was redesignated as Pacific Air Forces, Headquarters.
Hickam AFB was recognized with the designation of National Historic Landmark in 1985. (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995) In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded and several reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at eight repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Hickam AFB

Anderson, Lisa

Anderson, Lisa, and Katherine Bouthillier

Denham, Tim, and Paul L. Cleghorn

Erkelens, Conrad

Hammatt, Hallett H., and Douglas Borthwick

Kennedy, Joseph, and Tim P. Denham

Tomonari-Tuggle, M. J., and Ann Yoklavich

Tuggle, H. David

Usha, Prasad
Kaena Point Tracking Station

Kaena Point, Hawaii

Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: 1.5 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.5 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Feet of Records: 0.5 linear feet (6.1 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 6.1 linear inches at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.5 ft³

- On Post: None
- Off Post: 1.0 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2) and 0.5 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Kaena Point Tracking Station was created under Executive Order 4679 in July 1923. The installation was mapped for full-scale construction in 1946. The Army built a satellite tracking station for the Air Force at Kaena Point in the 1950s, which is its current use by the Air Force (Hammatt and Borthwick 1987).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Kaena Point Tracking Station and reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at two repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Kaena Point Tracking Station

Anonymous
1993 *Natural Resources Management Plan.*
Hammatt, Hallett H., and Douglas Borthwick Rosendahl, Paul H.


Kahuku Training Area

Kahuku, Hawaii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collections Total:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume of Artifact Collections:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On Post:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Off Post:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Skeletal Remains:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kahuku Training Area is located near Kahuku Point, on the north shore of Oahu. Military use in the area began after the onset of World War II. The installation is currently comprised of land parcels leased from the State of Hawaii and from the Campbell Estate (Davis 1981).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Kahuku Training Area and reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at one repository in Hawaii.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Feet of Records:</th>
<th>0.1 linear feet (1.0 linear inch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>On Post:</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Off Post:</strong></td>
<td>1.0 linear inch at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong></td>
<td>Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Status of Curation Funding: | Curation activities are not funded. |

---

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Kahuku Training Area**

Davis, Bertell
Farrell, Nancy, and Paul Cleghorn  

McAllister, J. Gilbert  

Pfeffer, Michael, and Hallett H. Hammett  

Rosendahl, Paul H.  

Sterling, Elspeth P., and Catherine C. Summers  
1978 *Sites of Oahu.* Department of Anthropology, Department of Education, Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu.

Williams, Scott, and Jim Landrum  

Williams, Scott, and Tomasi Patolo  
Kawailoa Training Area

Kawailoa, Hawaii

Collection Summary

Collections Total: 1.0 ft\(^3\) of archaeological materials; 0.3 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.0 ft\(^3\)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 1.0 ft\(^3\) at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)
Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Kawailoa Training Area

Rosendahl, Paul H.
Kipapa Ammunition Storage Area
Kipapa, Hawaii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collections Total: 0.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; No associated records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None
Linear Feet of Records: None
Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Kipapa Ammunition Storage Area is located on the island of Oahu, north of Pearl Harbor. It was established in 1944, but is now reported as inactive. It served as an ammunition storage site.

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Collections are housed at one repository in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Kipapa Ammunition Storage Area

Hammatt, Hallett H., and Douglas Borthwick

Rosendahl, Paul H.
Naval Magazine, Lualualei
Waianae, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 19.0 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 1.2 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifacts Collections: 13.8 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 13.8 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 5.2 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 5.2 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: An undetermined number of individuals is located in the Bishop Museum Osteology Laboratory. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 1.2 linear feet (14.25 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 10.5 linear inches at Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2) and 3.75 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

The land on which Naval Magazine, Lualualei is located was acquired in 1929 from the McCandles Estate and from land set aside from Pearl Harbor Reservation. It was commissioned as Naval Ammunition Depot, Oahu in 1934. It served as an ammunition depot until 1974, when it was reestablished as a triservice facility, Naval Magazine, Lualualei. The facility receives, renovates, maintains, stores, and issues ammunition, explosives, expendable ordnance items, and weapons and technical ordnance materiel. The command is composed of Headquarters at Lualualei, West Loch Branch, which is shipping and receiving, and Waikele, which is a storage branch (Evinger 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Lualualei Naval Magazine and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at two repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Naval Magazine, Lualualei

Davis, Bertell D., and Greg C. Burtchard
1991 Archaeological Inventory Survey of the Proposed PPV Housing Area, West Loch Unit of the Lualualei Naval Ammunition Depot, Pearl City, Ewa, Oahu, Hawaii. International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Belt, Collins and Associates, Honolulu.

Haun, Alan E.

Jensen, Peter M., and Katherine Bouthillier

Jensen, Peter M., and James Head

Landrum, James, Robert Drolet, and Katherine Bouthillier

Nees, Richard

Riford, Mary F.

Sinoto, Aki
Makua Military Reservation

Kaena, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 5.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.6 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 5.3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 4.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 1.0 ft³ at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Makua Military Installation is located in the Makua Valley on the west coast of Oahu. That area of Oahu was used widely for farming and ranching. Military use began in the late 1920s when Makua was acquired for howitzer encampments. Use of the area for military purposes intensified in the 1930s with large-scale amphibious landings and the digging of signal corps cable lines. The 1941 attack of Pearl Harbor led to the takeover of the area for military use. During World War II, the entire Waianae Coast was used for military training. After the war, the army retained hold of Makua, and in 1964, a long-term lease of 65 years was agreed upon by the State of Hawaii and the U.S. Government for land in Hawaii, including Makua (Hammatt, Borthwick, and Shideler 1986).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Makua Military Reservation and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at three repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Related to Archeological Investigations at Makua Military Reservation

Burgett, Bee, Amy Dunn, Suzana Powell, Leann McCarthy, and Paul Spear

Carlson, Ingrid K., Paul Cleghorn, Frank Eble, Tom Jackson, and Marshall Weisler

Cox, David W.
1983 *Trip Report of Field Reconnaissance to Makua Military Reservation, Makua Valley, Oahu, to Investigate Possible Archaeological Resources.*

Eble, Francis, Paul Cleghorn, and Thomas L. Jackson

Hammatt, Hallett H.

Hammatt, Hallett H., Douglas Borthwick, and David Shideler


Hommon, Robert J.

Kelly, Marion, and Sidney Michael Quintal

McAllister, J. Gilbert

Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co.
Rosendahl, Paul

Sterling, Elspeth P., and Catherine C. Summers

Waianae Hawaiian Civic Club
Waianae Hawaiian Civic Club Historic Preservation Committee, Waianae.

Watanabe, Farley K.

Yent, Martha
40

Marine Corps Base
Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 677.5 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 7.3 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 27.5 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.8 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2); 2.6 ft³ at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 10.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 11.1 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); and 3.0 ft³ at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Linear Feet of Records: 7.3 linear feet (87.75 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 10.5 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 1.75 linear inches at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 1.75 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 1.5 linear feet (17.5 linear inches) at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 3.9 linear feet (47 linear inches) at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); 2.25 linear inches at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2); and 7.0 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 650 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 650 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: A minimum of 1534 individuals is located in the Bishop Museum Osteology Laboratory. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Marine Corps Base, Hawaii is located on the Mokapu Peninsula on the windward (north) side of the island of Oahu. Military use in the area began with the creation of a U.S. Army camp, Kuwaaohoe Military Reservation, in 1918. After World War I, the land was leased for ranching. It was reactivated in 1939, and a small seaplane base for the Navy was constructed. The role of the Naval Air Station expanded to the administration of Kaneohe Bay Naval Defense Sea Area. In 1941, Army artillery was moved to the base. Kaneohe Bay was the first area attacked on December 7, 1941. After the war, the
installation's role included small air operations, a small security detachment, and a federal communications center. In 1952, the whole peninsula was designated the Marine Corps Air Station, and naval operations moved to Barbers Point. The Mokapu area served as both precontact and postcontact native Hawaiian burial ground, and numerous remains and objects have been discovered and recovered from the area (Evinger 1991 and 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on MCB Hawaii and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at eight repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at MCB Hawaii

Adams, Jim

Allen, Jane, and Allan J. Schilz

Anderson, Lisa

Athens, J. Stephen

Barrera, William, Jr.


Clark, Jeffrey T.
1980 Mokapu Burial: Ulupau Dune Site, Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Oahu. Department on Anthropology, Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu. Submitted to Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Oahu.

Cleghorn, Paul L., Joseph Farrugia, Francis Eble, and Tim Denham
An Archaeological Curation-Needs Assessment of Military Installations in Select Western States

Collins, Sara, Toni Han, and Lisa Armstrong

Cordy, Ross

Davis, Bertell
1975 *Progress Reports on the Archaeological Survey and Salvage at the Kailua Effluent Force Main Project.* Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu. Submitted to Board of Water Supply, Department of Public Works, City and County of Honolulu.

Davis, Bertell, Tom Dye, and Wendell Kam
1976 *Archaeological Investigations at the Kailua Effluent Force Main, Kaneohe, Oahu Island.* Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu. Submitted to Board of Water Supply, Department of Public Works, Honolulu.

Drolet, Robert, Patricia A. Drolet, and Allan J. Schilz

Drolet, Robert, and Tomasi Patolo

Hammatt, Hallett, Douglas K. Borthwick, and David Shideler

Hammatt, Hallett H., and Brian L. Colin

Hommon, Robert

Hunter, Charlotte A.

Jackson, Thomas L. et al.

Kaschko, Michael W.
Maly, Kepa

Masse, W. Bruce
1991 *Data Recovery Plan for Negation of Adverse Effect of KB163MS Repairs to Sanitary Sewer System, MCAS, Kaneohe Bay Hawaii.*

1994 *Survey and Testing at Building 1614, in Conjunction with Beach Cottage Remodeling at Pali Kilo on Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay, Oahu.* Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.

Neller, Earl


O'Hare, Constance R., and Paul H. Rosendahl

Pietrusewsky, Michael
1992 *A Human Cranium and Associated Remains Recovered Near Ulupau Crater, Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe, Oahu.*

Price–Beggerly, Patricia
1987 *Archaeological Monitoring at Nuupia Ekolu Pond and Paakai Pond/Salt Works, During Nuupia Pond Improvement Project, Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe, Oahu, Hawaii.* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.


Riley, Tom

Rosendahl, Paul H. (editor)

Schausboe, Ragnar
1982 *Emergency Archaeological Data Recovery from an Exposed Cultural Deposit at Ulupau Dune, Mokapu Peninsula, Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay Koolaupoko, Kaneohe, Oahu Island.* Department of Anthropology, Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu. Submitted to Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.

Schilz, Allan J.


Schilz, Allan J., and Jane Allen


1996a *Archaeological Monitoring and Data Recovery for Negation of Adverse Effect of KB-038M, Replace Portable Water Mains, and Site 50-80-11-4933, Marine Corps Base Hawaii Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, Hawaii.* Ogden Environmental Services, Co., Honolulu. Submitted to Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.


Schilz, Allan J., et al.

Schilz, Allan, and Steven Dies

Shun, Kanalei
Spear, Robert L.

Tuggle, H. David
1983 *Archaeological Examination of Golf Course Paths, Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe, Oahu.* Department of the Navy, Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Pearl Harbor.


Tuggle, H. David, and Robert J. Hommon

Van Tilburg, Hans and Jim Adams (editors)
1994 *The History and Archaeology of PBY Flying Boats and Kaneohe Naval Air Station.* Maritime Archaeology Field School, University of Hawaii, Manoa, East Carolina University, and the USS Arizona Memorial, Pearl Harbor.

Watanabe, Farley
1990 *Archaeological Subsurface Reconnaissance Survey for FY90 AFH PN9224690 Construct Family Housing Project, Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe, Oahu Island, Hawaii.* U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pacific Ocean Division, Fort Shafter, Hawaii.

Welsh, David J.

Williams, Scott
Collections Summary

Collections Total: 12.0 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 2.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 8.1 ft³
   - On Post: None
   - Off Post: 8.1 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards of archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 3.9 ft³
   - On Post: None
   - Off Post: 3.9 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: An undetermined number of individuals is located at the Bishop Museum in the Osteology Laboratory. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 2.1 linear feet (25.25 linear inches)
   - On Post: None
   - Off Post: 1.25 linear inches at Archaeological Consultants of the Pacific (Chapter 77, Volume 2); 1.3 linear feet (15.25 linear inches) at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 0.5 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 8.25 linear inches at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards of archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

PMRF, Barking Sands encompasses 1,885 acres of land on the west side of the island of Kauai. In 1928, land south of the Nohili Barking Sands was set aside for the development of an air strip. In 1940, an executive order of the Territorial Governor conditionally transferred 548.6 acres of Crown (government) land to the War Department. The land was used to establish the Mana Airport Military Reservations. In 1941, an executive order added 1,508 acres to the facility. During World War II, the facility was heavily used by the military. From 1941 to 1948, the airfield was also used by commercial aviation, Hawaiian Airlines and Pan American clippers. The facility became the Bonham Auxiliary Airfield in 1954 under the Air Force. In 1964, 1,885 acres of the land were officially transferred to the Department of the Navy. The installation became Pacific Missile Range, Barking Sands in 1965, when responsibility for the facility transferred from Commanding Officer, Naval Air Station, Barbers...
Point to the Commander, Pacific Missile Range. Today, the facility is one of the foremost centers in the world for the detection of aircraft or vessels in the Pacific. It conducts combat training of fleets under realistic open-ocean war-at-sea scenarios using its extensive resources. (Evinger 1991, 1995)

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on PMRF Barking Sands and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at five repositories in Hawaii.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at PMRF Barking Sands**

Anonymous


Doolittle, James A.


Drolet, Robert P.

Gonzalez, Tirzo

Gordon, Elizabeth A.

Jarrell, D. A.

Jones, Bruce A.
Kennedy, Joseph


Kikuchi, William K.
1979 *Survey Report, Underwater Communications Project, Nohili Ditch Area, Pacific Missile Range Facility, District of Waimea, Island of Kauai.* University of Hawaii and Kauai Community College. Submitted to Department of the Navy, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Kekaha, Kauai.

Nagata, Ralson

O’Hare, Constance R., and Paul H. Rosendahl

Price–Beggerly, Patricia
1987 *Archaeological Investigations at Morse Field and Pacific Missile Range Facility South Point, Kamoia, Kau Island of Hawaii.* International Archaeological Research Institute, Honolulu. Submitted to U.S. Army Engineer District, Pacific Ocean Division, Fort Shafter, Hawaii.

Welsh, David J.


Williams, Scott S.

Wulzen, Warren and Peter M. Jensen
**Naval Complex**

**Pearl Harbor, Hawaii**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collections Total:</strong> 37.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; 4.1 linear feet of associated records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume of Artifact Collections:</strong> 37.3 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 37.3 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong> Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Skeletal Remains:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear Feet of Records:</strong> 4.1 linear feet (49.75 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 4.0 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2) and 3.8 linear feet (45.75 linear inches) at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong> Records are generally in very good condition and require minimal rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status of Curation Funding:</strong> Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearl Harbor, located on the island of Oahu, is a strategic military stronghold. It is named for the pearl oysters that once grew in those waters. The Reciprocity Treaty of 1875 granted the United States sole rights to the entrance of Pearl Harbor. In 1902 a coaling station was established in the harbor, and in 1908 Congress authorized the establishment of a naval station in Pearl Harbor. The history of Pearl Harbor is marked by the date December 7, 1941, when the surprise attack by the Japanese sunk four battleships, badly damaged four more, and permanently or temporarily put other warships out of commission. During that attack 2,113 military personnel were killed and 987 were wounded. The USS Arizona remains on the floor of Pearl Harbor and serves as a memorial and the grave of the sailors of the Arizona that were killed during the attack. The facility remains the Navy's most important base in the Pacific with 50 home-ported fleet units and 116 tenant commands (Evinger 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Pearl Harbor Naval Complex and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at two repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Pearl Harbor Naval Complex

Allan, Jane, and Allan J. Schilz

Anderson, Lisa K.

CH2M Hill

Davis, Bertell D.

Environmental Communications, Park Engineering


Erkelens, Conrad

Henry, Jack D., Susan T. Goodfellow, and Paul H. Rosendahl

Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co.

Sinoto, Aki


Williams, Scott S.


Pohakuloa Training Area

Hawaii

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 45.8 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 2.7 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 45.8 ft³
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 2.6 ft³ at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 3.0 ft³ at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 20.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 5.2 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); and 15.0 ft³ at the University of Hawaii-Hilo (Chapter 134, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 0.01 ft³
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 0.01 ft³ at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2)

Linear Feet of Records: 2.7 linear feet (32.75 linear inches)
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 0.75 linear inches at Garcia and Associates (Chapter 92, Volume 2); 4.5 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 6.75 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 10.75 linear inches at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); and 10.0 at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records are generally in very good condition and require minimal rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Pohakuloa Training Area is located in the north-central portion of the island of Hawaii in the saddle region between the mountains of Mauna Kea, Mauna Loa, and Haulalai. In 1955, cantonment facilities were constructed from World War II quonset huts. Pohakuloa Training Area is the largest subinstallation of U.S. Army Support Command, Hawaii. This interior plateau can accommodate all live fire of a light infantry division and can support a brigade task force. The mountainous training area serves approximately 27,000 troops a year (Evinger 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the
Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Pohakuloa Training Area and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at six repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Pohakuloa Training Area

Anonymous

Athens, J. Stephen, and Michael W. Kaschko

Barrera, William, Jr.


Cleghorn, June N. J.

Cleghorn, Paul L.


Cordy, Ross

Cox, David


1983 Site Visit and Archaeological Reconnaissance of the Firebreak Route Along Puu Kula Road, Pohakuloa Training Area (PTA), Island of Hawaii. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pacific Ocean Division, Fort Shafter, Hawaii.


Eidsness, Janet P.


ERC Environmental and Energy Services Co.


Hammatt, Hallett H., and David W. Shideler


Haun, Alan E.


Hommon Robert J.


Hommon, Robert J. and Hamilton M. Ahlo, Jr.


Hunt, Terry L.


James, Helen F.


Kalima, Lehua, and Paul H. Rosendahl


Kam, Wendell

1982 Inspection of Fire Break Route at Pohakuloa Training Area.
Nees, Richard, Scott Williams, and Paul Cleghorn

Ono, Susumu

Reinman, Fred M.

Reinman, Fred M., and Allan J. Schilz


Rosendahl, Margaret L. K.

Rosendahl, Margaret L. K., and Paul H. Rosendahl
1986 *Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey, Saddle Road Shoulder Project, Lands of Punahoa 2 and Pilhouua, District of South Hilo; Land of Humuula, District of North Hilo; Land of Kaohoe, District of Hamakua; and Land of Waikoloa, District of South Kahola, Island of Hawaii.* Letter report, Paul H. Rosendahl, Hilo. Submitted to Juvik and Juvik Environmental Consultants, Hilo.

Rosendahl, Paul H.

Shapiro, Lisa, and Paul L. Cleghorn

Shapiro, Lisa, William A. Shapiro, and Paul L. Cleghorn
Shapiro, William A.

Streck, Charles F., Jr.


Watanabe, Farley K.


Schofield Barracks
Schofield Barracks, Hawaii

Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total</th>
<th>5.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.7 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Volume of Artifacts Collections:** 5.0 ft³
- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 4.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2) and 1.0 ft³ at Scientific Consultants Services (Chapter 124, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

**Linear Feet of Records:** 0.7 linear feet (8.75 linear inches)

- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 1.25 linear inches at International Archaeological Research Institute (Chapter 99, Volume 2); 2.25 linear inches at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); 3.25 linear inches at Paul H. Rosendahl (Chapter 118, Volume 2); and 2.0 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation activities are not funded.

Schofield Barracks was established in 1909 and named for Civil War veteran Lieutenant General John M. Schofield. The installation currently serves as home to the 25th Infantry Division (Light), known as “Tropic Lightning,” and has since its establishment in 1941. Subinstallations of Schofield Barracks include Wheeler Army Air Field and Helemano Military Reservation (Cragg 1994).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the

Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded at Schofield Barracks and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at five repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Schofield Barracks

McIntosh, James, Paul Cleghorn, and Joseph Farrugia

McIntosh, James, Joseph Farrugia, Timothy Denham and Paul L. Cleghorn

McIntosh, James, Timothy Denham, and Paul L. Cleghorn


Powell, Gary A.
1984 *Archaeological and Botanical Notes: Schofield Barracks Forest Reserve, Wahiawa, Oahu.* Waimea Arboretum and Botanical Garden, Haleiwa.

Department of the Army


Henry, Jack D., Alan T. Walker, and Paul H. Rosendahl

McAllister, J. Gilbert
Rosendahl, Paul H.

Shideler, Barbara, Scott Williams, and Tomasi Patolo

Tomonari–Tuggle, M. J.

Watanabe, Farley
Army Recreation Center
Waianae, Hawaii

**Collections Summary**

**Collections Total:** 57.5 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 3.9 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 54.8 ft³

- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 35.9 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 14.5 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2); and 4.4 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** 2.7 ft³

- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 0.1 ft³ at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 0.1 ft³ at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2); and 2.5 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** A minimum of one individual is located at the Bishop Museum Osteology Laboratory. A minimum of one individual is located in the offices of Cultural Surveys Hawaii. Skeletal remains from an undetermined number of individuals are located in the offices of Ogden Environmental and Energy Services. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

**Linear Feet of Records:** 3.9 linear feet (46.6 linear inches)

- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 2.0 linear inches at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum (Chapter 81, Volume 2); 1.0 linear foot (12.1 linear inches) at Cultural Surveys Hawaii (Chapter 89, Volume 2); 2.1 linear feet (25.5 linear inches) at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2); and 7.0 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu (Chapter 139, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation activities are not funded.

Waianae Army Recreation Center is located along the shoreline of Pokai Bay on the west, or leeward, coast of Oahu. The Waianae District had a prehistoric population because of the abundant marine resources. Historically the area was a center of sandalwood trade, and it supported sugar plantations and ranching. The Waianae vicinity was heavily used for military training activities during World War II, and the Waianae ARC was established as a beach vacation camp for soldiers during that time. It continues to operate today as a vacation spot for Army members and their families, other service...
members, retired military personnel, and DoD civilians (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded at Waianae ARC and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at four repositories in Hawaii.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Waianae Army Recreation Center

Hammatt, Hallett H.

Hammatt, Hallett H., Douglas Borthwick, and David Shideler

Kam, Wendell

Kam, Wendell, and Jason Ota

Pietrusewsky, Michael, and Michele T. Douglas

Pietrusewsky, Michael, and Rona Ikehana

Riford, Mary R.

Rosendahl, Paul H.

Schilz, Allan
Sox, David G.  

Streck, Charles F., Jr.  
Wheeler Army Air Field
Hawaii

**Collections Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total</th>
<th>Linear Feet of Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.</td>
<td>0.8 linear feet (10.0 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 1.0 ft³
- **On Post:** None
- **Off Post:** 1.0 ft³ at Ogden Environmental and Energy Services (Chapter 116, Volume 2)

**Compliance Status:** Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

Wheeler Army Air Field, named for Major Sheldon H. Wheeler, was established in 1922 as part of Schofield Barracks. During World War II and until 1949 it was under the command of the 7th Air Force. In 1941, during the attack on Pearl Harbor, the field sustained extensive damage. From 1949 to 1951, it was inactivated on minimum caretaker status, only to be reactivated during the Korean War. At that time, the Army was responsible for airfield operations and the Navy was responsible for rescue operations. In 1991, the Army again assumed operational control of the installation (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Department of Land and Natural Resources in Honolulu. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Wheeler AAF. Collections are housed at three repositories in Hawaii.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Wheeler AAF

Department of the Army
1995  

Bouthillier, Katherine
1994  

McIntosh, James, Timothy Denham, and Paul L. Cleghorn
1995  

Tomonari-Tuggle, M. J.
1994  
Fort Leavenworth
Kansas

Collection Summary

| Collections Total: 85.1 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 1.9 linear feet of associated records. | Museum, Center for Archaeological Research. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA. |
| Volume of Artifact Collections: 84.1 ft³ | Linear Feet of Records: 1.9 linear feet (23.25 linear inches) |
| On Post: 32.3 ft³ | On Post: 1.0 linear feet (12.5 linear inches) |
| Off Post: 1.8 ft³ at Kansas Historical Museum, Center for Archaeological Research (Chapter 101, Volume 2) and 50.0 ft³ at the University of Kansas, Museum of Anthropology (Chapter 135, Volume 2) | Off Post: 10.75 linear inches at the University of Kansas, Museum of Anthropology (Chapter 135, Volume 2) |
| Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation. | Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation. |

| Human Skeletal Remains: 1.0 ft³ | Status of Curation Funding: The Army provides maintenance on the building, the heating system, staff salaries, and a budget of $5,000 a year. Private associations such as the Musettes and the Fort Leavenworth Historical Society raise funds for upgraded storage and conservation of the collections on post. |
| On Post: None | |
| Off Post: 1 ft³ at the Kansas Historical Museum, Center for Archaeological Research (Chapter 101, Volume 2) | |
| Compliance Status: A minimum of one individual is located at the Kansas Historical Museum, Center for Archaeological Research. | |

Fort Leavenworth, named after General Henry Leavenworth, was established in 1827. The fort is located on the northwest corner of the greater Kansas City metropolitan area. Notable historic sites are located on base, including the oldest building in Kansas and one of the first of 12 cemeteries established by President Lincoln in 1862. In 1881, the School of Application for Infantry and Cavalry (since evolved into the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College) was founded. Students are taught to lead fighting units at the tactical and operational levels of war. Command and General Staff School, School of Advanced Military Studies, Combined Arms and Services Staff School, School for Command Preparation, and School of Corresponding Studies make up the college.
The college also develops Combined Arms doctrine for Army divisions and corps (Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 1996).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Kansas State Historical Society in Topeka, which included a review of the pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Fort Leavenworth. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at three repositories in Kansas, including the Frontier Army Museum on post.

Assessment

Date of Visit: August 20–21, 1996

Point of Contact: Steve Allie

The Frontier Army Museum is a military museum at Fort Leavenworth, dedicated to preserving collections for use in educating the public of the Army’s role during the days of western expansion (Figure 12). Most of the collections are historic military objects; however, the museum also preserves and exhibits a large collection of horse-drawn vehicles.

Frontier Army Museum is currently curating 32.3 ft³ of archaeological materials and 1.0 linear foot of documentation resulting from archaeological work conducted on post. The museum is not currently curating human skeletal remains associated with archaeological research projects.

The 55-year-old Building 801 was formerly used for classrooms. Current space use includes exhibits, a gift shop, staff offices, and collection storage areas. The museum has been renovated to contain a collections storage room, which is essentially a building within a building. This interior repository was constructed between three and four years prior to the St. Louis District visit.

Structural Adequacy

The main structure has a concrete slab foundation and wood frame exterior walls. It has one level above ground, with a partial mezzanine, and no floors below grade. The rubber roof is six years old. The roof and foundation are structurally solid; however, water has leaked into the building in the past outside the collection storage area. Windows covered with shades are located on the east and west walls. Windows on the north and south walls have been permanently blocked off. The wood-framed windows are original to the structure and measure 3 x 4 feet (w x h). Most of the windows appear airtight.

Environmental Controls

The facility utilizes a chilled water cooling system and a low-temperature gas-fired heating system. The temperature and relative humidity is automatically monitored and regulated. An alarm sounds if the temperature or relative humidity goes beyond the set range. Dust filters are present on the systems. The facility is illuminated with filtered fluorescent lamps. The building is regularly maintained by the Army staff.

Pest Management

No integrated pest management system for the museum as a whole is in place; however, the building is monitored on a regular basis. Monitoring includes visual inspections and insect and rodent traps. Pest control methods are employed in the collections storage area, but they were not specified at the time of the assessment. Insects or
rodents quickly die after entering the building and coming in contact with residual DDT from years of monthly spraying. The assessment team found no evidence of current pest infestation.

**Security**

Security measures include key locks, dead-bolt locks, controlled access, motion detectors, an intrusion alarm wired to post security, and multiple video monitors that record to tape (Figure 13). All windows are barred on the outside and are permanently welded shut. Each exterior door has a security gate that is closed and locked after hours. No unauthorized entry has been documented, nor was there any evidence of forced entry at the time of the assessment.

![Figure 13. The museum is monitored with security cameras.](image)

The collection storage room has no windows. Access is strictly controlled and monitored with a key lock, intrusion alarm, and motion detectors. Collections are housed in unlocked metal cabinets. Special collections are kept in specimen cabinets or in a safe. None of these special collections are archaeological in nature. The collection storage room doors are metal with metal frames.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

Fire protection includes manual fire alarms, a wet-pipe sprinkler system, heat sensors, smoke detectors, and fire extinguishers. The fire department conducts monthly inspections. Fire alarms are wired directly into the fire department, which has an estimated two-minute response time. Plasterboard construction in this room meets the national code for fire protection.

**Artifact Storage**

**Storage Units**

Storage units consist of four enameled-steel, two-door, double-handle, storage cabinets or lockers with adjustable shelves and locking doors. A five-shelved locker and a six-shelved locker are both filled with collections in Hollinger® boxes. One cabinet contains special collections; some of the artifacts are loose on a shelf and others are stored in archival containers that have dividers to keep each item separate. The fourth cabinet is without shelves and contains only a small collection that has not been rehabilitated. Each cabinet, which measures 1.5 x 3 x 6.35 feet (1 x w x h), is labeled with the letter of the row and the cabinet number within that row. The percentages of material classes are outlined in Table 11.

**Table 11. Summary of Material Classes Present in the Archaeological Collections Housed at the Frontier Army Museum**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunal remains</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix/residue</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of material classes are based on volume. Other prehistoric material includes ceramic archaeological materials, shell, and 14C samples. Other historical-period material includes coal cinders, buttons, 14C, shell, stone, lithic, plastic, textile, soil, leather, toothbrush handle, gaming die, a marble, and a clay pipe bowl.
Primary Containers
Collections are stored in upright, acid-free document storage cases measuring 10 x 5 x 15 inches (l x w x h). These clamshell boxes are reinforced with metal cornices and have string pulls attached to the bottom for ease of access (Figure 14). Labels are typed on acid-free paper that are then taped to the side of each box. Additionally, special collections are stored in acid-free corrugated boxes with internal dividers for individual objects and have telescoping lids. The bottoms and tops of these boxes are additionally reinforced with metal hinges. A few of the items are stored loose on shelves in the storage units. A small portion of the collection is stored in clear plastic boxes with telescoping lids. Unrehabilitated collections are stored in acidic boxes with telescoping lids.

![Figure 14. Artifacts are stored in acid-free cardboard box, plastic boxes, and loose on shelves in a steel cabinet.](image)

Secondary Containers
Secondary containers consist of archival zip-lock bags directly labeled in permanent marker. Special collections stored in the divided boxes have no secondary containers. Unrehabilitated collections are stored in paper bags directly labeled with marker. Bags are often secured with rubber bands.

Laboratory Processing and Labeling
Collections have all been cleaned and sorted. Only a small portion is directly labeled in India ink and covered with a clear sealant.

Human Skeletal Remains
The are no human skeletal remains at the museum.

Records Storage
Records are stored with the artifact collections. None of the records have been rehabilitated, and all of them are stored in the materials and method of organization prepared by the project contractor.

Paper Records
Paper records include field forms, laboratory analysis forms, field notebooks, flotation sample records, grid drawings of sites, administrative records, artifact catalogs, feature forms, and profile drawings all totaling 7.4 linear inches. None of the paper records are acid free. Some are stored in acidic manila envelopes. Contaminants such as metal clips and staples are present.

Report Records
Report records total 1.9 linear inches and are bound and unbound in a three-ring, nonarchival binder.

Photographic Records
Photographic records, totaling 2.0 linear inches, include color slides and color photographs, black-and-white photographs, contact sheets, and negatives. These have been stored in archival sleeves. Slides have been directly labeled in marker. Photograph logs have been stapled to each sleeve. Original records are marked in pencil and ink.

Maps and Oversized Documents
Maps, totaling 1.25 linear inches, include survey sketch maps, field maps, and photocopies of maps modified with added project information. Some of the maps are in poor condition and are torn, folded, stained, and unlabeled.

Collections-Management Standards

Registration Procedures

Accession Files
Archaeological collections are not accessioned into the collections.
Location Identification
There is no location information for the archaeological collections.

Cross-Indexed Files
The files are not cross indexed.

Published Guide to Collections
There is no published guide to the collections.

Site-Record Administration
The Smithsonian Institution trinomial site-numbering system is used.

Computerized Database Management
All of the historical-period collections, excluding the archaeological collections, are managed using a computerized database system.

Written Policies and Procedures

Minimum Standards for Acceptance
Collections must have proper significance in accordance with the Museum’s mission, a clear title, and provenience before being considered for acceptance by the Frontier Army Museum.

Curation Policy
The written curation policy describes the acquisition and registration procedures, as well as processing, storage, and conservation of materials.

Records-Management Policy
The written policy describes the acquisition, processing, and storage of materials.

Field-Curation Guidelines
There are no field-curation guidelines.

Loan Procedures
The curator, with the concurrence of the Center for Military History, will approve a written loan request by specific nonprofit institutions. Upon approval, the borrower must agree in writing to specific conditions regarding use and handling, photography and copyrights, transportation and insurance responsibility, and the acknowledgment of ownership when writing exhibition text.

Deaccessioning Policy
Items may only be kept under the control of the Chief of Military History, unless approval is given. The policy also describes the need for documenting all processes involved in deaccessioning or transferring artifacts. Such documentation will be retained in the museum’s permanent records.

Inventory Policy
Collections are inventoried every two years.

Latest Collection Inventory
The most recent collection inventory prior to the St. Louis District visit was in 1995.

Curation Personnel
Museum staff include a director, a curator of collections, an exhibit specialist, a museum technician, and a museum aide.

Curation Financing
The Army pays for the upkeep of the building, the heating system, staff salaries, and a budget of $5,000.00 a year. Private associations such as the Musettes and the Fort Leavenworth Historical Society raise funds for upgraded storage and conservation of the collections.

Access to Collections
Access to the collections is controlled by the museum staff. Interested researchers with proper credentials may gain access by prearranging an appointment with the director or curator.

Future Plans
The museum intends to replace older cabinetry with new, hermetically sealed cabinets.
**Comments**

1. The building is structurally sound.

2. There are proper temperature and relative humidity monitoring and control devices solely for the collection storage room. A separate environmental control system is used for the rest of the repository.

3. No standard pest-management system has been implemented in the building but is considered to be unnecessary by staff due to the amounts of residual pesticide remaining from previous eradication and control measures.

4. Intrusion detection and deterrent measures for the facility meet the guidelines established in 36 CFR Part 79.

5. Fire detection and suppression devices within the facility as a whole, and especially within the collection storage room, are adequate.

6. The majority of collection materials are housed in archival-quality, nonacidic cardboard primary containers and 4-mil, zip-lock plastic bags. Only materials that have been received recently from ongoing projects are housed in acidic cardboard primary containers and acidic paper bag secondary containers.

7. Label information on primary and secondary containers is consistent.

8. Not all artifacts have been directly labeled in india ink.

9. Human skeletal remains recovered from Fort Leavenworth are housed at the Kansas Historical Museum in Topeka.

10. Storage of associated records does not meet modern archival standards. In some cases, original project documentation is stored in the same boxes as the artifacts.

**Recommendations**

1. Employ an integrated pest management program, including methods for monitoring and control.

2. Label all artifacts with indelible ink to prevent information loss if artifacts are separated from provenience data.

3. Rehabilitate recently acquired materials to conform with modern curation standards.

4. Apply adhesive polyethylene plastic label holders, with acid-free inserts, to the boxes. Labels should no longer be permanently affixed to the boxes. By using label holders, when label information or box content changes, inserts can be replaced, thus reducing the chance for conflicting and confusing information.

5. Replace acidic paper secondary containers with appropriate zip-lock, polyethylene plastic bags, and label with indelible ink. Labels for secondary containers should be made from spun-bonded, polyethylene paper (e.g., Nalgene polypaper), labeled in indelible ink, and inserted into the secondary containers.

6. Arrange associated documentation according to modern archival procedures, and create a finding aid for the documentation.

7. Remove all contaminants (e.g., staples, paper clips, and rubber bands) from the documents.

8. Duplicate all paper records onto acid-free paper, and place in acid-free folders labeled in indelible ink. Place all folders in acid-free cardboard boxes, and apply adhesive, polyethylene plastic label holders, with acid-free inserts, to the boxes or use file cabinets.

9. Make a duplicate copy of all associated documentation, and store these materials in a separate, fire-safe, secure location.

10. Ensure NAGPRA compliance for the human skeletal remains at the Kansas State Historical Museum.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Leavenworth

Anonymous

Bailey, Berkley B.
1993 Archaeological Mitigation of the U.S. Army Signal Corps Stable Guard House, Crematory & Water Purification Plant (Building 268) and Associated Garbage Dumps and Burn Piles Uncovered During Construction of Eisenhower Hall at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. Department of Anthropology, University of Oklahoma, Norman.

Barr, Thomas P., and Don D. Rowlinson
1977 An Archaeological Inventory of the Fort Leavenworth Military Reservation. Kansas State Historical Society, Topeka.

Harland Bartholomew and Associates and American Resources Group

Logan, Brad

Logan, Brad (editor)
1995 The DB Site Data Recovery Plan for a Stratified Prehistoric Upland Occupation, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. Museum of Anthropology, University of Kansas, Lawrence.

Theis, Randall M.

Wagner, Mark J., Frances R. Knight, Tracy Sandefur, Terrance J. Martin, and Kathryn E. Parker

Wagner, Mark J., Mary R. McCorvie, Brad Koldeholf, Terrance J. Martin, and Kathryn E. Parker

Witty, Thomas A., Jr., and James O. Marshall

Zeigler, Robert J.
Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant
Desoto, Kansas

Collection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: 0.1 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.1 linear feet of associated records.</th>
<th>Linear Feet of Records: 0.1 linear feet (1.7 linear inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume of Artifact Collections:</strong> 0.1 ft³</td>
<td><strong>On Post:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On Post:</strong> None</td>
<td><strong>Off Post:</strong> 1.2 linear inches at the Kansas City Museum (Chapter 100 Volume 2) and 0.5 linear inches at the University of Kansas, Museum of Anthropology (Chapter 135, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Off Post:</strong> 0.1 ft³ at The Kansas City Museum (Chapter 100 Volume 2)</td>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong> Collections comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong> Collections comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
<td><strong>Status of Curation Funding:</strong> Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

When Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant (SAAP) opened in 1942 on 9,000–9500 acres in Desoto, Kansas, it became the world’s largest powder plant. Since then, SAAP has manufactured various smokeless powders and other propellant products used in small arms, cannons, and rockets. Nitrocellulose and nitroglycerine are two base explosives that had been prepared at the plant. Additionally, nitric and sulfuric acid, each essential in large quantities for the production of base explosives, also have been produced and regenerated. A third base explosive, nitroguanidine, and a main ingredient, calcium cyanamide, were eventually produced at SAAP beginning as late as 1989 or as early as 1977, according to two different sources. The final year of production operation was 1992.

During World War II, 12,000 employees worked at SAAP, the highest level of employment. Since then, the installation status has fluctuated in response to the changing political climate. Beginning in 1946, SAAP was put on partial standby, then complete standby in 1948, only to be reactivated three years later for the Korean War. This pattern continued after the Korean War through the Vietnam War and various other missions. Today, SAAP is inactive and on standby status. The majority of the land has been leased and is now used for livestock grazing and hay production. SAAP is still government owned and contractor operated with a mission to maintain nitroguanidine production facilities in standby status for replenishment emergency production (Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant, 1996).
In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Kansas State Historical Society in Topeka, which included a review of the pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for SAAP. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at two repositories; one in Kansas and one in Missouri.

**Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant**

Feagins, Jim D.  

Waite, Philip R., and Duane E. Peter  
Fort Polk

Fort Polk, Louisiana

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 411.0 ft$^3$ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 136.2 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 411.0 ft$^3$
  On Post: 318.9 ft$^3$
  Off Post: 52.0 ft$^3$ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas at San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2); 5.0 ft$^3$ at Gulf South Research Corporation (Chapter 95, Volume 2); 35 ft$^3$ at New South Associates (Chapter 110, Volume 2); and 0.1 ft$^3$ at Northwestern State University (Chapter 113, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: <1 ft$^3$
  On Post: <1 ft$^3$
  Off Post: None

Compliance Status: A single human tooth, identified as a surface find from site 16SA98, was included among Fort Polk collection materials. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 136.2 linear feet (1,634.1 linear inches)
  On Post: 111.8 linear feet (1,341.6 linear inches)
  Off Post: 18.4 linear feet (220.8 linear inches) at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas at San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2); 4.5 linear inches at Gulf South Research Corporation (Chapter 95, Volume 2); and 5.6 linear feet (67.2 linear inches) at New South Associates (Chapter 110, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are financed and budgeted through FORSCOM. Annual funding is $65,000.

Fort Polk, an army post that has provided service for every U.S. military crisis, is located on 198,134 acres in central-western Louisiana. More than half, 100,009 acres, of this land is owned by the post. The rest belongs to the Forest Service. The property has been divided into three levels of utilization: intensive, special, and limited use. The terrain varies greatly from jungle-type vegetation to broad, rolling plains.

Currently Fort Polk is the home of the Warrior Brigade and affiliates of the XVIII Airborne Corps, the 2nd Armored Calvary Regiment, and the 108th Air Defense Artillery Brigade. Each have rapid deployment missions. The post also has medical, dental, and military police commands.
Over a million soldiers have passed through Fort Polk for some type of military training. Training has been a part of the post’s mission from the beginning in 1941. From World War II and again through the Korean War thousands of soldiers were prepared for combat. During the 1960s and early 1970s, Fort Polk was an infantry training center, held advanced Vietnam-oriented training, and later was chosen to be the sole infantry training center, which lasted until 1976 (Fort Polk, 1996).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Office of Cultural Development, in the Department of Culture, Recreation, and Tourism in Baton Rouge. Research included a review of the pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Fort Polk. Numerous archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigation. Currently, most of the archaeological collections are housed on post. In addition, collections are housed at four repositories in Louisiana and Texas.

**Assessment**

**Date of Visit:** October 28–November 8, 1996

**Point of Contact:** James Grafton and Gina Lay

The Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center is located in Building 2531 on Fort Polk (Figure 15). Approximately 318.9 ft³ of archaeological collection materials and 111.8 linear feet of project documentation for Fort Polk, including final report distribution copies, are housed in the Center’s collection storage room. The Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center houses one human skeletal element, an unassociated tooth.

**Structural Adequacy**

Building 2531, the Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center, was constructed in 1941 to serve as a temporary barracks for military training during World War II. It is a two-story wood structure with a total of area of 8,800 ft²—4,400 ft² per floor. The entire building is elevated above ground level on a pillar foundation. The foundation is considered to be solid, although it is reported to have minor cracks. The building exterior is covered with aluminum siding (over the original wood siding) and the roof is constructed with shingles. The roof was reported to be approximately 10 years old, and no indication of water leaks were reported or observed.

There are three exterior entrance doors. Two exterior doors are located side-by-side on the east face of the building. One of these entrances leads directly to the second floor stairway and the upstairs conference room, and the other door enters into a hallway that leads into the office area and collections storage room. The third exterior door is located on the south face of the building and is kept permanently locked. Twenty-four windows are located throughout the facility. Each of these windows is approximately 3.5 x 4.5 feet (w x h). All windows have had the original wooden framing replaced with aluminum, and all appear to be airtight. All windows are equipped with shades and an adhesive layer of ultraviolet film.

The collections storage room, located on the south end of the first floor, has a total area of 1,100 ft². The interior walls are constructed with painted plasterboard, the floor is linoleum-covered wood, and there is an acoustical-tile drop ceiling. Within the collections storage area, four windows on the east wall and four on the west wall have been permanently boarded over with one-inch plywood that has been chemically sealed. There are two solid wood doors in the storage room, one in the south end and one in the north end. Both doors are internal to the facility, and there is no
means of direct access to the collections storage area from the building exterior.

In addition to artifact storage, the curation room also serves as an artifact holding area, a curation materials/supply holding area, and a records storage room. Stored items were arranged on shelves in a neat, organized fashion, and the open spaces between shelving units were clean and uncluttered. Artifact collection materials occupy approximately 70–80% of the available shelving capacity.

**Environmental Controls**

The facility is heated with an electric heat-pump that is set to a target temperature of 68°F. Cooling is provided by window-unit air conditioners. Both heating and air conditioning units are equipped with dust filters. Within the collections storage room, the relative humidity is monitored by hygrothermograph, and a dehumidifier is used to maintain a target humidity of less than 50%. Filtered overhead fluorescent lighting is utilized throughout the facility, including the collections storage area. Building utilities, including plumbing, electrical, and heating, were upgraded in 1991. There is no asbestos present within the building structure, and there are no overhead pipes within the collections storage area. The facility is cleaned on a weekly or an as-needed basis by the curatorial staff.

**Pest Management**

A professional pest management company is employed on an as-needed basis to provide pest monitoring and control within the collections storage area and the facility in general. There was no reported or observed evidence of pest infestation or related damage to collection materials.

**Security**

Building security is provided by key locks and dead-bolt locks on all exterior doors, and all windows are considered to be too high above the ground to allow entry from the outside. Interior doors into the collections storage area also are equipped with both key and dead-bolt locks, and access into this area is controlled by the curatorial staff. In general, entry into the collections storage area is limited to office personnel and outside researchers upon request. There was no reported evidence of previous unauthorized entry into the facility, and no signs of break-in were observed during the building assessment. The curatorial staff indicated that there would not be a high market value associated with collection materials which consist primarily of lithic flakes and ceramic sherds.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

There were no smoke detectors, heat detectors, or any other system for fire detection present within the Environmental Learning Center, which, according to cultural resources manager Jim Grafton, has an estimated burn time of about three minutes. Dry chemical fire extinguishers positioned throughout the facility (particularly near interior doorways) are the only fire suppression devices present. There are three fire extinguishers located within the collections storage room.

**Artifact Storage**

**Storage Units**

Collection materials are stored on baked-enamel open metal shelving units and in metal flat file cabinet drawers (Figures 16 and 17). Each open metal shelving unit measures 17.5 x 48 x 74.5 inches (l x w x h), and each provides approximately 36 ft³ of storage space. The 36 units present provide approximately 1,300 ft³ storage space, which is filled to approximately eighty percent of capacity with

![Figure 16. Artifacts are housed in cardboard boxes stored on metal shelving units in Building 2531.](image)
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Figure 17. Special artifacts and type collection are stored in a flat file cabinet.

collection artifacts, documentation, and curation supplies. Table 12 summarizes the material classes and the approximate percentages of each that are present in the collections housed at the Environmental Learning Center.

Primary Containers

Approximately eighty-two percent of the total volume of collection materials curated at the Fort Polk facility are packaged in acid-free cardboard primary containers that have a folded construction and telescoping lid security. Approximately six percent is packaged in acidic cardboard containers that have a glued or taped construction with folding flap security. Approximately eleven percent, primarily a 35-ft³ sectioned mural, is packaged in polyester felt padding or plastic, but not boxed. A few artifacts, (<1%), have been placed, unpackaged, on the open metal shelving. Table 13 indicates each type of primary container and the percentage by volume of the total collection packaged in each type of primary container.

Table 12.
Material Classes in the Fort Polk Artifact Collections Housed at the Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁴C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical-Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of material classes are based on volume. Other prehistoric materials include faunal remains, shell, and flotation samples. Other historical-period materials include wood and faunal remains.

Table 13.
Primary Container Types by Volume Housed at the Fort Polk Environmental Learning Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Container Type</th>
<th>Volume of Material (ft³)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid-free cardboard box</td>
<td>261.5</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic cardboard box</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt wrapping or plastic</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No primary container</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary container labels also vary. The boxes containing the rehabilitated portions of the collection are labeled with a box number only in pencil. Other primary containers have adhesive, computer-generated labeling or direct labeling (in ink) that identifies the project contractor and the site numbers of origin for the enclosed artifacts.

Secondary Containers

Collection materials are also packaged in variety of secondary containers. Among the collections that have been rehabilitated, all artifacts are packaged in archival-quality, zip-lock plastic bag secondary and tertiary containers. The boxes containing nonrehabilitated collections have a mixture of nonarchival-quality zip-lock plastic bag secondary and acidic paper bag secondary and tertiary containers. There are also a small number of amber-colored, plastic medicine bottles being used as tertiary containers. Metal artifacts have been stored with a bag of blue silica gel to absorb excess moisture. Most of the crystals are saturated; however, they can be removed, dried, and reused.
Laboratory Processing and Labeling

All boxes for the rehabilitated portion of the collection contain an acid-free inventory sheet. The inventories have been computer generated and are in zip-lock plastic bags. All artifacts have been sorted and cleaned, and approximately forty percent of the artifacts are directly labeled in black ink.

Human Skeletal Remains

A single human tooth is the only human skeletal element that was identified among the collections at Fort Polk. The tooth is stored on the collection shelves inside an archival cardboard box primary container labeled only with the number 8. The tooth itself is wrapped in aluminum foil and placed inside a zip-lock, plastic bag. A label inside the zip-lock bag states that the enclosed artifact is a human tooth from site 16SA98 (the knoll at the end of Eagle Hill Training Site) and that it was collected as a “surface find by Serrvello ca.1976–77.” This plastic bag is further enclosed in a polyester, felt-lined archival box inside a larger zip-lock, plastic bag (the secondary container) labeled “8-14a” with permanent black marker ink.

Records Storage

Project documentation records are stored in several ways. Some materials are boxed on shelves and often located with archaeological material collections, some are placed flat on the shelves with no container, and some are stored in a metal file cabinet. There is a collection of final reports in a metal, flat file cabinet.

Paper Records

There are 42.6 linear feet of paper records that include a combination of reports, field notes, maps, and project correspondence. The paper records are in good condition, although contaminants such as staples, rubber bands, and metal clips are present.

Report Records

Report records include 63.8 linear feet of draft reports, camera-ready final reports, and bound and unbound final reports for distribution.

Photographic Records

There are 2.6 linear feet of photographic records that include slides, negatives, contact sheets, and print materials. Some of these records are archivally processed and labeled, and others are stored inside the original commercial processing envelopes and negative sleeves.

Maps and Oversized Documentation

Fort Polk houses 2.8 linear feet of map records in several places in a variety of ways. Many have been placed flat in two metal map cabinets—one with 10 drawers measures 46.5 x 36.25 x 16.25 inches (l x w x h) and the other with 17 drawers measures 46.5 x 35.25 x 49.5 inches (l x w x h). Adjacent to these are 12 maps that have been rolled and are standing in a shallow wooden box. Other maps are folded in acidic cardboard boxes. Maps also are stored in a hanging map case constructed of plywood.

Collections-Management Standards

Registration Procedures

Accession Files

All materials are accessioned upon receipt.

Location Identification

The location of the collection is identified in the accession file.

Cross-Indexed Files

The files are not cross indexed.

Published Guide to Collections

There is no published guide to the collections.

Site-Record Administration

Site records are maintained for Fort Polk sites only.
Computerized Database Management
Collections are managed using a database management system.

Written Policies and Procedures
Minimum Standards for Acceptance
Fort Polk uses the Louisiana State Guidelines as the minimum standards for acceptance.

Curation Policy

Records-Management Policy
The facility has a records-management policy that addresses maps, other paper records, photographic materials, and the future preservation of the collection.

Field-Curation Guidelines
Field-curation guidelines exist.

Loan Procedures
There is no written loan policy.

Deaccessioning Policy
There is no written deaccessioning policy.

Inventory Policy
There is an inventory policy.

Latest Collection Inventory
The collections were last inventoried in 1995.

Curation Personnel
Curation personnel include James D. Grafton, cultural resource manager, and Gina Lay, curation and collections management assistant.

Curation Financing
Curation is financed through FORSCOM, with $65,000 budgeted for curation.

Access to Collections
Individuals authorized by the cultural resource manager are allowed access to the collections.

Future Plans
The curation and collections management assistant was recently hired to handle the full responsibilities of upgrading and maintaining the archaeological materials and records. More shelves will be added to the room to accommodate more boxes. The cultural resource manager hopes to receive funding to build a state-of-the-art curation facility.

Comments
1. Temperature and humidity levels are monitored and controlled.

2. The dehumidifier often shuts off over the weekend, after it fills to capacity.

3. Pest management is employed on an as-needed basis.

4. Security measures do not meet minimum federal standards.

5. Currently rehabilitated collections, both records and archaeological materials, have been properly processed and packaged.

6. The wooden building has no smoke or heat detectors and only manual fire extinguishers for fire suppression.

7. The silica gel desiccant placed with the metal objects is saturated.

8. Incoming collections have no holding area before they are integrated with the rest of the collections.
9. The processing and rehabilitation of materials completed by three different groups of individuals is inconsistent.

10. There are contaminants within the paper documents including staples, rubber bands, and metal clips.

11. Photocopies of records are stored with the originals.

**Recommendations**

1. Improve fire safety by adding smoke and or heat sensors wired to the local fire department throughout the building, and if possible, install a sprinkler system.

2. Reactivate the silica gel by drying it for three hours at 300°F.

3. Inspect the previously rehabilitated collections to ensure consistent processing. Label all artifacts with indelible ink to prevent information loss if artifacts are separated from provenience data.

4. Remove any contaminants from the records. If paper clips are needed, archival alternatives do exist.

5. Arrange associated documentation according to archival procedures and create a finding aid for the documentation.

6. Purchase an additional dehumidifier to avoid fluctuations in relative humidity.

7. Employ an integrated pest management system that includes the use of monitoring and control.

8. Maintain security copies of the records in a separate, fire-safe location.

9. Ensure NAGPRA compliance for the human tooth located with the collections.
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Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant
Shreveport, Louisiana

**Collections Summary**

**Collections Total:** 16.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 7.7 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 16.0 ft³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Volume/Linear Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 16.0 ft³ at Northwestern State University (Chapter 113, Volume 2)</td>
<td>16.0 ft³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Compliance Status:** Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** None

**Linear Feet of Records:** 7.7 linear feet (92.5 linear inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Volume/Linear Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 7.7 linear feet (92.5 linear inches) at Northwestern State University (Chapter 113, Volume 2)</td>
<td>7.7 linear feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation activities are not funded.

Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant opened on July 10, 1941, east of Shreveport in the Louisiana Parishes of Bossier and Webster. Since the beginning, this approximately 15,000 acre facility has remained government owned and contractor operated. LAAP has produced approximately 65 different ammunition items, including artillery shell metal parts, mines, rockets, fuzes, mortar rounds, and demolition blocks. At first, LAAP had eight ammunition lines and one ammonium nitrate graining plant. Today, the production mission of the plant has been limited to only the metal parts facility for emergencies; however, it must maintain the capability to accommodate the receipt and shipment of containerized cargo.

In 1989 LAAP was placed on the National Priorities List by EPA for several sites including—lagoons, landfills, manufacturing areas, a burning or open detonation ground, a land farm, and test areas. The burning/detonation ground will remain open until all stock is depleted (Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant 1996).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research in Baton Rouge at the Louisiana Office of Cultural Development in the Department of Culture, Recreation, and Tourism. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for LAAP. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are housed at one repository in Louisiana.
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Hawthorne Army Depot

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 14.6 ft³ of archaeological materials; 4.9 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 14.6 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 12.6 ft³ at Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (Chapter 96, Volume 2) and 2.0 ft³ at the Nevada State Museum (Chapter 108, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 4.9 linear feet (58.3 linear inches)

On Post: None
  Off Post: 2.8 linear feet (33.3 linear inches) at Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (Chapter 96, Volume 2); 1.25 linear inches at the Nevada State Museum (Chapter 108, Volume 2); 1.2 linear feet (14.25 linear inches) at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2); and 9.5 linear inches at U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento (Chapter 140, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Hawthorne Army Depot, the world's largest ammunition plant, is located approximately 135 miles southeast of Reno. Estimated to encompass 147,000 to approximately 148,500 acres, the installation first opened in 1928 as a U.S. Naval Ammunition Depot. It was transferred to the U.S. Army in 1977 and renamed Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant. In three years, the installation was redesignated as a government-owned and contractor-operated facility. In 1994, it reverted back to the name, Hawthorne Army Depot.

The mission of Hawthorne Army Depot has changed over the years. As a Naval Ammunition Depot it stored, serviced, and issued ammunition to the Pacific area. Demolition of allied and enemy ammunition became a major mission following World War II. The Depot currently has an ammunition surveillance program and is one of only four national Tier II facilities. This designation allows it to be partially staffed in peacetime, having the potential to increase staffing if needed. Tier II installations store War Reserve ammunition for use after the first 30 days when Tier I stockpiles are
In August 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Nevada State Museum in Carson City. This research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Hawthorne Army Depot. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations on Hawthorne Army Depot. A small archaeological collection had been stored at Hawthorne; however, the cultural resource manager informed the St. Louis District that the collection had been given to the Walker Lake Paiute Tribe as a long-term loan. This collection was not assessed by the St. Louis District. In addition, collections are located in four repositories in Nevada, Utah, and California.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Hawthorne Army Depot**
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Fort Wingate Army Depot Activity

Gallup, New Mexico

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 25.2 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 11.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 20 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 17.6 ft³ at the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture/Archaeological Records Management Section (Chapter 104, Volume 2) and 2.4 ft³ at the Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico (Chapter 114, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Collections require minimal-to-partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 5.2 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 5.2 ft³ at the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology, University of New Mexico (Chapter 103, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: An unknown number of individuals is located in the Osteology Laboratory at the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 11.5 linear feet (138.5 linear inches)
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 1.25 linear inches at Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Archaeological Records Management Section (Chapter 104, Volume 2); 1.9 linear feet (22.75 linear inches) at Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico (Chapter 114, Volume 2); and 9.5 linear feet (114.5 linear inches) at U.S. Army Engineer District, Albuquerque (Chapter 136, Volume 2)
   Compliance Status: Records require minimal-to-partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

In 1860 Fort Wingate was established east of its present boundaries, as Fort Lyon. The fort was used for confinement of Native Americans that were being relocated west in the late 1860s. A 10-square mile boundary was established in 1870, and at that time the garrison officially became named Fort Wingate Military Reservation. The fort deactivated between 1911 and 1918 and reopened with an ammunition mission during World War I. It continued to be used for munitions storage through World War II. In 1941, the majority of the present facilities at Fort Wingate were constructed on the installation. The present boundaries, which encompass approximately 22,120 acres, were not established until 1950, at which time
the installation was renamed Fort Wingate Depot Activity. In 1976 Fort Wingate was reassigned to Tooele Army Complex, and in 1993 the installation was closed and put under caretaker status (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Archaeological Records Management Section of the New Mexico Historic Preservation Division that included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Fort Wingate and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are housed in four repositories in New Mexico.
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1979 *Anasazi Communities of the San Juan Basin.* Public Service Company of New Mexico. Submitted to the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, Department of the Interior.

Marshall, Sandra L., and Lynne Drake
1991 *A Cultural Resource Survey on NM 400 at Fort Wingate District Six Project.* New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department, Santa Fe.

Moore, Roger A., Jr.
1993 *Archaeological Oversight Activities at Fort Wingate Depot Activity, McKinley County, New Mexico.* Moore Anthropological Research. Submitted to ERM Program Management Company.

Nelson, Norman B.
1987 *Cultural Resource Survey of Interstate 40 from Milepost 31 to Milepost 35 at Fort Wingate.* New Mexico State Highway Department, Santa Fe.

Perlman, Susan E.

Popelish, Linda


Schutt, Jeanne A., and Richard C. Chapman

Stuart, Trace

Stucky, Richard

Stucky, Richard K., and Margaret M. Smith
Kirtland Air Force Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 50.1 ft$^3$ of archaeological materials; 11.7 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 50.1 ft$^3$
- On Post: None
- Off Post: 0.4 ft$^3$ at the Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture (Chapter 104, Volume 2) and 49.7 ft$^3$ at TRC-Mariah Associates (Chapter 129, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 11.7 linear feet (140.68 linear inches)
- On Post: 4.7 linear feet (56 linear inches)
- Off Post: 1.0 linear inch at the Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Archaeological Records Management Section (Chapter 104, Volume 2); 1.0 linear inch at the Public Service Company (Chapter 120, Volume 2); 1.75 linear inches at Quivera Research Center (Chapter 121, Volume 2); 0.63 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2); and 6.7 linear feet (80.3 linear inches) at TRC-Mariah Associates (Chapter 129, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are funded through Sections 106 or 110 compliance activities.

Kirtland Air Force Base took its present name in 1948 in honor of Colonel Roy Carrington Kirtland, a military aviation pioneer. Military aviation was established in 1939 in Albuquerque as a point to service transient military aircraft. The present 52,000-acre that comprises Kirtland AFB was created in 1971 through the consolidation of already existing Army and Air Force bases, along with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Withdrawn Lands. A total of 15,891 acres of USFS Withdrawn Lands was allocated to the Department of Defense for Kirtland AFB facilities in 1954; at the same time 4,595 acres were assigned to the Department of Energy (DoE).

The base has 150 tenant organizations, the largest being the Sandia National Laboratory, which is controlled by the DoE. Under separate Memorandums of Agreement with both the DoD and DoE, USFS retains jurisdiction of cultural resources on the withdrawn lands. Kirtland AFB retains ultimate control over cultural resource management for these properties and is responsible for review and consultation (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995).

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Archaeological Records Management Section of the New Mexico Historic Preservation Division, which
included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Kirtland AFB and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are located in six repositories in New Mexico and California.

Assessment

Date of Visit: October 22, 1996

Point of Contact: Christine Tuttle

Structural Adequacy

The Environmental Management Section offices of Kirtland AFB are located in Building 20204, the base Headquarters Building (Figure 18). The single-story building was constructed in 1966 as a weapons assembly building and has 111,783 ft² of total available space. The building is constructed with a cement foundation and built-up asphalt roof. Offices have poured concrete walls, suspended acoustic-tile ceiling, carpeted floors, and wood panel doors. Functioning pipes run overhead in the office but are concealed by the ceiling tiles. An area of the roof above Christine Tuttle's office space has a leak and a bucket is suspended to collect the water, but collections have not been affected by the leak. A telephone line box is also located in this space. Asbestos is present in the walls, ceiling, floors, and piping insulation, none of which is scheduled for removal. No windows are present in these offices. Lighting is nonfiltered fluorescent tubes. Utility systems are updated on an as-needed basis.

Environmental Controls

The building is kept at 68–70°F through individual heating and air-conditioning units, which are controlled separately. A central steam plant provides heat for the entire base. Dust filters are present on the vents. Offices are maintained weekly by a contracted cleaning company.

Pest Management

There is no scheduled spraying of the Headquarters Building, and it is not monitored for pest infestation. If an infestation is noted, a work order is put in to the Civil Engineering, Pest Management Section, and they respond with an investigation. No present signs of infestation were noted, but there have been problems with roaches in the past.

Security

Access to Kirtland AFB is controlled and visitors to the base must register and receive written authorization at the front gate. The Headquarters Building has an intrusion alarm that is wired to the base security station. Admission to the Environmental Management Section offices is monitored by the administrative assistant whose work station is at the entrance to the offices.

Fire Detection and Suppression

Smoke detectors and a wet-sprinkler fire-suppression system are present in the building. The fire alarm is wired to the base fire department. There are no fire extinguishers present in the Environmental Management Section offices, but there is one located in the hall directly outside the door to the offices.

Artifact Storage

No archaeological materials are housed on Kirtland AFB.

Figure 18. Headquarters Building of the 377th Air Base Wing, Kirtland Air Force Base houses the office of the Environmental Management Section.
**Human Skeletal Remains**

No human skeletal remains are housed on Kirtland AFB.

**Records Storage**

Approximately 4.7 linear feet of records are housed in the Environmental Management Section offices of Kirtland AFB. Records are stored in three areas of this office. Open, painted-metal shelves above the cultural resource manager’s desk houses a large number of reports, as well as administrative, survey, photographic, and cartographic records. Working files are housed in a metal file cabinet labeled “Tuttle.” This cabinet holds legal-size hanging files that contain administrative, report, photographic, analysis, and cartographic records. The Cultural Resource Management Plan for Kirtland AFB is maintained in two binders that are stored in a metal compact storage unit.

**Paper Records**

Paper records constitute 2.3 linear feet (28 linear inches) of the total records volume housed at Kirtland AFB. Much of these records consist of administrative documents, including budgets, contracts, and guidance outlines. Other paper records include some site forms and research analysis results. All hanging file folders are labeled with plastic tabs. Contaminants on these documents include paper clips, staples, rubber bands, and tape.

**Report Records**

There are 1.8 linear feet (21 linear inches) of spiral-, three-ring, and perfect-bound reports in the Kirtland AFB Environmental Management offices. Copies of reports can be found in all three of the areas previously mentioned.

**Photographic Records**

Photographic records, including color prints, black-and-white prints, negatives, slides, and contact sheets, amount to 0.4 linear feet (4.5 linear inches) of the total volume of records at Kirtland AFB. These records are stored both in the hanging files and in files above the cultural resource manager’s desk. Some of the prints are labeled directly in black ink and are stored in acidic envelopes. The slides are labeled directly in pencil and ink.

**Maps and Oversized Documents**

Several topographic maps are stored in the hanging files. Large topographic maps and blueprints are folded and stored in the cultural resource manager’s work space. Maps total less than one linear foot (2.5 linear inches) of the total documentation present.

**Collections-Management Standards**

This facility is not a permanent repository; therefore, collections management standards are not addressed in this report.

**Curation Personnel**

Kirtland AFB does not have a curation facility on base, therefore there is no curator. Christine Tuttle is the cultural resource manager for the base and works in the Environmental Management Section. She oversees the contractors that perform archaeological work, and she has the responsibility for securing space in a curation facility for archaeological collections generated from that work. Presently, collections are being sent to the Maxwell Museum of Anthropology at the University of New Mexico in Albuquerque for curation. Ms. Tuttle coordinates between the contractors and the staff of the Maxwell Museum. The contractor processes collections to the acceptable standards of the Maxwell Museum before they are submitted for curation.

**Curation Financing**

Contracted archaeological work conducted on Kirtland AFB and curation fees for the housing of collections are financed through the budget of the Environmental Management Section.

**Access to Collections**

Access to collections follows the procedures of the Maxwell Museum, which is to allow access for legitimate uses of the collections, including scholarly and educational use, commercial use, and inspection and inventories. Each individual must submit requests to the curator for approval. Each request is considered on its own merit. The process generally takes one-to-two months for approval is granted.
Future Plans

At the time of the assessment, collections from the most recent archaeological work conducted on Kirtland AFB were being processed at the contractor, TRC-Mariah Associates. The plan is that these collections are to be submitted to the Maxwell Museum for curation. Kirtland AFB has purchased 125 units of storage at 0.6 ft³ per unit at the Maxwell Museum. It is intended for the Maxwell Museum be the designated repository for collections from Kirtland AFB.

Comments

1. The building has proven to be structurally sound.
2. An HVAC system is not in place at this facility. Heating and air conditioning are controlled through separate systems.
3. Temperature levels can be controlled, but humidity levels are neither monitored nor controlled.
4. Ultraviolet filters are not in place for the light bulbs and windows.
5. A leak in the ceiling needs to be repaired, and although it has not caused any damage to the documentation that is stored near, there is immediate potential for damage.
6. Associated documentation requires partial rehabilitation to meet federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.
7. The current cultural resource manager takes an active role in coordinating with the contractors and the Maxwell Museum.

Recommendations

1. Transfer archaeological collections, including associated documentation, to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79.
2. The leak in the roof in the offices of the Environmental Management Section should be repaired. Until the time it is repaired, documentation that is in danger of becoming damaged should be removed to a drier area.
3. Place all photographic materials in archival-quality polypropylene sleeves, and place sleeves in acid-free, three-ring photographic binders. Photologs should be on acid-free paper in indelible ink.
4. Original documentation that is housed in the Environmental Management Section offices should be duplicated and the copy stored in another secure, fire-safe location.
5. A retention and disposition plan for records should be implemented.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Kirtland AFB

Acklen, John C., and Amy C. Earls

Anonymous

Berry, K. Lynn
Butler, William B.

Condie, Carol

1989 *An Archaeological Survey of Portions of PNM's Person-Sandia 115 kV Line, Tijeras Arroyo, Bernalillo County, New Mexico for Public Service Company of New Mexico. Quivera Research Center, Albuquerque. Submitted to Public Service Company of New Mexico, Albuquerque.*


Cushman, David

Dean, Glenna
1991 *Pollen Analysis of Samples from Two Dead Juniper Village, NM 0:3:1:11 (CAS), Kirtland Air Force Base, Bernalillo County, New Mexico.* Archeobotanical Services, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Submitted to National Park Service, Rocky Mountain Regional Office, Lakewood, Colorado.

Evaskovich, John A.


Evaskovich, John A., and Deni J. Seymour
An Archaeological Curation-Needs Assessment of Military Installations in Select Western States

Evaskovich, John, Chris A. Turnbow, and Deni J. Seymour

Franklin, Hayward H.

Franklin, Hayward H., and William R. Neal

Franklin, Hayward H., and James B. Rodgers

Gerow, Peggy A.

Hawkins, Grace E.

Hoagland, Steven R.


1992 Archaeological Survey for Three Areas for the Sandia National Laboratory Sled Track Project, Bernalillo County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Submitted to Physical Science Laboratory, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.


Johnson, Carl B.

Larson, Dorothy L., R. Blake Roxlau, John C. Acklen, and Katherine J. Roxlau

Lintz, Christopher, Amy Earls, Nicholas Trieweiler, and Jan Biella

Lord, Kenneth J.


Mead, Earl S.

Mimiga, Eduardo A.
Kirtland AFB

Mimiaga, Eduardo A., and J. Loring Haskell

Neal, William R.


Payne, Ted M.

Poague, Wendy Jones


Rhodes, Lori E., R. Blake Roxlau, Dorothy L. Larson, and James T. Abbott

Rodgers, James B.


Roxlau, R. Blake, and John C. Acklen

Swift, Marilyn K.

Seymour, Deni J.

Verhaaren, Bruce T., and Robert Dello-Russo
White Sands Missile Range

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 264.7 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 120.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 264.4 ft³
   On Post: 1.0 ft³
   Off Post: 1.3 ft³ at the Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University (Chapter 76, Volume 2); 106.6 ft³ at Human Systems Research, Las Cruces (Chapter 97, Volume 2); 54 ft³ at Human Systems Research, Tularosa (Chapter 98, Volume 2); 42.8 ft³ at the Maxwell Museum, University of New Mexico (Chapter 103, Volume 2); 36.9 ft³ at the Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture (Chapter 104, Volume 2); and 20.8 ft³ at New Mexico State University Museum (Chapter 109, Volume 2).

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 0.3 ft³
   On Post: None
   Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at New Mexico State University Museum (Chapter 109, Volume 2).

Compliance Status: A minimum number of one individual thought to have been recovered from White Sands Missile Range is located in the Basement of Kent Hall. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 120.5 linear feet (1446.4 linear inches)
   On Post: 56.9 linear feet (682.8 linear inches)
   Off Post: 1.2 linear feet (14.25 linear inches) at the Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University (Chapter 76 Volume 2); 39.8 linear feet (477.6 linear inches) at Human Systems Research, Las Cruces (Chapter 97, Volume 2); 20.1 linear feet (240.75 linear inches) at Human Systems Research, Tularosa (Chapter 98, Volume 2); 1.5 linear inches at the Maxwell Museum, University of New Mexico (Chapter 103, Volume 2); 2.0 linear feet (24.25 linear inches) at the Laboratory of Anthropology, Archaeological Records Management Section (Chapter 104, Volume 2); 3.0 linear inches at New Mexico State University Museum (Chapter 109, Volume 2); and 2.25 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2).

Compliance Status: Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal standards and guidelines for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation of collections is funded through the White Sands Missile Range Environmental Office’s budget.
White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), located in south-central New Mexico, encompasses approximately 2,000,000 acres in a region known as the Tularosa Basin. Most of the range was once a part of the San Augustin Ranch, which had been owned by the Cox family since the late 1800s. This family still occupies a house a few miles west of the main post. The range, which opened in 1945, was named White Sands Proving Ground and was used to test the feasibility of using missiles in warfare. The world’s first atomic bomb was detonated in an area known as the Trinity Site. Missile testing began in September 1945 with Tiny Tim firings. An Army airfield, Condron Field, is located southeast of the main post in a dry lake bed.

WSMR is designated as a national test range, the largest over land test facility in the U.S. The range supports missile development and test programs for the Department of Defense, other government agencies, some foreign governments, and private companies. White Sands Space Harbor is an alternate landing site for the space shuttle and a training site for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration shuttle pilots. In March 1982, the Space Shuttle Columbia ended its third mission at WSMR’s Northrup Strip. (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995)

In May 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Archaeological Records Management Section of the New Mexico Historic Preservation Division, which included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on WSMR and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are located in nine repositories in New Mexico, Texas, and California.

Assessment

Date of Visit: September 17, 1996

Points of Contact: Robert Burton and Mike Mallouf

White Sands Missile Range occupies approximately 3,200 square miles of desert and mountainous terrain in southern New Mexico. The land was acquired for military use in 1942 and contains both prehistoric archaeological and historical-period sites (including the famous Trinity Site as well as those resulting from premilitary ranching and mining activities). Current Department of Defense holdings include an estimated 1.0 ft³ of archaeological artifacts from WSMR and 56.9 linear feet of associated documentation.

Building T-149 (hereafter referred to as Repository 1) currently houses the Natural and Cultural Resources offices (Figure 19). Within Repository 1, one room on the second floor (Room 26) is devoted specifically to records and maps storage, while two other offices (Burton’s and Mallouf’s) contain additional files, reports, and a very limited quantity of artifacts.

Additional documentation, along with a few prehistoric and historical-period artifacts, are stored in a separate facility known as Building 1851 (hereafter designated Repository 2) (Figure 20). However, due to time constraints and unfavorable assessment conditions, an estimate of the volume of artifacts and records could not be reached. The structure also accommodates a variety of miscellaneous equipment and materials from the base.
Figure 20. Building 1851 (repository 2), a concrete excess storage structure, houses prehistoric and historical-period artifacts, as well as a variety of equipment.

In addition, it was discovered that the White Sands Missile Range Museum and Gift Shop is currently exhibiting a range of historic artifacts in one locked display case in the “Early Room” (Figure 21). Because this is considered to be a temporary exhibit, the standard building evaluation was not conducted.

Structural Adequacy
Repository 1—Building T-149
Building T-149 was originally built during World War II as temporary barracks. The entire building encompasses approximately 4,760 ft² of floor space on two levels above grade. Room 26 occupies an estimated 94.5 ft² on the second floor. Both the foundation, of pier-and-beam construction, and the exterior walls are wooden. The roof of the building is composed of tar paper shingles, which were last replaced in the mid-1980s. Windows have aluminum frames and are equipped with rolling shades inside. Overall, the building is considered to be structurally solid, with neither cracks nor leaks reported. However, there is the potential for wind damage; this has occurred in the past, as evidenced by some loose shingles on the exterior walls of the structure.

Repository 2—Building 1851
Building 1851 appears to be solidly constructed with concrete blocks over a concrete foundation. The roof is flat. No windows are present.

Environmental Controls
Repository 1—Building T-149
Temperatures for Repository 1 range from 70°F in the winter to 80°F in the summer. Temperatures are alternately controlled by swamp coolers and boiler steam heating. Humidity is neither regulated nor monitored, and the heating/cooling systems are not set up for use with dust filters. The building is regularly cleaned and maintained by the Department of Public Works.

Repository 2—Building 1851
Temperature and humidity are neither monitored nor controlled in Repository 2.

Pest Management
Repository 1—Building T-149
Pest control measures are taken against insects and rodents only on an as-needed basis by in-house personnel.

Figure 21. Historical-period artifacts on exhibition in the Museum and Gift Shop on post.
Repository 2—Building 1851

Pest management measures are not used in this building. The assessment team was informed of the possibility of the existence of brown recluse spiders inside this storage area.

Security
Repository 1—Building T-149

Steel security doors with key locks are in place at the entrance/exit doors. Military police make regular patrols throughout the night. Locks are installed on the first floor windows. No past episodes of unauthorized entry have occurred. Access to the post is tightly controlled.

Repository 2—Building 1851

There are three possible entrances on the front side of the building. They consist of a set of double metal doors and two garage doors. This building is also used by other offices on post.

Fire Detection and Suppression
Repository 1—Building T-149

The historic, wooden structure poses a high-risk fire hazard. There are no fire detection measures in this building. Fire extinguishers provide the only means of suppression. Fortunately, the fire station is located only one block away. As a precautionary measure, an archaeological contracting firm, Human Systems Research in Tularosa, keeps a duplicate set of documentation in their offices.

Repository 2—Building 1851

One fire extinguisher was noted in the building.

Artifact Storage

Storage Units
Repository 1—Building T-149

Mike Mallouf’s office (Room 30) has one wooden shelving unit housing reports, a box containing artifacts (approximately 0.3 cubic feet), and 0.25 inches of other documentation. Room 26 has an estimated 0.68 cubic feet of artifacts housed in two boxes, one of which is located on top of a metal filing cabinet (Figure 22) and the other on a painted wooden shelving unit. In addition, some loose barbed wire from a historical-period collection is stacked above two boxes that are stacked on top of a metal filing cabinet. In total, these artifacts add up to approximately one cubic foot in volume. Percentages of material classes are outlined in Table 14.

Table 14.
Summary of Material Classes Present at White Sands Missile Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Classes</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prehistoric</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum/Fossil Hearth</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historical-Period</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of material classes are based on volume.
Repository 2—Building 1851
This structure currently houses several large, freestanding metal artifacts (such as a paraffin stove). During the cursory examination, a few glass bottles and ground stone artifacts also were noted sitting loose on metal shelves; however, these artifacts are not included in Table 14.

The small collection of historical-period artifacts on temporary exhibit at the White Sands Missile Range Museum was estimated to break down into the following material classes: 60% glass, 25% metal, 10% ceramic/crockery, and 5% wood/plastic.

Primary Containers
All artifacts are housed either in acidic cardboard boxes or are loose on shelves and filing cabinet tops. Boxes are directly labeled with marker.

Secondary Containers
Only the lithics in one box of artifacts in Room 26 have been packaged in zip-lock bags. The bags are labeled directly with both marker and contain paper label inserts as well.

Laboratory Processing and Labeling
The majority of the artifacts appear to have been cleaned, but none are labeled directly. The loose barbed wire has string tag labels directly attached.

Human Skeletal Remains
Human skeletal remains, specifically a jar of teeth, have been reported previously in a NAGPRA inventory among the archaeological material collections at WSMR. The assessment team was unable to locate or examine them during the visit.

Records Storage
Most of the documentation is stored primarily in Room 26 on the second floor of Repository 1, with a few additional records located in Room 27 and Room 30. Room 26 measures 10.5 x 9 feet, and the floor consists of wood coated with a tile and asbestos paste. Interior walls are constructed of plasterboard. Asbestos tiles line the ceiling. One window is present and is fitted with an aluminum frame with a rolling shade. The interior, solid wood door is fitted with both a key lock and a combination (push) lock.

The records storage room is cluttered. A variety of storage units line the walls, including a hanging map file, two metal filing cabinets (one letter-size, one legal-size), one metal lateral file, and two wooden bookshelves. Assorted acidic cardboard boxes are stacked both on the floor and on top of cabinets. There are no finding aids available.

Repository 2 houses some additional documentation in metal filing cabinets, one metal map case, metal shelves, and acidic cardboard boxes. Due to time constraints and unfavorable assessment conditions, only a cursory examination could be made and exact measurements were not taken.

Paper Records
Of the estimated 21.2 linear feet of associated project paper records total, approximately 15.6 linear feet are present in Room 26. This includes site survey forms, administrative records, field and analysis records, background research material, and artifact inventories. Records are primarily contained in nonarchival manila folders within the lateral file or the two filing cabinets in Repository 1. A few records are housed in cardboard boxes. Room 27 houses additional paper records in a letter-size metal filing cabinet, and a box. Room 30 contains a mix of artifacts and some site records. Finally, an estimated two feet of additional paper project records were noted in metal filing cabinets in Repository 2.

Report Records
Reports measure an estimated 16.9 linear feet. These include draft reports but not every single box of circulated reports encountered in both Repository 1 and 2. Reports are stored primarily in the same manner as the paper records. Additional shelves and boxes of duplicate reports were observed, but not measured, in Repository 2.

Photographic Records
Black-and-white photographs, color prints, slides, contact sheets, and negatives total approximately 6.6 linear feet. Most of the negatives are stored in archival sleeves, but the majority of photographs are stored loose, either in acidic manila folders or in
acidic cardboard boxes of varying sizes. However, three acid-free cardboard boxes with telescoping lids were noted containing microfilm, negatives in acid-free envelopes, photograph inventories, and color prints in sleeves with adhesive labels. In Repository 2, two 3-inch plastic binders containing photographs and negatives in archival-quality sleeves were noted, along with three inches of negatives in acidic paper envelopes.

Maps and Oversized Documents
There are approximately 6.3 linear feet of maps (paper, Mylar, blueline) stored either flat, rolled or folded. There is one lockable, baked-enamel metal hanging map file that contains 3.5 inches of U.S.G.S. topographic maps suspended on runners. Many of the rolled maps are stored standing on end on the floor or loose in boxes and, as a result, have frayed ends. In addition, some large aerial maps were noted in a flat metal map case in Repository 2.

Audiovisual Records
In Repository 1, audiovisual records consist of audiocassettes, videocassettes, transparencies, computer disks, and microfilm and comprise approximately 5.9 linear feet. Microfilm comprises about half of this total and is stored in acid-free paper envelopes inside several acid-free cardboard boxes. Ten videocassettes are stored loose on one of the wooden bookshelves, along with 30 audiocassettes (from an oral history project) in an open plastic tray. Additional audiocassettes (duplicates) were seen housed in seven small boxes with telescoping lids in Repository 2.

Collections-Management Standards
At the time of the evaluation, WSMR had not yet finished renovating their planned storage facility; therefore, collections management standards were not evaluated.

Curation Personnel
Robert Burton and Mike Mallouf are the two staff members in the Cultural Resources office at WSMR responsible for the curation of artifacts and associated documentation.

Curation Financing
Financing for collection rehabilitation (by Human Systems Research in Tularosa and Las Cruces) is funded by WSMR.

Access to Collections
Access to the collections is acquired through Robert Burton.

Future Plans
By November 1996, Robert Burton is planning to begin moving the WSMR archaeological collections, including the boxes currently being stored at the two Human Systems Research offices, into Building 19300, originally a 100K Test Stand, a solid concrete rocket testing facility built in 1946.

Comments
1. Human Systems Research has begun rehabilitating and repackaging part of the collections in archival-quality materials. The collections await transfer to the permanent curation facility in November 1996.

2. None of the collections and records storage areas on WSMR are equipped with adequate temperature and humidity monitoring and control measures.

3. There is currently no integrated pest management program in place at WSMR.

4. Security for the buildings is minimal (key locks); however, access to the post itself is highly restricted.

5. Both fire detection and suppression measures are below adequate. Only fire extinguishers are present.

6. Artifact collections are not properly stored according to federal curation guidelines and are currently scattered in Buildings T-149 and 1851.

7. Records pertaining to White Sands Missile Range collections are currently housed in acidic manila folders and other nonarchival secondary containers.
Recommendations

1. Building 19300, where the collections were planned to be moved by November 1996, should be viewed only as a temporary on-base curation facility.

2. Transfer archaeological collections to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Coordinate with applicable repositories to establish agreements for the permanent disposition of the collections.

3. Coalesce all archaeological collections currently in Buildings T-149 and 1851, and repackage them into acid-free cardboard boxes containing archival plastic bags with acid-free paper labels, before moving them to Building 19300.

4. Records should be removed from current acidic manila folders and placed in archival-quality containers. Duplicate copies of the records are currently stored at a separate and secure location (Human Systems Research offices in Las Cruces and Tularosa).

5. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, paper clips, and other contaminants. Photographic materials should be placed in archival-quality photographic sleeves, labeled properly, and stored in a secure storage unit.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at White Sands Missile Range

Allen, Leonard

Anonymous
1979 *An Archaeological Survey of a Proposed Core Testing Location for W.S.M.R.*

1993 *Supplemental Information for Proposed Mitigation of Adverse Effects at Three Sites in the Red Rio Bombing Range Socorro County, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.* Human Systems Research, Las Cruces, New Mexico.

Beck, Colleen M.

1982 *Archaeological Survey of Six Proposed Construction Areas on the White Sands Missile Range Southwestern New Mexico, Stallion Fence Project, MLRS Uprange Launch (Miracle) Site, East Boundary Fence Project, Simulated Runway Target Site, UH-1B Drone Helicopter Operation Site, Mars Site Parking Lot.* Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
Beckett, Patrick H., and Phillip H. Shelley
1977 *An Archaeological Survey of the Roland Test Facilities Complex and the CE 1-3 Aerial Launcher Site, White Sands Missile Range, Dona Ana and Socorro Counties, New Mexico*. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Portales. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Bertram, Jack, and Peter L. Eidenbach

Bond, Mark

Brenternitz, Cory Dale, and David E. Doyel

Brethauser, Douglas Paul
1979 *An Archaeological Survey of a Proposed Borrow Pit on White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico*. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, Facilities Engineering Section, New Mexico.

Browning, Cody Bill

1990 *Archaeological Investigations at the Richardson Ranch Training Facility, White Sands Missile Range, Lincoln County, New Mexico*. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.


Browning, Cody, Karen Faunce, Moira Ernst, Victoria Gibbs, and Mark Sale

Burton, Robert J.
1989 *Archaeological Survey of Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory Proposed Meteorological Station in Monte Carlo Gap, Otero County, New Mexico.* Submitted to the U.S. Department of Defense.

Carnilli, Eileen

Chapman, Richard C.

Clifton, Donald E.


1987 *An Archaeological Survey of Three Areas and a Review of Archaeological Surveys at HELSTF, White Sands Missile Range, Otero County, New Mexico.* Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, Office of Installation Support, New Mexico.


1987 *The Range Road Fat Sheep Mountain Project: An Archaeological Survey of 830 Acres on White Sands Missile Range, Sierra County, New Mexico.* Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.


Fifield, Terence

Geery, Floyd “Twister”
1977 An Archaeological Clearance Survey of Four Drill Pads and Two Seismic Transects on White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to Fugro National.

Geery, Floyd “Twister” and Margaret A. Hoyt

Gerow, Peggy A.
1986 Cultural Resource Survey for Two Telescope Scenes and a 2,000 by 2,000 Foot Area Near ABC-1, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Hammack, Laurens C.
1961 Missile Range Archaeology for White Sands Missile Range. Laboratory of Anthropology, Santa Fe.

Hart, Jeanie, and Barbara Staley

Hart, Linda P.


Hilley, Glenda F. and Kira Silverbird

Hoyt, Margaret
1977 An Archaeological Clearance Survey of Six Proposed Gravel Pit Sites on White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to Burn Construction Company.

Human Systems Research

Kaufmann, Barbara


Kaufmann, Barbara and Wayne Howell

Kirkpatrick, David T.
1981 An Archaeological Clearance Survey of a Section of Haul Road, Plant and Equipment Site, and Two Well Sites, White Sands Missile Range, Otero County, New Mexico. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to Herzog Contracting Corporation.


1987 Archaeological Clearance Survey of the MLRS One-Shot Site, White Sands Missile Range, Sierra County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.


Kirkpatrick, David T., and Cody Bill Browning

Kirkpatrick, David T., and Peter Eidenbach
1993 Data Recovery Plan for Mitigation of Adverse Effect to Site LA 89556 and LA 81561 Zumwalt Test Track, Socorro and Sierra Counties, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Kirkpatrick, David T., and Dorothy Webb

Kochan, Steven

Laumbach, Karl W.

Laumbach, Karl W.
1980 An Archaeological Inventory of the Pup Target Site, White Sands Missile Range. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

1980 Archaeological Investigations on White Sands Missile Range. Cultural Resources Management Division, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
An Archaeological Curation-Needs Assessment of Military Installations in Select Western States

1980
Department of Sociology and Anthropology, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.
Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Lekson, Stephen H., and Allen S. Rorex
1987
Archaeological Survey of the Cottonwood Spring and Indian Tank Site, Dona Ana County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Michalik, Laura
1993
An Archaeological Clearance Survey of a Proposed Waste Area West of San Augustin Pass on the White Sands Missile Range, Dona Ana County, New Mexico. Archaeological Services by Laura Michalik, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Submitted to James Hamilton Construction, Silver City, New Mexico.

Morrow, Herbert C.
1970

Nelson, Norman B.
1987
Cultural Resource Survey of the U.S. 70 White Sands Missile Range Intersection. Environmental Section/Technical Support Bureau, New Mexico State Highway Department, Santa Fe.

Oakes, Yvonne Roye
1981
Prehistoric Subsistence Adaptations on White Sands Missile Range. Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Contract Archaeology Section Santa Fe, New Mexico. Submitted to the New Mexico State Highway Department, Santa Fe.

Rayl, Sandra L.
1987
Cultural Resources Inventory of Proposed RATSCAT Modernization White Sands Missile Range, White Sands, New Mexico.

1988

Laumbach, Karl W. et al.
1985
Rieder, Morgan
1993 Cultural Resources Survey of 38 HA (93.5 Acres) of Right of Way Along Range Road 347 to Sulf Site, White Sands Missile Range, Socorro County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Rieder, Morgan

Rorex, Allen, and Jeanie Hart

Rorex, Allen, and Judie A. McNew

Rorex, Allen, and Sergio Mendez
1990 An Archaeological Survey of the Proposed Boundary Fence for the Ground-Based Laser Facility, Strategic Defense Initiative, and Results of Archaeological Test Excavations at the Site LA 64158, White Sands Missile Range, Otero County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Directorate of Engineering, Housing, and Logistics, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Rorex, Allen, and Sergio Mendez

Rusell, William
1993 Cultural Resources Survey of 15 HA (37 Acres) for a Proposed MOTR Radar Installation at Rita Site, White Sands Missile Range, Otero County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

Sale, Mark

Sale, Mark

Sale, Mark, and Don Clifton

Sale, Mark, and Helen Shields

Schermer, Scott C.
An Archaeological Curation-Needs Assessment of Military Installations in Select Western States


1982 Archaeological Survey of Five Proposed Construction Areas on the White Sands Missile Range, Southcentral New Mexico, AMRAD CMEW Test Facility, Modified Landfills near Oscura Range and Red Canyon, South Center-50 Instrumentation Site, Load Test Facility, MLRS Human Factors Engineering Test Course. Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

1982 Archaeological Survey of Three Proposed Military Use Areas on the White Sands Missile Range Southcentral New Mexico, P-001 Vandal Missile Range Facility, Vandal Alternate Site No. 1, Vandal Alternate Site No. 2. Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales. Submitted to White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.


1988 Seeman, Timothy J.

1988 Sechrist, Mark
1990 A Cultural Resources Survey of about 70 Acres at the Nuclear Effects Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, Dona Ana County, New Mexico. Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

1990 Sechrist, Mark, David T. Kirpatrick, and Dorothy Webb

1986 Shields, Helen B.


1990 *Reevaluation of Archaeological Sites in the Capitol Peak Valley, White Sands Missile Range, Sierra County, New Mexico.* Human Systems Research, Tularosa, New Mexico. Submitted to Office of Installation Support, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
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Fort Sill
Oklahoma

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 248.8 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 0.26 linear inches of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 248.6 ft³
On Post: 241.3 ft³
Off Post: 7.3 ft³ at Geo-Marine (Chapter 94, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial to complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 0.2 ft³
On Post: 0.2 ft³
Off Post: None

Compliance Status: A minimum number of one individual is located with the collections at Fort Sill. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 0.26 linear inches
On Post: Unknown
Off Post: 0.26 linear inches at Geo-Marine (Chapter 94, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records located at Fort Sill were not available for an assessment. Records at Geo-Marine require partial rehabilitation to comply with federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Environmental funds are used for equipment, archival supplies, and facility improvement. Nonappropriated funds are acquired through donations.

d Fort Sill was founded in the winter of 1869 when Oklahoma was still designated Indian Territory. The installation’s first mission was to control the southern plains tribes, including the Comanche, Cheyenne, Kiowa, and other tribes, that hunted buffalo or raided Texas settlements for captives, horses, and other goods. The installation also protected Indian lands from illegal settlers, whiskey peddlers, and horse thieves. General Philip H. Sheridan, who led that first winter campaign, named the post in honor of a deceased West Point classmate, Brigadier General Joshua Sill. Formerly called Camp Wichita, the post was built by the distinguished Buffalo Soldiers of the 10th Cavalry.

After only a few months, a peace policy prevented Fort Sill soldiers from taking punitive damage against the Indians. By default, this policy turned Fort Sill into a sanctuary for Indian raiders and served as an encouragement for raiding. Indian warfare continued in the southern plains until the end of the Red River campaign in the summer of 1875. During the late nineteenth century, Fort Sill played a vital role in the Indian Wars by serving as an Indian War post until 1905 when the last section of Oklahoma Indian Territory was divided and
settled by homesteaders. In light of these changes, Fort Sill shifted its mission from cavalry to field artillery. Since 1910, the U.S. Army Field Artillery Center and School has trained field artillerymen in the art of tube, missile, and aerial gunnery on the 94,000 acres of military reservation. Fort Sill continues to be the only active Army installation built during the Indian Wars in the southern plains.

Many famous people have been associated with Fort Sill. Sheridan’s campaign during that first winter involved some famous frontier scouts including Buffalo Bill Cody, Wild Bill Hickok, Ben Clark, and Jack Stilwell. Geronimo lived and died on the installation. He and over 300 of his Apache tribesmen were allowed to live in villages on the range until 1913. The first Indian agent was the grandson of Daniel Boone.

Today, Fort Sill has a unique relationship with the many Native American tribes living in the area. The museum represents the post on all Native American issues. Museum staff have negotiated the use of Fort Sill property for ceremonies and have drafted policies granting Native American use of cemeteries on post. On a lighter note, soldiers and Native Americans participate in activities that illustrate the connected history of the post and the local tribes. Baseball tournaments between Soldiers and Native Americans are reenacted with the Fort Sill soldiers of today and local Native Americans forming teams. Heritage fairs held on post by the museum feature tribal representatives performing ceremonial dances for the public (Fort Sill 1996).

In June 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background archaeological research at the Oklahoma Archaeological Survey, which included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, reports, and manuscripts. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Fort Sill and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are located at two repositories in Oklahoma and Texas.

### Assessment

**Date of Visit:** March 18, 1997

**Point of Contact:** Towana Spivey

Fort Sill operates a newly remodeled facility on post (Figure 23). Meyers and Trimble (1993) of the St. Louis District, assessed the collections in the same storage facility before it was renovated. Since that time, the exterior and interior have been drastically changed, bringing it closer to its original historic facade, while improving the interior for compliance with 36 CFR Part 79 standards. Because most of the archaeological material collections were assessed by Meyers and Trimble, they were not assessed in detail for this report.

![Figure 23. The Quartermaster Granary on Fort Sill has been converted to serve as an artifact repository.](image)

### Structural Adequacy

The repository, Building 326, is a former granary constructed in 1902. It is a wood frame structure on a stone foundation with one floor above grade and one below. A new historically correct corrugated sheet metal roof will replace the thirty-year-old composition roof in the summer of 1997. The foundation and roof are structurally sound; however, a vent leaks rain.

Many changes have been made to the building over time. In their efforts to return the building to its original exterior, a porch, a doorway and several windows were removed. A door was moved to its original location, and an interior wall
was removed. In order to make the place more well suited for collection storage, windows were replaced with double-paned, insulated windows that maintained the historic style of the original windows.

**Environmental Controls**

The building has environmental controls for both relative humidity and temperature. The relative humidity is set for 50% and the temperature range is 70°F ± 5°F. There are dust filters on the environmental system. The interior is cleaned by staff as necessary.

**Pest Management**

The collections are inspected monthly for signs of any pest infestation. Pests are controlled through regular baseboard spraying. Fumigation is used only when necessary. No evidence of pests was noted during the assessment.

**Security**

The repository employs several methods to ensure the security of the collections. An intrusion alarm is wired to the Military Police. Access is controlled by a select number of staff. Motion detectors, sash locks for the windows, and dead-bolt and key locks for the doors are present. No evidence or report of unauthorized entry has ever been noted.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

The facility has manual fire alarms, heat sensors, smoke detector, fire extinguishers, and an alarm wired into the post's fire department. Fire walls and the use of noncombustible insulation help fireproof the building.

**Artifact Storage**

Archaeological materials are stored, either on the floor or on shelves, in two different rooms. In the central room of the repository, 20% of storage space (by volume) is utilized. Percentages of material classes are outlined in Table 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Samples</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical-Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of materials are based on volume.

**Storage Units**

Storage units, which can store 43 ft³ (Figure 24) of artifacts, consist of 29 immovable, metal shelving units measuring 48 x 24 x 75 inches (l x w x h) and . Each unit has six shelves. All except the bottom shelf are one foot apart; the bottom shelf has five additional inches in height. The units are arranged in blocks of eight. Five single units are lined against the wall.

**Primary Containers**

Collection materials are stored both with and without primary containers. A volunteer has begun the rehabilitation process. Archival boxes of various sizes (Figure 25) total 165.5 ft³, and acidic boxes total 75.8 ft³. Of this 241.3 ft³, the estimated material class percentages are lithics, 5%; ceramics, 15%;
shell, 5%; metal, 45%; and glass, 30%. The rehabilitated collections in the new boxes have temporary labels on square, yellow Post-it® notes listing the contractor's name and the box number in marker. All containers have a folded construction with a telescoping lid. None are damaged. Approximately eight cubic feet of large metal objects have been placed on the shelves without a primary container.

Secondary Containers
Secondary containers consist of smaller archival boxes. Zip-lock bags are used primarily for glass fragments. Many boxes have no secondary containers. Among the nonrehabilitated materials, the secondary containers are thin plastic bags secured with a twist tie containing packing material with the artifacts. Film canisters and medicine bottles are also used as secondary containers.

Laboratory Processing and Labeling
Methods of laboratory processing and the percentages of materials that were labeled were not assessed.

Human Skeletal Remains
Human remains were found in a container measuring 0.2 ft\(^3\). The container is labeled "6100 camp eagle GM24."

Records Storage
Records are awaiting permanent storage. Associated records are currently in nonarchival boxes on the floor in the laboratory/records study room where they will be rehabilitated. Records were not measured or assessed.

Collections-Management Standards
Registration Procedures
Accession Files
Collections are accessioned upon receipt.

Location Identification
Collections have not been placed in their permanent positions; therefore, a location has not been recorded.

Cross-Indexed Files
Files are cross indexed.

Published Guide to Collections
No published guide to the collection exists.

Site-Record Administration
The Smithsonian Institution trinomial site-numbering system is employed.

Computerized Database Management
Collections are managed using a computerized database.

Written Policies and Procedures
Minimum Standards for Acceptance
Archaeological collections must be from Fort Sill.

Curation Policy
The written curation policy describes the acquisition and registration procedures as well as processing, storage, and conservation of materials.
Records-Management Policy
The written policy details the acquisition, processing, and storage of materials.

Field-Curation Guidelines
There are no specific field-curation guidelines.

Loan Procedures
The curator, with the concurrence of the Center for Military History, can approve a written loan request. The borrower must sign a form detailing conditions such as proper use and handling, photography and copyrights, transportation, insurance responsibility, and credit on exhibit labels.

Deaccessioning Policy
According to policy, the deaccession or transfer of an object must remain as a part of the permanent record. The Chief of Military History must approve the status of an archaeological object.

Inventory Policy
Collections are inventoried every two years.

Latest Collection Inventory
The last collection inventory prior to the St. Louis District visit took place in 1995.

Curation Personnel
Six civilian employees include a director/curator, a registrar, an archivist, an administrative assistant, and two museum specialists. There are six military employees—five provide security and one is responsible for supplies.

Curation Financing
Environmental funds are used for equipment, archival supplies, and facility improvement. Nonappropriated funds are acquired through donations.

Access to Collections
To gain access to a collection, the director must first be contacted. If the request is legitimate, he will request a letter with more details so he can make his final decision.

Future Plans
Future plans include adding more shelving units, organizing and rehabilitating the original documentation, and acquiring equipment for processing archaeological materials in the laboratory. The roof will be replaced during the summer of 1997. The exterior will be painted, and the leaking vent will be repaired. Boxes will be given permanent numbers employing a new system for integrating collections. Records and archaeological materials will be consolidated and managed using a separate system.

Comments
1. The building is structurally solid.
2. A ceiling vent leaks water.
3. Regular cleaning has recently ended due to budget cuts.
5. Security measures fulfill the standard requirements.
6. Fire detection is adequate; however, fire suppression is not.
7. Environmental controls are sufficient.

Recommendations
1. Isolate new collections for several weeks to ensure that no pests will contaminate the collection storage area. Use sticky traps to aid in monitoring.
2. Install an appropriate fire-suppression system.
3. Museum staff should ensure that regular cleaning is continued.
4. Repair leaking vent as planned. Meanwhile, ensure that collections are protected from water.
5. Ensure that records and archaeological materials are rehabilitated in a timely fashion using proper archival materials.

6. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, and paper clips, or other contaminants. The photographic material should be placed in archival quality photographic sleeves, labeled properly, and stored in a secure storage unit.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Sill**

Anderson, Joseph K. et al.

Austin, Stephen P. et al.

Bastian, Tyler

Crouch, Daniel J.

Durham, Dale

Ferring, C. Reid

Harden, Patrick
1976 *Archaeological Perspective of the Oklahoma Portion of the Red River Basin Above Denison Dam (Special Report).* Environmental Assessment, Oklahoma City.

Jackson, J. Brantley

Jones, Walter H.

Largent, Jr., Floyd B. (editor)

Northcutt, John D.

Pearson, Charles E.
Peter, Duane E. et al.

Shaeffer, James B.

Shaeffer, James B.

Schott, G. C., Jr. et al.

Spivey, Towana

Spivey, Towana et al.

Sudbury, Byron

Wycoff, Don G. et al.
### Bergstrom Air Force Base

**Austin, Texas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Summary</th>
<th>Linear Feet of Records: 1.0 linear feet (11.5 linear inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collections Total: 1.5 ft³ of archaeological materials; 1.0 linear feet of associated records.</td>
<td>On Post: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.5 ft³</td>
<td>Off Post: 1.5 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2) and 10.0 linear inches at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Feet of Records: 1.0 linear feet (11.5 linear inches)</td>
<td>Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: None</td>
<td>Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bergstrom AFB comprises 3,971 acres in Travis County, Texas, and was activated in 1942 as Del Valle Army Air Base. At the suggestion of then Congressman Lyndon B. Johnson, the installation was renamed Bergstrom Army Air Field in 1943 for Captain John Augus Earl Bergstrom, who is believed to be the first man from Austin killed in World War II. The base was transferred back and forth between Strategic Air Command and Tactical Air Command commands from 1945 to 1968. In 1971 it became the headquarters for the 12th Air Force and 67th Tactical Reconnaissance Wing. It remained in this configuration and was considered the home of tactical reconnaissance (Evinger 1991, 1995). In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, reports, and manuscripts for Bergstrom AFB. Collections are located at two repositories in Texas and California.
Reports Relating to Archaeological Investigations at Bergstrom AFB

Maslyk, Paul, Solveig Turpin, and S. Christopher Caran

1993 *Test Excavations at 41TV435 and 41TV436: Cultural Resource Investigation at Bergstrom Air Force Base, Travis County, Texas.* Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory, Austin, Texas.
Naval Air Station Corpus Christi

Corpus Christi, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 1.2 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.7 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1.2 ft³
On Post: None
Off Post: 1.2 ft³ at Garrow and Associates (Chapter 93, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require minimal rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.7 linear feet (8.4 linear inches)
On Post: None
Off Post: 8.4 linear inches at Garrow and Associates (Chapter 93, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

NAS Corpus Christi comprises 4,400 acres in Nueces County, Texas. The base has served mostly as a training facility. Naval Auxiliary Landing Field, Waldron is a part of the NAS Corpus Christi purview (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, reports, and manuscripts for NAS Corpus Christi. Collections are located at one repository in North Carolina.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at NAS Corpus Christi

Gunn, Joel, and Thomas Lilly

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District
Fort Bliss

El Paso, Texas

**Collections Summary**

**Collections Total:** 1530.6 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 240 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 1505.4 ft³
- On Post: 1281.4 ft³
- Off Post: 27.1 ft³ at Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso (Chapter 86, Vol. 2); 31 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Vol. 2); 5.9 ft³ at Geo-Marine (Chapter 94, Vol. 2); 0.1 ft³ at the Museum of New Mexico Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture (Chapter 104, Vol. 2); 3.5 ft³ at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Chapter 107, Vol. 2); and 156.4 ft³ at the Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society Laboratory (Chapter 145, Vol. 2)

**Compliance Status:** Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** 25.2 ft³
- On Post: 18.6 ft³
- Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso (Chapter 86, Vol. 2); 4.0 ft³ at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Chapter 107, Vol. 2); and 2.3 ft³ at the Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society Laboratory (Chapter 145, Vol. 2)

**Compliance Status:** Fort Bliss is housing the remains of at least 15 individuals as well as associated and unassociated funerary objects. Additional Section 5 materials for which Fort Bliss is responsible presently are housed at other facilities. Fort Bliss is attempting to retrieve these materials so that the Section 5 inventories can be completed in house. An undetermined number of individuals is located at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. A minimum of two, possibly three, individuals is located at the Wilderness Park Museum. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

**Linear Feet of Records:** 240 linear feet (2879.5 linear inches)
- On Post: 226 linear feet (2712 linear inches)
- Off Post: 6.7 linear feet (80.4 linear inches)

**Compliance Status:** Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation of archaeological collections is currently funded by the Department of Defense Environmental Services.
Fort Bliss comprises 1.2 million acres in El Paso County, Texas. Fort Bliss was established in 1848 as an infantry post in what is now downtown El Paso. Its importance was realized later as it became a useful deterrent to marauder attacks from across the Mexican border. In 1868 the shifting Rio Grande River forced the post to relocate, and for a short time it was named Camp Concordia. In 1877 Fort Bliss was abandoned, and a new post was established in 1879. During the Civil War the post was used by Confederate troops and was later retaken by the Union army. Construction of the railroad forced the post to move to its current location. In 1993 it celebrated the centennial of its move from its original location to its present position near El Paso, Texas (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July of 1995, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at Fort Bliss that included a review of all pertinent site forms, reports, and manuscripts for the installation. Archaeological collections from Fort Bliss are curated on post. A portion of these collections were assessed by personnel from the St. Louis District in 1997 (Bade 1996). Here, St. Louis District documents the assessment made in March 1997. During fieldwork for this report, Fort Bliss collections were also located at six repositories at Texas, New Mexico, and California.

Assessment

Figure 26. The Fort Bliss Environmental Center is housed in Building 924, which was originally constructed as a cavalry stable in 1939.

unrehabilitated portion of the collections. Primary containers for the remains and associated objects are a mixture of archival and nonarchival cardboard boxes. One human mandible is currently resting on top of a box. Primary containers are labeled directly in marker with information such as burial numbers, site names, project names, catalog numbers, or simply "Human Remains."

Secondary containers for human skeletal remains are quite variable. Many of the remains or associated objects are nested or wrapped in materials such as archival or nonarchival plastic vials, fabric, acid-free tissue paper, tin foil, or foam. Labeling methods consist of directly applied marker, as well as a few acidic paper tag inserts with data written on them in pen or pencil. Data on the labels generally stored on the floor and along the tops of the storage units. Most of the binders contain finding aides or master catalogs of site inventories. There are also about three linear feet of records currently being kept in the archaeological material storage room that are housed on the same shelving units and in the same primary containers as the artifacts.

Environment, pest management, security, fire detection, and fire suppression systems for the records storage room are as previously noted. There is a total of 226 linear feet of documentation housed at FBEC.

Paper Records

Paper records total 149 linear feet. Records not...
Fort Bliss

El Paso, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 1530.6 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 240 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 1505.4 ft³
  On Post: 1281.4 ft³
  Off Post: 27.1 ft³ at Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso (Chapter 86, Vol. 2); 31 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Vol. 2); 5.9 ft³ at Geo-Marine (Chapter 94, Vol. 2); 0.1 ft³ at the Museum of New Mexico Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture (Chapter 104, Vol. 2); 3.5 ft³ at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Chapter 107, Vol. 2); and 156.4 ft³ at the Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society Laboratory (Chapter 145, Vol. 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 25.2 ft³
  On Post: 18.6 ft³
  Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso (Chapter 86, Vol. 2); 4.0 ft³ at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Chapter 107, Vol. 2); and 2.3 ft³ at the Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society Laboratory (Chapter 145, Vol. 2)

Compliance Status: Fort Bliss is housing the remains of at least 15 individuals as well as associated and unassociated funerary objects. Additional Section 5 materials for which Fort Bliss is responsible presently are housed at other facilities. Fort Bliss is attempting to retrieve these materials so that the Section 5 inventories can be completed in house. An undetermined number of individuals is located at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. A minimum of two, possibly three, individuals is located at the Wilderness Park Museum. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 240 linear feet (2879.5 linear inches)
  On Post: 226 linear feet (2712 linear inches)
  Off Post: 6.7 linear feet (80.4 linear inches)
at the Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso (Chapter 86, Vol. 2); 6.0 linear feet at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Vol. 2); 1.3 linear feet (15.5 linear inches) at Geo-Marine (Chapter 94, Vol. 2); 4.4 linear inches at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Chapter 107, Vol. 2); and 5.1 linear feet (61.2 linear inches) at the Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society Laboratory (Chapter 145, Vol. 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation of archaeological collections is currently funded by the Department of Defense Environmental Services.
Fort Bliss comprises 1.2 million acres in El Paso County, Texas. Fort Bliss was established in 1848 as an infantry post in what is now downtown El Paso. Its importance was realized later as it became a useful deterrent to marauder attacks from across the Mexican border. In 1868 the shifting Rio Grande River forced the post to relocate, and for a short time it was named Camp Concordia. In 1877 Fort Bliss was abandoned, and a new post was established in 1879. During the Civil War the post was used by Confederate troops and was later retaken by the Union army. Construction of the railroad forced the post to move to its current location. In 1993 it celebrated the centennial of its move from its original location to its present position near El Paso, Texas (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July of 1995, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at Fort Bliss that included a review of all pertinent site forms, reports, and manuscripts for the installation. Archaeological collections from Fort Bliss are curated on post. A portion of these collections were assessed by personnel from the St. Louis District in 1997 (Bade 1996). Here, St. Louis District documents the assessment made in March 1997. During fieldwork for this report, Fort Bliss collections were also located at six repositories at Texas, New Mexico, and California.

**Assessment**

**Date of Visit:** March 24–April 2, 1997

**Point of Contact:** Amy Marshall

The Fort Bliss Environmental Center (FBEC) is housed in Building 624 at the corner of Taylor and Pleasanton on Fort Bliss (Figure 26). The mission of this office is to conduct environmental and cultural compliance for Fort Bliss and to serve as a physical repository for cultural materials recovered during compliance activities. Approximately 1,280 ft³ of artifacts and 226 linear feet of documentation from the installation are housed at FBEC, including human skeletal remains and associated funerary objects from at least 15 prehistoric burials.

The FBEC building was originally constructed for use as a calvary stable in 1939, and has one-and-one-half levels above grade. There are two lofts on each end of the structure, accessible only from the outside, with a low walkway between them that originally served as feed storage and distribution. This facility was completely renovated specifically for its current function in 1996. Although the exterior of the building was retained as originally constructed, there have been numerous internal renovations.

Current space use includes an archaeological material holding area, washing and storage areas, a processing laboratory, a conservation laboratory, supplies storage, an exhibit area (located in the conference room), archaeological material and records study rooms, a cold storage room for photographic media and paper records, several offices, a library, and a mechanical maintenance room. Full utilities are present and were completely upgraded during the 1996 renovation. This facility has 13,292 ft² of usable space, including the lofts or room for 112 horses plus feed. The collections storage rooms occupy 7,000 ft² of the available space in the FBEC building.

**Structural Adequacy**

The FBEC foundation is comprised of concrete slab footings and columns. Exterior walls are concrete block and masonry construction with a red brick aspect. The single-gable, wooden-frame roof is covered with asphalt tiles and was renovated with the rest of the structure in 1996. Historic
photographs were used to keep the roof's appearance as close as possible to that of the original building plans. No cracks or leaks in the foundation or roof have been noticed or reported by FBEC staff.

The original floor was compacted earth but is currently poured concrete. Floor coverings in the public areas and offices are a combination of linoleum tiles and industrial carpeting. Collections rooms floors are bare concrete. All ceilings and interior walls are constructed of wood studs and plasterboard. The 4 x 4 feet (w x h) steel-framed windows are replacements for the original stable windows.

Exterior doors are steel, two of which are fitted with security glass viewing panels. Interior doors to the collections areas are steel fire doors. Office doors are solid-core, paneled wood. The original stable doors have been replaced with steel doors that are secured with a full-width steel sliding bolt that is six inches in diameter and mounted on brackets fitted with security pins. This entrance is used only as a receiving bay and cannot be opened from the outside. The collections rooms are currently filled to 50% of available capacity.

Environmental Controls
The FBEC building is equipped with an evaporative cooling and gas, forced-air heating system fitted with filters. The main artifact storage room is maintained at 65–70° F and 50%–55% relative humidity. The cold storage room for the records is maintained at 55–60° F and 20%–30% relative humidity. The remainder of the repository is maintained at 70° F; humidity is not monitored or maintained outside the collections storage rooms. Regularly monitored digital hygrothermographs are used to track environmental changes.

Windows are not currently shaded—except for the library—and show no evidence of air or water leakage. There are no windows in the collections storage rooms. Artificial lighting throughout the building is accomplished with nonfiltered fluorescent tube fixtures.

The FBEC Wildlife and Herbarium Laboratory, which is also used as a conservation laboratory for artifacts, does house hydrochloric and formic acid as well as a live rattlesnake (in an aquarium). This laboratory is well away from the collections rooms and is equipped with a fume hood that ventilates directly to the outside of the building.

Most of the building is maintained on a daily basis by personnel assigned from the Directorate of Planning, Works and Logistics (DPWL) office on post. Collections rooms are cleaned as needed by FBEC staff.

Pest Management
There is no integrated pest management program in place at this time, but regular spraying is conducted as a preventive measure. Spraying is done by post personnel assigned by the DPWL; boric acid is used as a pesticide in the collections areas. Some evidence of roach feces and insect remains were noted by the assessment team in a few primary containers, but these appear to be from past infestations at the old facility that were brought in with the drawers from original storage units. Insects reported by Fort Bliss staff, as former inhabitants of the FBEC include roaches, fire ants, and black widow spiders. No evidence of any active infestation was seen at the time of the assessment, and no current infestation problems were reported by FBEC staff.

Security
Security systems at FBEC consist of dead-bolt locks on exterior and collections rooms doors, key locks on office doors and processing areas, staff controlled access, and 24-hour security patrols by the post's military police. There are five windows at the facility that are considered accessible from the ground, but no incidents of unauthorized access were reported by FBEC staff, and no evidence of past intrusion was seen by the assessment team.

Fire Detection and Suppression
Fire detection and suppression for FBEC consists of a wet-pipe, heat-activated sprinkler system. None of the sprinkler pipes are located directly over the collections storage units. Six fire extinguishers are also in the process of being installed in key areas throughout the building. The areas scheduled to receive fire extinguishers include the archaeological material collections room, the cold storage/records room, the conservation laboratory, and the hallway.
Artifact Storage

Storage Units

Fort Bliss archaeological material collections are stored on 68 open, enameled metal shelving units measuring 84 x 36 x 64 inches (l x w x h) (Figure 27). Every unit has six evenly spaced shelves. One very large ground stone artifact that does not fit on the shelves is resting on the floor in a corner of the collections room. Shelves are not yet labeled, but a system has been developed to locate archaeological materials by row, shelf, and box number. Percentages of archaeological material classes by volume are shown in Table 16.

Table 16. Summary of Material Classes in the Archaeological Collections Housed at the Fort Bliss Environmental Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunal Remains</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Remains</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunological (Soil) Samples</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation Samples</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historical-Period</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Percentages of material classes are based on volume. Other prehistoric materials includes pollen samples, worked turquoise, worked shell, worked bone, burned clay, non-vessel ceramic archaeological materials, cordage, fur, feathers, animal coprolites, manucripted fossils, and manipulated and worked crystals.

Other historical-period materials includes leather, textiles, paper, plastic, rubber, faunal remains, shell, cinders, asphalt tile, cork, coal, worked bone, worked shell, aluminum foil, slate, and tin shingles.

Primary Containers

There are 85 different sizes of primary containers presently in use varying from 1.3 x 0.9 x 0.2 feet (l x w x h) and 0.2 ft³ in volume to 3.1 x 2.1 x 1 feet (l x w x h) and 6.5 ft³ in volume (Figure 28). Container types are highly variable as well and include open wooden drawers (from the previous storage units), coroplast boxes, acid-free archival boxes with enameled metal cornices, and acidic cardboard boxes. Security for the boxes include folding flap closures and telescoping lids.

Labels for the primary containers also vary and include acidic and acid-free paper inserts, foil-backed adhesive archival labels, and nonarchival adhesive labels. Information on the labels is handwritten in marker, typewritten or computer generated. Data on the labels include the Fort Bliss
or El Paso Archaeology Society site number, a series of catalog numbers, an accession number, provenience information, the date the collection was made, the project number, the site name, and/or name of the collecting individual or agency. Most of the ground stone archaeological materials are stored loose, and many are currently stacked on top of each other on the bottom shelves of four different storage units.

Secondary Containers

Secondary containers for the archaeological material collections consist predominately of 4-mil, polyethylene zip-lock bags and acid-free specimen boxes. The remaining secondary containers are somewhat varied; see Table 17 for a breakdown of types and percentages of all secondary containers present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Container</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-mil, polyethylene zip-lock bags</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-free cardboard boxes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic or glass vials in zip-lock bags</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other includes loose archaeological materials, paper bags, acid-free tissue paper, aluminum foil, a food tin with pry lid, an acidic cardboard box, an acidic cardboard tray, plastic film canisters, and Manila envelopes.

The collections are presently being rehabilitated, and since the original secondary containers are often retained, labeling of them is frequently a mixture of at least two methods. Secondary containers for collections that have not been rehabilitated (about half) are nearly always directly labeled in marker. Rehabilitated collections show a mixture of the former method and the addition of foil-backed, archival adhesive labels. New collections are labeled using only foil-backed, archival adhesive labels. Acidic paper tag inserts were present in about seventy percent of the containers for collections in all stages of processing.

Information on the adhesive labels is either typed or computer generated and consists of the following information: Fort Bliss site number, project number, archaeological material type or code number, accession number, the date the collection was made, and the provenience. Information recorded in marker is nearly the same as for the other label type, but also includes the name of the collecting individual or agency and omits the accession number. Accessioning of the collections began in 1996, regardless of when the collection was originally made. Paper tag inserts have information both typewritten and handwritten in marker, pen, or pencil.

Laboratory Processing and Labeling

All of the collections at FBEC have been sorted by project, site number, material type and/or provenience. Slightly more than ninety percent of the artifacts have been cleaned. Diagnostic artifacts large enough to label (about forty percent of the total collection) are directly labeled with a catalog number in black ink on a clear or white base coat. These catalog numbers are not consistent, but all are valid catalog numbers that are very specific to a certain project, analysis, or sorting exercise conducted on the artifacts. Remaining materials were collected as lots or samples destined for destructive analysis and do not require labeling.

Human Skeletal Remains

Fort Bliss staff are working to complete NAGPRA Section 5 compliance. They currently have within their physical control 18.6 ft³ (minimum number of individuals present at FBEC is 15) of the Section 5 materials for which Fort Bliss is responsible, and are attempting to retrieve the remaining collections so that the physical inventories for NAGPRA can be performed in house. The remains seen by St. Louis District staff are deteriorating or in fragmentary condition. Review of the associated documentation seems to indicate that the majority of these materials were very likely fragmented when collected.

The human skeletal remains at FBEC are all housed in the artifact storage room. Most of the remains (at least 13 individuals) and associated artifacts have been separated from the rest of the collections and are stored together on one shelving unit. An additional 0.4 ft³ of remains (representing at least two individuals) were found among the
unrehabilitated portion of the collections. Primary containers for the remains and associated objects are a mixture of archival and nonarchival cardboard boxes. One human mandible is currently resting on top of a box. Primary containers are labeled directly in marker with information such as burial numbers, site names, project names, catalog numbers, or simply “Human Remains.”

Secondary containers for human skeletal remains are quite variable. Many of the remains or associated objects are nested or wrapped in materials such as archival or nonarchival plastic vials, fabric, acid-free tissue paper, tin foil, or foam. Labeling methods consist of directly applied marker, as well as a few acidic paper tag inserts with data written on them in pen or pencil. Data on the labels generally include such information as burial numbers, site names, site numbers, or conditions of the remains. All of the Section 5 materials appear to have been cleaned. None of them are directly labeled, but all have been sorted by material class. In some instances the remains have been sorted by body part (i.e., long bones together, hand bones together, ribs together, vertebrae together, skulls in separate containers).

Records Storage

Documentation at FBEC is stored in two rooms. The site files, as well as most of the maps, photographic media, computer media, and paper records are in the aforementioned cold storage room. Records in this room are predominately housed in enameled, fire-resistant, legal-size file cabinets; in standard-size enameled metal map cabinets; and on revolving, enameled metal space-saving units that self-seal against dust and light. Most of these storage units are currently labeled with acidic paper tag inserts in holders on the fronts of the units or with Post-It® notes. Labels are both typed and handwritten, and generally state the contents of the unit. It should be noted that the record collections also are being rehabilitated, so any apparent disorganization or lack of appropriate labels on storage units and primary containers at the time of the assessment are a result of this ongoing process.

In addition to the records housed in the storage units, there are 15 miscellaneous boxes of records, 75 binders, and about two linear feet of loose records—including rolled copies of maps—stored on the floor and along the tops of the storage units. Most of the binders contain finding aides or master catalogs of site inventories. There are also about three linear feet of records currently being kept in the archaeological material storage room that are housed on the same shelving units and in the same primary containers as the artifacts.

Environment, pest management, security, fire detection, and fire suppression systems for the records storage room are as previously noted. There is a total of 226 linear feet of documentation housed at FBEC.

Paper Records

Paper records total 149 linear feet. Records not housed in filing or map cabinets are in a variety of primary containers including archival and nonarchival binders, archival document boxes, miscellaneous odd-sized acidic cardboard boxes, and sometimes loose in open wooden drawers. The latter two generally house associated artifacts as well, and, as previously noted, some of these primary containers are located in the archaeological material storage room.

Archival secondary containers consist of acid-free file folders, acid-free document boxes, and archival polyethylene page protectors. All archival containers—primary and secondary—are labeled using typed or computer-generated foil-backed adhesive labels or acid-free paper tag inserts. Nonarchival secondary containers consist of acidic envelopes and manila folders that are labeled directly in marker or have acidic paper tags labeled in marker taped to the containers.

Photographic Records

There are 17.2 linear feet of photographic records, including color prints, black-and-white prints, slides, negatives, and contact sheets. Primary containers consist of archival and nonarchival binders, archival and nonarchival boxes, and some plastic boxes. Photographic media are sometimes loose in folders and acidic boxes with other records but for the most part have been processed into archival polyethylene sleeves and archival photograph binders or document boxes. Archival containers are labeled with foil-backed adhesive labels or acid-free paper tag inserts that are typed or computer generated. Data on the
labels generally consist of a site number or project number and the year. Nonarchival containers are directly labeled in marker with a site number and project name.

Secondary containers consist of polyethylene plastic sleeves and acid-free paper envelopes. Sleeves, photographs and slide mounts are all labeled directly—using an archival marking pen—with the site number, installation name, project name, roll number, exposure number, and year. A copy of the relevant photograph log is included in each box or binder.

Maps and Oversized Documents
Almost all full-sized maps and bluelines (copies of aerial photographs) which measure about 30.2 total linear feet are stored in filing and map cabinets in the cold storage room. Maps are generally stored flat in the map cabinets, but there is about one linear foot of rolled maps stored loose along the tops of the storage units. A few maps are in acidic cardboard tubes labeled directly in marker, but most maps do not have secondary containers.

Most bluelines are folded into quarters and stored loose in legal-size filing cabinets. A few bluelines are stored on the floor in the previously noted acidic boxes with other paper records. When present, secondary containers for bluelines are manila folders directly labeled in pencil or marker with project names or site numbers. All maps and bluelines have site or project data handwritten on them in pen or pencil.

Reports
Reports comprise 3.3 linear feet of the total record volume and are housed in a variety of nonarchival primary and secondary containers in the cold storage room. They are widely scattered among the other paper records and appear to be first drafts of reports seen by St. Louis District staff during the site file search for Fort Bliss. A few of these reports contain original photographs that have been glued or taped in place. Primary and secondary containers and labels are as previously noted for the paper records.

Computer Media
About 26.3 linear feet of computer disks are presently stored in the legal-size filing cabinets in the cold storage room. Most of the disks are loose and do not appear to be in any particular order or arrangement. Some are stored in nonarchival plastic bags, a few are in plastic storage boxes, and many are simply secured into bundles with rubber bands. The only labels present are standard adhesive disk labels, and all information on them is directly written in pen or marker. There are both 5- and 3.5-inch disks in the file drawers.

Collections-Management Standards
Registration Procedures
Accession Files
Archaeological materials are presently accessioned upon receipt. There are written protocols for the procedure and a standard form that is used. Accessioning of Fort Bliss’ collections began in 1996, so there is currently a backlog of artifacts that are being processed. Accession numbers for backlogged collections will reflect the date they were brought into compliance, not the date they were collected.

Location Identification
Each shelving unit, shelf, and box position has an assigned number. These three sets of information, plus the letter designation for the storage room, is the location of the archaeological material within the repository. The number is identified in both the computer database and the accession files.

Cross-Indexed Files
Files are cross indexed by site number and accession number.

Published Guide to Collections
There is no published guide to collections.

Site-Record Administration
FBEC retains all original site records relevant to Fort Bliss land holdings. These files are organized sequentially by the designated Fort Bliss number.
Computerized Database Management
There is a computerized system for database management in place, and staff are currently cataloging collections as they are rehabilitated or accessioned. The system is backed up weekly on 3.5-inch disks.

Written Policies and Procedures
Minimum Standards for Acceptance
There is no minimum standard for acceptance, but policy states that any collection submitted must be from Fort Bliss lands. This policy may change if agreements are signed with outlying agencies for curation of their collections.

Curation Policy
There are written standards for curation activities that cover receipt, processing, use and future preservation of materials. A collections management policy is in draft form for FBEC.

Records-Management Policy
There is a written policy addressing the guidelines and standards for the curation of documentation.

Field-Curation Guidelines
There are written guidelines for field curation that address field conservation, processing, and collecting.

Loan Procedures
There are written loan procedures and standard loan forms for the procedure, but loans are only made to institutions not individuals.

Deaccessioning Policy
There is a written deaccessioning policy and a standard form for the procedure.

Inventory Policy
Collections are inventoried upon receipt, and spot checked when new collections are integrated into the collection.

Latest Collection Inventory
Collections at FBEC were undergoing a complete inventory at the time of the assessment.

Curation Personnel
There is a full-time curator of collections and a full-time collections assistant.

Curation Financing
Curation of archaeological collections is currently funded by the Department of Defense Environmental Services.

Access to Collections
Access to the collections is granted to qualified researchers and institutions who wish to conduct on-site research. Arrangements for a visit should be completed at least one week in advance of the visit date. FBEC staff request a letter detailing the collections wanted, a scope of research, and any photocopying or photographic reproduction services needed by the researcher, although these arrangements can be made over the telephone. Collections are pulled by FBEC staff, and research is conducted in space set aside for that purpose.

Future Plans
An infrared motion detector has been ordered for FBEC; when installed, this alarm system will be wired directly to the post's military police. FBEC staff are continuing attempts to coalesce all collections from Fort Bliss lands for long-term storage at FBEC. Rehabilitation of existing collections continues, and all materials are simultaneously being entered into a computerized database management system. Long-term loan agreements are in progress for Fort Bliss collections that cannot be retrieved easily from their current storage facilities.

The collections policies and management plan document—now in draft form—is slated for completion by the end of the summer 1997. Staff are also actively pursuing agreements with other federal agencies such as the Bureau of Land Management and White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, that are interested in housing collections at FBEC.
Comments

1. FBEC current policies of standard care of collections follow curation standards as outlined by the American Association of Museums and 36 CFR Part 79. Security, fire protection, and environmental conditions meet or exceed the guidelines.

2. There is no integrated pest management program. Regular spraying is used as a preventive measure.

3. Some of the archaeological materials and approximately two-thirds of the documentation are still in need of rehabilitation as of the date of the assessment.

4. NAGPRA Section 5 materials that are the responsibility of Fort Bliss are currently located at a minimum of five other repositories.

Recommendations

1. Continue work on collections and documentation rehabilitation. Prioritize and concentrate on rehabilitating the NAGPRA Section 5 materials first.

2. Coalesce at FBEC all known NAGPRA Section 5 materials from the five other repositories as soon as possible so that NAGPRA compliance inventories for Section 5 can be conducted and consultation begun.

3. All Section 5 materials at FBEC should be isolated from other collections and repackaged using more stable materials.
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## Collections Summary

**Collections Total:** 345.7 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 111.6 linear feet of associated records.

**Volume of Artifact Collections:** 345.3 ft³
- On Post: 345.3 ft³
- Off Post: None
- Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

**Human Skeletal Remains:** 0.4 ft³
- On Post: 0.4 ft³
- Off Post: None
- Compliance Status: A minimum number of three individuals is included in the Fort Hood collections. These remains have been isolated and are scheduled for repatriation. Qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

**Linear Feet of Records:** 111.6 linear feet (1339.1 linear inches)
- On Post: 111.6 linear feet (1339.1 linear inches)
- Off Post: None
- Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

**Status of Curation Funding:** Curation of archaeological collections is provided for through the budget for cultural resource investigations.

---

Fort Hood comprises 217,337 acres in Coryell and Bell Counties, Texas. Construction of South Camp Hood began in 1942, and North Camp Hood was established just after this date. In 1950 South Camp Hood was redesignated Fort Hood while North Camp Hood became North Fort Hood. Present day Fort Hood is located midway between Waco and Austin, just west of the city of Killeen (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Fort Hood. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Fort Hood and numerous reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are located only on post.

---

### Assessment

**Date of Visit:** 7 January 1997

**Point of Contact:** Robert Kimball Smith

The Fort Hood repository is in Building 4249 located at 78th and Warehouse Streets on Fort Hood. The
repository is owned and operated by the Federal Government. The building holds offices for staff, a small work/processing area, and the collections storage area. This repository holds all archaeological documentation and artifacts recovered from archaeological investigations conducted on the subject property.

**Structural Adequacy**

Constructed in 1942, the building that now houses the installation curation repository is in good structural condition overall. The foundation is divided into two portions, the older being made of wood and the newer portion of concrete. The newer section of the foundation is located beneath the collections area. Exterior walls of the building are wood siding, and the roof is shingled. No structural problems such as cracks or leaks in the roof or the foundation have been noticed by the installation staff or by the assessment team during their survey.

The building has plasterboard interior walls and a plaster ceiling. The floors are a tile covering over a wood or concrete foundation. Windows throughout the repository have aluminum frames and no blinds or shades. None of the repository staff have noticed that the windows leak. Interior doors in the repository are wood panel, and exterior doors are glass and metal.

The collections storage area is located in the rear of the building. The foundation of the room that holds the collections is concrete. Fort Hood collections are stored within a 600-ft² walk-in freezer that has been converted into a storage area (Figure 29).

Collection capacity is currently at 50%, and the collections area is extremely well maintained.

**Environmental Controls**

The building uses a gas, forced-air heating system and window air-conditioning units. The temperature is targeted for 72°F, but it is not monitored on a regular schedule. Illumination in the repository consists of nonfiltered, fluorescent lights. The utilities include plumbing, electrical, and heat. According to the staff these systems all underwent renovations in January 1996. Janitorial services in the building are provided every other day by a professional organization.

The collections area has a window unit for temperature control and nonfiltered fluorescent lights. Janitorial service is provided by the staff on an as-needed basis.

**Pest Management**

Pest management is contracted to a professional company. This service occurs every three months. The staff has not encountered any infestations since moving into the building. The assessment team did not notice any type of infestation during the building survey. The collections area is maintained on an as-needed basis. To date, no problems have been noticed in the collections area.

**Security**

The repository possesses an intrusion alarm wired to the post police department. The grounds are also patrolled by post security. Interior doors use only a common push-lock mechanism, while exterior doors are dead bolted. There is one exterior door that enters directly into the collections area. Windows in the facility use a standard window lock for security.

The collection area is further secured through use of a key lock on the main door to the freezer unit. The key is held by the curator, and all access in controlled.

**Fire Detection and Suppression**

The repository possesses a fire alarm that is wired to local fire departments. In addition, there is a wet-
pipe, sprinkler/suppression system located throughout the building. Manual fire alarms and heat and smoke detectors are likewise placed throughout the building. Two fire extinguishers are available in the repository, one was last inspected in April 1993, the other in April 1994. The building also has lighted emergency exit signs and emergency lighting units placed in the corridors.

The collections area (freezer unit) possesses a CO₂ fire suppression system that is designed especially for the safety of the collections. The room in which the freezer is housed is maintained by the same fire system as the rest of the repository.

Artifact Storage

Storage Units

Fort Hood artifacts are stored on immovable metal shelving units that measure 30 x 78 x 79 inches (l x w x h) (Figure 30). Additional materials are stored on the floor of the collections area (freezer unit) and in a specimen cabinet located just outside the freezer unit. The cabinet measures 34 x 55 x 60 inches (l x w x h). Only one drawer of the cabinet holds artifacts. Percentages of material classes are outlined in Table 18.

Figure 30. Collections are housed in standard-sized boxes on metal storage units in the collections room.

Primary Containers

Primary containers for the Fort Hood materials are varied. They consist of 155 archival boxes, 153 acidic boxes, and 1 wooden drawer. Some boxes show some evidence of damage and use telescoping lids or folding flaps for security. The single drawer is not secured.

Each container is labeled either directly or with an adhesive tag. In some cases labels are computer generated and others have been directly applied to the box in marker or pen. Label information consists of some combination of the following information: project, site number, box number, accession number, contents, carton number, installation, date, quad number.

Secondary Containers

Secondary containers consist of plastic bags, archival boxes, acidic boxes, paper bags, small yellow envelopes, and plastic jars. Security for secondary containers consists of zip-locks for the plastic bags and lids for the boxes. Paper bags are either open or are secured with a rubber band or string. All exhibit some puncturing and tearing and some are overpacked. In general, however, they are in fair condition.
Secondary container labels are adhesive, direct, or paper inserts. In some cases they also consist of index cards affixed to box fronts. They are written in marker, pen, or pencil. Additionally, some are computer generated or stamped in ink. Label information consists of site number, artifact type, bag number, box number, collection number, provenience, location, project, investigator, date, and accession number.

**Laboratory Processing and Labeling**

Ninety-nine percent of Fort Hood artifacts have been cleaned in some manner, and 75% have been labeled in india ink, pen, or marker. Labeling is applied directly to the artifact in most cases, but some also use adhesive tags or inserts.

**Human Skeletal Remains**

Three individuals—two adults (sex undetermined) and one infant—were examined during the course of the assessment. These materials (0.4 ft³) were removed from the artifact collections by the staff archaeologist at the time of the assessment and are scheduled for repatriation.

**Records Storage**

Fort Hood archaeological records are stored in fireproof, metal file cabinets that measure 31 x 21 x 51 inches (l x w x h). Four of these units are used to hold site forms. Additionally, one standard letter-size file cabinet is used to hold in-house documents. Topographic maps and aerial photographs are stored in a standard, metal map case. Other records, which include field notes, draft reports, photographs, slides, and videocassettes, are stored on six varieties of immovable, metal shelving units that measure 13 x 33 x 17 inches, 11 x 4 x 44.3 feet, 12 x 12 x 13 inches, 13 x 33 x 14.8 inches, 30 x 78 x 79 inches, and 13 x 33 x 32 inches (l x w x h). Except for some very old project records stored with the artifacts, all records are in good condition and are arranged by site number, quad number, or report number.

**Paper Records**

Paper records consist of administrative, background, analysis records, and site forms. Paper records total 74.7 linear feet, of which 8 linear feet consist of CRM report documents. Primary containers consist of manila folders and envelopes and plastic binders. They are labeled using adhesive paper labels and paper inserts. Labels are usually directly marked in pen, pencil, or marker, but some are typed. All labels are legible and consistent.

**Photographic Records**

Fort Hood photographic records consist of color prints (0.5 linear feet); aerial photos (2 linear feet), black-and-white prints (2.5 linear feet), negatives (0.02 linear feet), slides (19.6 linear feet), and contact sheets (0.02 linear feet). Photographs are stored in plastic binders that are labeled using typewritten paper inserts. Slides are stored in a slide cabinet, each drawer of which is labeled in ink with a paper insert. All records except site forms are stored in the walk-in freezer/collection storage area.

**Map Records**

Topographic maps account for 1.25 linear feet of the total collection and are stored flat in standard metal map cases. They are in good condition and are easily accessible.

**Audiovisual Records**

Approximately 11 linear feet of videocassettes are part of the Fort Hood archaeological collections. These tapes hold information on excavations that occurred on installation property and are in very good condition. They are also easily accessible for viewing.

**Collections-Management Standards**

Fort Hood does not currently maintain any written procedures for curation. The curator does follow curation methods that are used by contractors and universities throughout Texas when processing all incoming additions to the collections. These methods include, but are not limited to, the following: placing archaeological materials in archival plastic bags and boxes and identifying archaeological materials with archival paper inserts.
Registration Procedures

Accession Files
There is an accession procedure currently in place.

Location Identification
Project files and collections are identified by project number and/or accession number.

Cross-Indexed Files
Project files are cross indexed by project number to the artifacts.

Published Guide to Collections
There is no published guide to collections.

Site-Record Administration
Pertinent site records are maintained.

Computerized Database Management
There is a database for collections in place.

Written Policies and Procedures

Minimum Standards for Acceptance
There is no written policy.

Curation Policy
There is no written policy. The staff archaeologist uses personal experience when curating collections.

Records-Management Policy
There is no written policy. The staff archaeologist uses personal experience when curating collections.

Field-Curation Guidelines
There is no written policy. The staff archaeologist uses personal experience when curating collections.

Loan Procedures
Fort Hood does not loan materials.

Deaccessioning Policy
Fort Hood has not deaccessioned any material to date.

Inventory Policy
There is no written policy.

Latest Collection Inventory
A complete inventory of the collections has never been performed.

Curation Personnel
Fort Hood employs one full-time curator that is responsible for all archaeological collections recovered from installation property.

Curation Financing
Curation is financed through individual project budgets.

Access to Collections
Access to Fort Hood archaeological collections is restricted by the curator. Access is granted, upon written request to the curator, to bona fide researchers.

Comments

1. Adequate environmental controls are in place at the Fort Hood curation repository.

2. Fire extinguishers in the facility have not been updated for some time.

3. Forty percent of all collections are in archival boxes and plastic bags.

4. Some records are stored with collections in the collections area.

Recommendations

1. Fire extinguishers should be updated and replaced if necessary.

2. All artifacts should be placed in archival-quality primary containers and inert plastic secondary containers.
3. All primary containers should be labeled using archival paper inserts and inert plastic sleeves.

4. Separate all associated records from the collections. Do not store records in same boxes as artifacts unless the insert is a copy of an artifact catalog.

5. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, and paper clips, or other contaminants. Photographic material should be placed in archival-quality photographic sleeves, labeled properly, and stored in a secure storage unit.
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Fort Sam Houston
San Antonio, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 43.5 ft³ of archaeological materials; 2.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 43.5 ft³
  - On Post: 2.5 ft³
  - Off Post: 41 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 2.1 linear feet (25.45 linear inches)
  - On Post: 0.25 linear inches
  - Off Post: 2.1 linear feet (25.2 linear inches)

Status of Curation Funding: Curation of archaeological collections is allocated through funds in the Fort Sam Houston budget.

Fort Sam Houston encompasses 3,000 acres adjacent to San Antonio in Bexar County, Texas. This installation can trace its roots back to the first troops to arrive in San Antonio in 1870. The mission of Fort Sam Houston has gone from supplying frontier outposts as a Quartermaster Depot to one of providing medical training to meet the Army's mission needs worldwide (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Fort Sam Houston. Archaeological sites have been recorded at Fort Sam Houston and several reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Collections are located at two repositories in Texas.

Assessment

Date of Visit: October 24, 1996

Point of Contact: Mike Hilgar and John Manguso

The Fort Sam Houston Military Museum is the official military museum for the installation (Figure 31). They display a wide array of uniforms, weapons, and military accoutrements from various epochs of U.S. history. They also curate a small amount of archaeological material from several investigations.
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Figure 31. Exterior of the Fort Sam Houston Military Museum.

that have been conducted on post property through the years. Approximately 2.5 ft³ of artifacts are housed at the Fort Sam Houston Military Museum.

Structural Adequacy

The Fort Sam Houston Military Museum occupies Building 123, a historic building located on installation property. The structure was built in the early 1920s for use as a mess hall. Following this, it was eventually made into the installation military museum.

The 6,000-ft² structure has a slate tile roof that is less than five years old. It has a concrete foundation and a wood and concrete frame. Exterior walls of the structure are brick, and the interior walls are plasterboard. According to the staff, none of the windows, nor any areas of the foundation, have experienced any leaks that resulted in substantial damage to the building or anything held within the structure.

The floor of the building is covered with tile, and the ceilings are suspended acoustical tiles. All windows in the facility have wooden frames and have been sealed with a covering of plywood. The museum currently has the following defined areas: material/supply storage, exhibit area, security area, and a kitchen/break room. There are also certain areas that are used for artifact holding and temporary storage. This same area is used for exhibit construction and artifact and record study. Some space also is provided for record and photograph storage.

Approximately 1,500 ft² of space is devoted to collections storage. The collections area is identical to the rest of the repository regarding structure.

Environmental Controls

The museum building is equipped with gas forced air and heat. Temperature is held between 70–75°F and humidity is maintained between 45% and 55%. The humidity level is monitored daily by museum staff and is controlled on a monthly basis with a dehumidifier. The museum receives janitorial service by a professional contractor on a weekly basis. In addition, the museum maintains dust filters on ventilation ducts throughout the building.

Lighting in the facility is fluorescent and most bulbs have ultraviolet filters. The plumbing system for the building has been updated within the last ten years, and all other systems were renovated between 1975 and 1980. There have been no major episodes of failure with regard to any of the utility systems. The collections area maintains the same environmental controls as does the rest of the repository.

Pest Management

All pest management for the museum is handled by the installation entomologist on an as-needed basis. To date, no infestations of any kind have been noticed by museum personnel. The collections area receives similar management.

Security

The museum building is equipped with an intrusion alarm wired to the police department. It also receives daily monitoring by installation security. Exterior doors of the structure are solid wood covered with a metal grating; they are further secured with a padlock. All interior doors are wood panel, and there is a single metal door leading to the collections area.
As noted earlier, all windows are sealed, and exterior windows possess metal grating and bars for additional security. The building uses motion detectors at various locations throughout the building to monitor movement within the museum.

The collections area is a controlled-access environment that is monitored by the director. As of this visit the facility had experienced no incidents of unauthorized access.

Fire Detection and Suppression

Fire-detection and suppression systems for the museum consist of an alarm that is wired to the local fire department and a wet-pipe sprinkler system, respectively. In addition, three fire extinguishers are located throughout the building. These were last inspected in May 1992. The collections area makes use of the same fire-detection system as the rest of the repository.

Artifact Storage

Storage Units

The Fort Sam Houston Military Museum is currently curating 2.5 ft³ of artifacts recovered from installation property. The material is stored on immovable, metal shelving units. These shelves measure 14 x 38 x 40 inches (l x w x h). There are three such units in the collections area, with one reserved for archaeological material. Percentages of material classes are outlined in Table 19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical-Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of material classes are based on volume.

Primary Containers

Primary containers for archaeological materials consist of acidic and acid-free cardboard boxes with telescoping lids and folded flaps for security. Containers are directly labeled in pen. Label information consists of installation, provenience, and catalog numbers.

Secondary Containers

Secondary containers consist of 4- and 6-mil plastic zip-lock bags, paper bags, and small acidic cardboard boxes. Paper bags and boxes are labeled directly in marker, while the plastic bags contain paper insert labels. All labels list the following information: site number, provenience, date, investigator, and project. In most cases the plastic bags are nested within the other two secondary containers.

Laboratory Processing and Labeling

All of the archaeological materials have been cleaned and labeled to some degree. Label information consists of site and catalog numbers.

Human SkeletalRemains

The Fort Sam Houston Military Museum is not currently curating any human skeletal remains from the post.

Records Storage

Approximately 0.25 linear inches of paper records associated with archaeological work conducted on Fort Sam Houston are stored in the museum office area, which is just outside the collections storage area. The records are copies of artifact catalog sheets and administrative information that had been removed by museum personnel and placed with the artifacts. They are normally stored in a metal, letter-size file cabinet. The catalog deals specifically with artifacts from site 41BX799, and all other documentation is inclusive as of July 1995.
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Collections-Management Standards

The Fort Sam Houston Military Museum has a full array of registration procedures in place; however, they are specifically devoted to military artifacts not archaeological collections.

Registration Procedures

Accession Files

There is no accession procedure for archaeological collections; however, artifacts are assigned project numbers and are organized by project.

Location Identification

The location of collections is not monitored.

Cross-Indexed Files

Files are not cross indexed.

Published Guide to Collections

There is no published guide to collections.

Site-Record Administration

No site file records are kept.

Computerized Database Management

There is no database in place.

Written Policies and Procedures

Minimum Standards for Acceptance

There is no written policy for archaeological collections.

Curation Policy

There is no written policy. Staff members use personal experience when curating collections.

Records-Management Policy

There is no written policy. Staff members use personal experience when curating collections.

Field-Curation Guidelines

There is no written policy. Staff members use personal experience when curating collections.

Loan Procedures

Fort Sam Houston does not loan materials.

Deaccessioning Policy

Fort Sam Houston has never deaccessioned any material.

Inventory Policy

There is no written policy.

Latest Collection Inventory

A complete inventory has never been performed.

Curation Personnel

The museum has a full-time director and several other employees that are responsible for the day-to-day work performed by the museum. This work entails mainly exhibit preparation and material acquisition and care. There is no full-time curator for archaeological collections.

Curation Financing

Curation is financed within the budget of Fort Sam Houston.

Access to Collections

Access to the collections is limited to staff and researchers by permission.

Comments

1. Fire extinguishers have not been recently inspected.

2. Some collections are stored in acidic cardboard boxes.

3. All of the artifacts are labeled.
4. Documentation contains contaminants in the form of nonarchival staples.

5. The facility has adequate environmental controls, pest management procedures, security measures, and fire-detection and -suppression systems in place.

**Recommendations**

1. Place all artifacts into archival-quality primary containers and inert plastic secondary containers.

2. Label all primary containers using archival paper inserts and inert plastic sleeves.

3. Produce multiple copies of all documentation on acid-free paper and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a finding aid to the collection. Records should be free of metal binder clips, staples, paper clips, and other contaminants.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Sam Houston**

Cox, Wayne, and Herbert G. Uecker

Dibble, David

Gerstle, Andrea, Thomas C. Kelly, and Cristi Assad

Gilmore, K., and L. Allen

Hines, Margaret H., and Steve A. Tonka

Quigg, J. Michael
Naval Station and U.S. Mine Warfare Center

Ingleside, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.8 linear feet (10.0 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 10.0 linear inches at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for

Repots Related to Archaeological Investigations at NAVSTA Ingleside

Mines, Margaret Howard
Kelly Air Force Base

San Antonio, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 2.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.6 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 2.0 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 2.0 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.6 linear feet (7.2 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 7.2 linear inches at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Kelly Air Force Base comprises 3,996 acres in Bexar County, Texas. It was established on May 7, 1917 and was originally named Aviation Camp (Remount Station) at Fort Sam Houston. It was renamed Camp Kelly, and Kelly Field, in honor of Lieutenant George E. M. Kelly, and encompassed several air fields across a 700 acre tract of land. As time passed, Kelly Field was divided into smaller fields. Each of the smaller parcels of land were redesignated as individual air force bases (e.g., Brooks AFB and Lackland AFB sit on land that was originally part of Kelly Field) (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1995; Mueller 1989).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Kelly AFB. Archaeological collections from Kelly AFB are located at one repository in Texas, but no reports pertaining to these collections were located.
Naval Air Station
Kingsville, Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.3 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.3 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.3 ft³ at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

NAS Kingsville encompasses nearly 4,000 acres in Kleberg County, Texas. Originally called Kingsville Naval Auxiliary Airfield Station, it was commissioned on July 4, 1942. It was created as a support facility for NAS Corpus Christi to assist in training for the coming hostilities of the second world war. Because of the escalating war effort, NAS Kingsville was commissioned when it was only 85% complete. It housed several squadrons of fighters that were used in detailed training maneuvers. Following World War II, the base was reduced to caretaker status and was leased to Texas A&M University for agricultural purposes. It was recommissioned in 1951, and in 1969 was redesignated as NAS Kingsville (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In July 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Kingsville Naval Air Station. Archaeological collections from Kingsville Naval Air Station are located at one repository in Texas.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at NAS Kingsville

Mines, Margaret Howard
Lackland Air Force Base
Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 161.2 ft³ of archaeological materials; 7.5 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 161.2 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 160.0 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2) and 1.2 ft³ at Parsons Engineering Science (Chapter 117, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 7.5 linear feet (90.05 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 7.4 linear feet (88.8 linear inches)

Status of Records: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Lackland AFB encompasses 6,783 acres in Bexar County, Texas. It was constructed in 1941 and designated for use as the Air Corps Replacement Training Center. Lackland AFB was originally part of Kelly AFB until 1942, when it was activated as a separate installation. The base was named after Brigadier General Frank D. Lackland, a pioneer of military aviation. Its mission was to produce potential Army Air Corps pilots. It has continued in this mission to the present, receiving several replacement missions in the early 1990s (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995; Mueller 1989).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Lackland AFB. Collections are located at two repositories, one in Texas and one in Virginia.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Lackland AFB

DeVore, Steven L.

Petraglia, Michael D., and Dennis A Knepper
1994 *Archaeological Survey at the Prime Ribs Training Area, Lackland Air Force Base, Bexar County, Texas.* Parson's Engineering Science, Fairfax, Virginia
Laughlin Air Force Base
Texas

**Collections Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total:</th>
<th>1.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 1.0 linear foot of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections:</td>
<td>1.0 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 1.0 ft³ at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status:</td>
<td>Collections Require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Linear Feet of Records: | 1.0 linear foot (12.0 linear inches) |
| On Post: None |                                                                 |
| Off Post: 12.0 linear inches at the Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio (Chapter 87, Volume 2) |
| Compliance Status: | Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation. |
| Status of Curation Funding: | Curation activities are not funded. |

Laughlin AFB encompasses 4,194 acres in Val Verde County, Texas. It was activated in 1942 as a pilot training base and named after First Lieutenant Jack T. Laughlin, a Del Rio native killed in World War II. Following World War II it was closed until 1958, when it was redesignated as a jet fighter training base. In 1962 it returned to its previous mission of pilot training (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Laughlin AFB. Collections are located at one repository in Texas.

**Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Laughlin AFB**

Krapf, Kellie A., Duane E. Peter, and Sharlene N. Allday

Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant

Texarkana, Texas

**Collections Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total:</th>
<th>1.1 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume of Artifact Collections:</strong></td>
<td>1.1 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 1.1 ft³ at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status:</td>
<td>Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Skeletal Remains:</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Feet of Records:</th>
<th>0.8 linear feet (10 linear inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Post: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Post: 10 linear inches at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status:</td>
<td>Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status of Curation Funding:</strong></td>
<td>Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant was established in 1941; construction of the installation was complete in 1942. In 1945 the plant was officially consolidated with the adjacent Red River Army Depot and the merged installation was named Red River Arsenal. Today, Red River Army Depot, and Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant are separate installations, both under the U.S. Army Industrial Operations Command. Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant still shares grounds and buildings with Red River Army Depot. The mission of Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant is to load, assemble, and pack ammunition items and to maintain the capability to receive and ship containerized cargo.

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant. Archaeological collections are located at one repository in Texas.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant

Cliff, Maynard B., Steven M. Hunt, Melissa M. Green, Duane E. Peter, and Floyd D. Kent

Cliff, Maynard B., Duane E. Peter, S. N. Allday, Stephen P. Austin, Sherrian K. Edwards, and Steven M. Hunt

Heartfield, Lorraine, and Tony Dieste
1984 *An Archeological Overview and Management Plan for the Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Bowie County, Texas.* Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Walnut Creek, California. Submitted to National Park Service, Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia.

Hunt, Steven M.

MacDonald and Mack Partnership
1984 *Historic Properties Report Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant.* MacDonald and Mack Partnership, Minneapolis.

Peter, Duane E., and Maynard B. Cliff

Matagorda Island Air Force Range
Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 0.8 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 0.8 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.8 ft³ at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.8 linear feet (10.0 linear inches)
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 10.0 linear inches at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

No historical information available for this installation. In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Matagorda Island AFR. Archaeological collections from the range are located at one repository in Texas, but no reports pertaining to the collections were located.
Red River Army Depot
Texarkana, Texas

**Collections Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: 1.4 ft³ of archaeological materials; 0.8 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume of Artifact Collections:</strong> 1.4 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear Feet of Records:</strong> 0.8 linear feet (10.0 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On Post:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Off Post:</strong> 1.4 ft³ at the Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory and Curation Facility, University of Texas, Austin (Chapter 128, Volume 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Status:</strong> Collections require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Skeletal Remains:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status of Curation Funding:</strong> Curation activities are not funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red River Army Depot comprises 19,081 acres in Bowie County, Texas. It was established in 1941 as a munitions plant. Since 1991 it has served primarily as a supplies activity for the Defense Distribution Depot Red River. Currently one of the Army’s largest depots in terms of workload and personnel, it also houses Multiple Rocket Launch Systems and is used as a training site for Reserve and National Guard troops (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Red River Army Depot. Archaeological sites have been recorded on Red River Army Depot and several reports have been generated as a result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are located at one repository in Texas.
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Red River Army Depot

Cliff, Maynard B., Steven M. Hunt, Melissa M. Green, Duane E. Peter, and Floyd D. Kent

Cliff, Maynard B., Duane E. Peter, S. N. Allday, Stephen P. Austin, Sherrian K. Edwards, and Steven M. Hunt

Cliff, M., D. Peter, T. Perttula, N. Reese, and W. Martin


Heartfield, Lorraine, and Tony Dieste

Hess, Jeffrey A.

Newman, Jay R.

Peter, Duane E., and Maynard B. Cliff
Reese Air Force Base
Texas

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 5.2 ft³ of archaeological materials; 2.4 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 5.2 ft³
On Post: None
Off Post: 5.2 ft³ at the Museum Texas Technical University (Chapter 106, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Reese AFB encompasses 3,546 acres in Lubbock County, Texas. Originally named Lubbock Army Air Field, it was activated in 1941 as a pilot training field. In 1949, the installation was renamed after Lieutenant Augustus F. Reese, who was killed in action in World War II (Evinger 1995).

In July of 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed archaeological literature reviews at the University of Texas at Austin and at the Texas Historical Commission that included a review of all pertinent site forms, report, and manuscripts for Reese AFB. Archaeological collections are located at one repository in Texas.

Linear Feet of Records: 2.4 linear feet (28.8 linear inches)
On Post: None
Off Post: 2.4 linear feet (28.8 linear inches) at the Museum Texas Technical University (Chapter 106, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not funded.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Reese AFB

Johnson, Eileen (editor)
Dugway Proving Ground

Utah

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 16.5 ft³ of archaeological materials; 10.0 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 16.5 ft³
  On Post: 2.7 ft³
  Off Post: 2.3 ft³ at Dames & Moore (Chapter 90, Volume 2) and 11.5 ft³ at the Utah Geological Society (Chapter 142, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 10.0 linear feet (120.0 linear inches)
  On Post: 8.3 linear feet (99 linear inches)
  Off Post: 1.25 linear inches at the Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City District (Chapter 84, Volume 2); 1.0 linear feet (12.5 linear inches) at Dames & Moore (Chapter 90, Volume 2); 0.75 linear inches at the Office of Public Archaeology (Chapter 115, Volume 2); 1.0 linear inch at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2); 2.25 linear inches at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2); and 3.25 linear inches at the Utah Geological Survey (Chapter 142, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation is financed out of a yearly budget, and as part of cultural resource contracts awarded to research firms. Processing of small collections is often accomplished in-house, with no allocated funds.

Dugway Proving Ground was officially activated in February 1942 on land withdrawn from public domain. Facilities for biological warfare and testing were operated at the site from 1943 to 1969. Part of Wendover Bombing Range was transferred to the proving ground in 1945. After World War II, Dugway Proving Ground combined with Deseret Chemical Depot to form Dugway Deseret Command, later renamed Western Chemical Center and placed on standby basis. Active status was resumed in 1950 with the addition of 279,000 acres, and in 1954 the installation was designated permanent. Fort Douglas-based Deseret Test Center and Dugway Proving Ground combined as Deseret Test Center in 1968. In 1973, its present name was taken from the nearby Dugway Mountains.

Dugway Proving Ground is aligned under the Army's Test and Evaluation Command (TECOM), which has an HQ at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Mission activities on the
installation have included the testing of Army equipment to provide physical protection for military personnel in the field against chemical and biological agents. Dugway Proving Ground also tests battlefield smoke and obscurants and conducts production qualification testing for mortar and artillery munitions (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995).

In October 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Utah Division of State History in Salt Lake City. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Dugway Proving Ground. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at six repositories in Utah and one repository in Arizona.

**Assessment**

**Date of Visit:** January 14, 1997

**Point of Contact:** Kathleen Callister

Dugway Proving Ground’s environmental offices are located in the Headquarters Building on post. The offices are staffed by a variety of natural resources managers and one cultural resources manager. Approximately 2.7 ft\(^3\) of artifacts recovered from Dugway and 8.3 linear feet of associated documentation are temporarily stored in cultural resource manager’s office. Dugway is not considered a permanent curation facility; a curation agreement has been implemented with the Utah Museum of Natural History.

**Structural Adequacy**

The Headquarters Building is a large single-story building, approximately two years old. The foundation is concrete, with cinder block and concrete exterior walls. The roof is built-up asphalt. The building is solid, with no reported cracks or leaks. There are multiple aluminum frame exterior windows, not equipped with shades. Exterior doors consist of metal frames and glass panels.

Interior walls in the Headquarters Building consist of plasterboard, and space within large rooms is divided by systems furniture. Interior doors are wood panel. The floor in the collections storage area (cultural resources manager’s office) is covered with tile and carpet, and the ceiling consists of suspended acoustical tiles. The environmental offices area encompasses approximately 200 ft\(^2\) of floor space.

**Environmental Controls**

The Headquarters Building is equipped with gas forced-air heat and central air conditioning. There are no humidity controls or monitoring devices, but humidity ranges between approximately 45% and 50% relative humidity year round. Air systems are not equipped with dust filters. Weekly cleaning and environmental maintenance is conducted by staff. Dugway Proving Ground is located in an extremely dry region, and the major problem encountered is dust. Lighting in the building is accomplished by nonfiltered fluorescent fixtures and by natural light.

**Pest Management**

There is no integrated pest management system in the Headquarters Building. Staff monitor the building weekly, but there are no regularly conducted control measures. According to Dugway personnel, there are no pest problems, and the assessment team did not observe any infestations.

**Security**

The Headquarters Building is secured by key and dead-bolt locks on interior and exterior doors, and the area is monitored and patrolled 24-hours daily by Army security. Exterior doors are equipped with a dual lock, which requires an allen wrench to release. In addition, Dugway Proving Ground is a restricted access military post. The Headquarters Building is located adjacent to a large facility that is heavily secured and guarded, with double fencing equipped with double strands of concertina wire at the top. The outermost fence has multiple large signs attached, each reading “Warning: Use of Deadly Force is Authorized.” The facility next to, and thus including the Headquarters Building, is under tight surveillance.
Fire Detection and Suppression

The Headquarters Building is equipped with a manual fire alarm that is wired to the post fire department. Smoke detectors are located throughout the facility. In addition, several fire extinguishers are positioned throughout the building, including one in the environmental offices area. The assessment team observed the localized effects of a small electrical fire that ironically had occurred in a control pad for the fire alarm system.

Artifact Storage

Storage Units

Archaeological materials are stored on top of systems furniture storage shelves over the cultural resources manager's desk and in one metal storage cabinet located immediately outside this office. The cabinet has double locking doors and measures 6.5 x 1.4 x 3 feet (l x w x h). Table 20 outlines the types and percentages of material classes by volume in the Dugway Proving Ground archaeological material collections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical-Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Percentages of material classes are based on volume. Other historical-period material includes botanical remains and wood.

Primary Containers

Primary containers consist of two acid-free cardboard boxes, which each encompass approximately 1.2 ft³ in volume, and one acidic cardboard box, which encompasses 0.3 ft³ (Figure 32). The acid-free boxes each have telescoping lids, while the acidic box is open with no lid. Materials in this small box consist of artifacts that are being processed. None of the primary containers are labeled.

Secondary Containers

Secondary containers consist of plastic zip-lock bags and paper bags (Table 21). For the most part, individual artifacts are placed in bags separately, then placed in larger bags which are organized by site number. For artifacts contained in the acid-free boxes, secondary containers are labeled directly in marker with some combination of site number, date, investigation, field site number, collection name, or Utah Museum of Natural History number. Acid-free paper inserts with the same information are included within the secondary containers. In the small open box, secondary containers have acid-free paper labels with some combination of the aforementioned information recorded on them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Container Type</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plastic zip-lock bags (6-mil)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose archaeological materials</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper bags</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laboratory Processing and Labeling

All of the artifacts have been cleaned, and all have been sorted by material class and site number. Approximately forty-five percent of the archaeological materials have been labeled. Artifact labels consist of site number, field site number, and institution name recorded directly in pen.

Human Skeletal Remains

Dugway Proving Ground is not curating any human skeletal remains recovered from archaeological projects on its lands.

Records Storage

Associated documentation is stored in two file cabinets. One file cabinet is an upright, metal, five-drawer, letter-size cabinet, and the other is a metal, two-drawer lateral file. Both cabinets are within the cultural resources manager’s office. Dugway Proving Ground archaeological documentation totals 8.3 linear feet. Most of the documentation (96 linear inches) is stored in the lateral files.

Paper Records

Paper records consist of administrative documents, background records, and survey and excavation records, totaling approximately 92 linear inches (7.6 linear feet). Records are stored in manila folders and placed in hanging files within the lateral files and the upright file cabinet. Manila folders are labeled with adhesive-backed paper tags, with the file contents in type or laser print. Hanging files are color coded by document type. Green tags indicate Dugway Proving Ground information, yellow indicates specific projects, blue represents Department of Defense and other federal information, orange identifies site-specific information not associated with specific projects, and red indicates miscellaneous materials.

Photographic Records

Photographic records include color prints and negatives. These are included in the lateral files. Prints are stored in a manila folder, and negatives are housed in a nonarchival clear plastic sleeve. Prints are labeled on the back in indelible ink with a Dugway project number and photograph number. The photograph number also is associated with a negative number. Archival photographic supplies are currently on order.

Maps and Oversized Documents

Approximately one linear inch of small site survey maps are included in the lateral files with the paper records.

Microformat Records

Microcassette tapes are included in the files with the paper records, and encompass approximately two linear inches. They contain oral histories relevant to Dugway Proving Ground history.

Collections-Management Standards

Dugway Proving Ground is not a permanent curation facility and transfers collections to the repository mandated by state law after they have been processed and analyzed by the contract firm. Therefore, collections management standards were not evaluated. It should be noted, however, that the cultural resources manager processes collections to the standards of the repository where the collections are slated to be permanently curated (Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City).

Curation Personnel

Kathleen Callister is a relatively recent hire, the first cultural resource manager to work for Dugway. She has a background in collections management, as well as archaeology, and processes small artifact collections in-house as well as overseeing work done by contracted firms.

Curation Financing

Curation is financed as part of a yearly budget. Small archaeological material collections are processed at Dugway. Most other processing is
written into contract budgets and performed by firms to the standards of the Utah Museum of Natural History.

Access to Collections
Collections are accessed through the cultural resources manager.

Future Plans
Dugway Proving Ground has entered into a curation agreement with the Utah Museum of Natural History, whereby all Dugway materials will be deposited there. However, execution of the agreement is, at some level, contingent on the establishment of the current archaeologist position at Dugway as a permanent status. If this does not occur, then the curation agreement must be redrawn.

Comments
1. Heating and air conditioning systems are present for the office building, but there are no humidity controls or monitoring devices.

2. Dugway Proving Ground has no integrated pest-management system. Pest control is performed as-needed, and there were no signs of a current problem.

3. The building is not equipped with a security system wired to the military police, but this may not be necessary given the level of security surveillance in the area.

4. Fire detection consists of smoke detectors and a manual fire alarm. Fire suppression consists of fire extinguishers.

5. The primary containers for artifacts are acid-free and acidic cardboard boxes. Secondary containers for artifacts consist of archival-re currently being processed.

6. Records are stored in acidic manila folders and placed in lateral files and a standard-size metal file cabinet.

Recommendations
1. Transfer archaeological collections to a permanent repository that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Establish appropriate agreements for the permanent disposition of the collections.

2. Produce multiple copies of all original documentation on acid-free paper, and store in separate, secure locations. Documentation should be placed in acid-free folders, and lightly packed into fire-resistant metal file cabinets. Arrange documentation in a logical order, and provide a key to the collection. Records should be free of metal staples, paper clips, and other contaminants.

3. Ensure that Dugway Proving Ground is staffed with a permanent archaeologist (with a museum or collections management background, preferably). This will also solidify the current curation agreement with the Utah Museum of Natural History.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Dugway Proving Ground


Bassett, Everett, and Lori A. Hunsaker 1996 *A Cultural Resource Inventory of Approximately 7,500 Acres in the Wig Mountain Training Area.* Dames & Moore, Salt Lake City.
Billat, Loma Beth
1989 *An Archaeological Inventory of Three Borrow Areas Near Wig Mountain, Dugway Proving Grounds, Utah.* Brigham Young University, Office of Public Archaeology, Technical Series No. 89-38. Provo, Utah.

1990 Dugway Proving Grounds, Granite Peak and Wig Mountain. Letter report, Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.

Black, Shane A.

Callister, Kathleen
1996 *Cultural Resource Inventory of Air Combat Command Mini-Mutes Site Number 9, 4, and 8 at U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Tooele County, Utah.* Final. U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Directorate of Environmental Programs, Cultural Resources, Utah.

Christensen, Diana

Christensen, Teri H.

1990 Dugway Proving Ground, West Granite Holding Area and Able Area Compound. Letter report, Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.

Desert West Research

Grady, James

Homburg, Jeffrey A.

Polk, Ann S.

Polk, Michael R.
Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants

Zier, Christian
Fort Douglas

Salt Lake City, Utah

Collections Summary

Collections Total: 4.1 ft³ of archaeological materials and human skeletal remains; 0.75 linear inches of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 2 ft³
  On Post: 2 ft³
  Off Post: None
  Compliance Status: Collections require complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: 2.1 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 2.1 ft³ at the Utah Museum of Natural History (Chapter 143, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: A minimum of one individual is located at the Utah Museum of Natural History. The remains are in good condition; however, qualified personnel need to take measures to manage these remains as outlined in NAGPRA.

Linear Feet of Records: 0.75 linear inches
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 0.5 linear inches at the Office of Public Archaeology (Chapter 115, Volume 2) and 0.25 linear inches at the Utah Museum of Natural History (Chapter 143, Volume 2)
  Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation of archaeological collections is currently financed by multiple small grants, donations (including the donation box in the gallery), Military Museum Association dues, and some direct funding from the Army.

Fort Douglas was founded in October 1862 by the California Volunteers, who were ordered to guard the Overland Mail route and to assert federal authority in the Utah Territory. The installation is named in honor of Illinois Senator Stephen A. Douglas (Waldman 1988). The post underwent BRAC procedures in 1992, and at that time, all remaining lands were transferred to Utah State National Guard (U.S. Army Real Property 1992).

In October 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Utah Division of State History in Salt Lake City. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Fort Douglas. One archaeological site has been recorded and a few reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at three repositories in Utah.
Assessment

Date of Visit: January 16, 1997

Point of Contact: Jess McCall

The Fort Douglas Military Museum, originally constructed by the Army for use as a barracks in 1874 or 1875, is located on Fort Douglas, near the outskirts of Salt Lake City and adjacent to the University of Utah campus (Figure 33). The Military Museum’s mission is strictly limited to interpreting the military history of Fort Douglas within the context of its roll as a military presence and an economic contributor during the growth and development of the Salt Lake Valley region. Most of the collections held at the museum also are specific to the above-stated mission. However, the portion of the collections examined by the assessment team were objects recovered below ground and from partially provenienced contexts (e.g., privies, building foundations, the parade ground, etc.), thereby placing them within the mission parameters for the DoD West project. These partially provenienced historic collections—recently recovered by mostly avocational collectors—range in age from 1862 up to the present. Any prehistoric collections removed from Fort Douglas are curated at the Utah Museum of Natural History (UMNH).

The repository building has served a number of purposes over the years and was designated for use as a museum in 1976. Present space utilization at the repository is as follows. The concrete vault basement, originally constructed to house munitions, is completely dedicated to storage of objects. The main level contains two exhibit areas, the curator’s office, temporary holding and processing areas, records storage, a library, and an area currently undergoing renovation that is slated to house a gift shop.

Structural Adequacy

The Military Museum is housed in a single-story wood-frame structure on a cement and red sandstone block foundation. The building’s exterior walls are a combination of wood siding and red sandstone blocks. The wood-frame pitched roof, originally covered with slate tiles, has been recently covered with composite asphalt shingles. There are no cracks or leaks in the either the roof or the foundation. The building’s utilities have been upgraded with each use of the original structure and, with a few notable waivers under the American Disabilities Act (ADA), are currently considered up to code.

Most of the floors on the main level of the repository are bare hardwood, with the exception of the restroom floors that are covered with ceramic tile. The ceilings and original interior walls are lathe and plaster. New interior walls are constructed of aluminum studs and plasterboard with a plaster finish. Multiple windows in the above-ground level, are original to the building, constructed with wood frames, and apparently airtight. Windows in the exhibit area have been covered, and the remaining windows are shaded. Artificial lighting is accomplished with a mixture of incandescent and fluorescent light fixtures. All fluorescent lights are filtered. Main level doors are either solid wood or solid core paneled wood.

The collections examined by the assessment team, as is the case with all objects not currently on display, are stored in the basement of the repository. The ceiling, floor, and exterior walls in this area are poured concrete. Doors and partitions are metal grates welded into panels. There are no windows in this area, and artificial lighting is accomplished with filtered fluorescent fixtures.
Environmental Controls
The repository was originally equipped with a coal-fired steam heat system. The coal furnace has been replaced with a gas system, and the temperature control is set to 68° F. There are no filters in use with this system. According to staff, humidity in the Utah desert region is a fairly constant 42%, except for a few weeks each year when the onset of seasonal changes can bring humidity up 55%. The Military Museum, therefore, does not have any special humidity controls. A hygrothermograph is used to constantly monitor both temperature and humidity at the facility. The repository is cleaned on an as-needed basis by museum staff.

Pest Management
There is no integrated pest-management policy in place at Military Museum, although staff members monitor the entire facility on a regular basis for pests because of the large number of textiles in their historic Euroamerican ethnographic collections. Spraying at the museum for pests is not allowed, also because of the fragile nature of the textile collections housed there (ADA waiver). Environmental Protection Agency approved solid pest traps are used at the exterior entrance to the facility. No previous pest infestations were reported by museum staff, and no evidence of infestation was seen by the assessment team.

Security
Security measures for the repository consist of a perimeter alarm, staff-monitored access, key and dead-bolt locks on interior doors, electronic dead-bolt locks on the exterior door, exterior metal grates on all windows, infrared motion detectors (currently being installed throughout the building), and a camera-monitored (taped) security system. The alarm systems are monitored by State Capitol Patrol Protection Services and University of Utah campus security. All collections not on display are housed in the basement, a former weapons vault. No incidents of unauthorized access were reported by Military Museum staff, and no evidence of unauthorized entry was seen by the assessment team. Outside access to the collections area is granted to interested parties by appointment, and all activities are closely monitored by Military Museum staff.

Fire Detection and Suppression
There are smoke detectors located throughout the building, and eight fire extinguishers were noted on the main floor (minimum of one per room). The ADA waived any requirements for a sprinkler system in the museum. The new infrared security system will also be wired to the fire department.

Artifact Storage
Storage Units
Fort Douglas archaeological material collections are stored on the floor and against the wall in the repository’s basement (weapons vault). Table 22 outlines the material class types present among Fort Douglas archaeological collections at the Military Museum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunal Remains</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Materials</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages of material classes are based on volume.

Primary Containers
Artifact collections for Fort Douglas are stored loose in seven acidic cardboard boxes of highly variable sizes with no security closures. These containers are used for temporary holding only, until the objects can be sorted, cleaned, and identified.

Secondary Containers
There are no secondary containers in use for the collections as of the date of the assessment.
Laboratory Processing and Labeling

None of objects examined at the Military Museum have been processed or labeled. Museum staff indicated that this is because the materials were recently acquired, and they assured the assessment team that the objects will be archivally processed as soon as the current building renovations are complete. A few of the objects removed from “honey holes” (privies) have been microwaved to kill any potentially dangerous bacteria. There is a piece of acidic paper in each of the primary containers with a hand written note in pencil stating the name of the post activity area or the building number where the objects were recovered.

Human Skeletal Remains

The Military Museum does not curate any human remains recovered from Fort Douglas. However, a single prehistoric Native American burial from site 42SL30, was discovered inadvertently during construction activities in the basement of an officer’s home on the post in 1939. This burial site is the only cultural resource on record at the Utah Division of State History for Fort Douglas. Skeletal remains recovered from the burial are currently housed at Utah Museum of Natural History (see Chapter X, Volume 2).

A detailed inventory and assessment of these remains has been conducted by the staff physical anthropologist at Utah Museum of Natural History for cataloging purposes. This inventory was done without the knowledge or request of Fort Douglas personnel. For additional information on this burial, refer to St. Louis District 1996. Appendix II of the aforementioned report contains a copy of the NAGPRA Section 5 documents compiled by St. Louis District for use by Fort Douglas personnel during their NAGPRA Section 5 consultations with Native American tribes.

Records Storage

There are no associated documents for any of the objects examined by the assessment team at the Military Museum for Fort Douglas. Records storage, therefore, will not be addressed for this repository.

Collection-Management Standards

This facility does not curate collections specifically removed from archaeological investigations. Therefore, collections management standards are not addressed in this section.

Curation Personnel

Mr. Jess McCall is the full-time curator of collections. Adjunct staff consists entirely of volunteers, three of whom are retired Commanders of Fort Douglas.

Curation Financing

Curation of archaeological collections is currently financed by multiple small grants, donations (including the donation box in the gallery), Military Museum Association dues, and some direct funding from the Army.

Access to Collections

Access to the collections is granted to interested parties by appointment through the curator of collections. All collections access is supervised.

Future Plans

The Military Museum is currently undergoing renovations that include moving the main office location, transforming the library into additional exhibit space, installing an infrared intrusion alarm system, and constructing a gift shop. All of these projects were well underway at the time of the assessment.

Comments

1. The Military Museum appears to be adequately secure and providing a sufficiently stable climate for the care of its objects within the parameters of the museum’s mission statement.

2. Partially provenienced collections currently at the Military Museum are not technically the result of archaeological investigations and are presently unprocessed.
3. Collections are stored on the floor.

4. ADA requirements for installation of a sprinkler system and implementation of chemical spraying for pests have been waived for this facility based on its age and mission statement. Current measures have proven to be adequate protection.

Recommendations

1. Documentation should be generated regarding the provenience of the assessed objects and the manner in which they were recovered in order to preserve as much scientific data as possible. This would assist the museum in compiling interpretive data used in the texts for their exhibits. A copy of this documentation should be sent the Utah Division of State History or another secure location to be used as a backup.

2. Since the collections seen by the assessment team could still provide valuable archaeological data, they should be treated in a manner similar to that of any other archaeological collection. The collections should be cleaned, labeled, and placed in archival containers as soon as renovations on the museum are complete.

3. Transfer archaeological collections to a permanent repository with an archaeological mission and that meets the curation standards outlined in 36 CFR Part 79. Establish appropriate agreements for the permanent disposition of the collections.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Fort Douglas

Dames & Moore

Scott, Tom

Wilde, James D., and Lorna Billat
Collections Summary

Collections Total: 37.0 ft³ of archaeological materials; 3.1 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: 37.0 ft³
  On Post: None
  Off Post: 11.1 ft³ at the Utah Division of State History (Chapter 141, Volume 2); 9.0 ft³ at the Utah Geological Survey (Chapter 142, Volume 2); and 16.9 ft³ at Weber State University (Chapter 144, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Collections require partial-to-complete rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archaeological curation.

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 3.1 linear feet (37.75 linear inches)

On Post: None
  Off Post: 4.25 linear inches at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2); 1.5 linear inches at Statistical Research (Chapter 125, Volume 2); 0.25 linear inches at Tetra Tech (Chapter 127, Volume 2); 1.0 linear foot (12.0 linear inches) at the Utah Division of State History (Chapter 141, Volume 2); 4.75 linear inches at the Utah Geological Survey (Chapter 142, Volume 2); and 1.25 linear feet (15.0 linear inches) at Weber State University (Chapter 144, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not currently funded.

Established in January 1939 as Ogden Air Depot, the installation was renamed Hill Field by December 1939, for Major Ployer Peter Hill, who died piloting the original model of the B-17. During World War II, major activity was fighter and bomber aircraft rehabilitation, repair, and maintenance services, and crews of the 509th Composite Group practiced bombing runs over Wendover Range in preparation for the Hiroshima and Nagasaki missions. In 1948, the site became Hill AFB, a storage and deposition site for airplanes and support equipment. Ogden Arsenal property was added in 1955 to what is now the West Area of Hill AFB. In 1959, it was the site of the single assembly and recycling point for Minuteman missiles. Ogden Air Material Area became the Ogden Air Logistics Center in 1974.

One major unit of Hill AFB is Utah Test and Training Range, which is equipped with radar, communications and mission control centers, and threat systems to provide full-scale air combat maneuvering environments. It serves as a test site for manned and unmanned aircraft programs and the
storage and testing of conventional munitions. The site provides facilities for combat units of Tactical Air Command, Strategic Air Command, Navy, Marines, and Army Aviation.

Hill AFB is home to the only rail overhaul shop in the Department of Defense. The General Rail Shops are part of Tooele Army Depot (Cragg 1994; Evinger 1991, 1995; Mueller 1989).

In October 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Utah Division of State History in Salt Lake City. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Hill AFB. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at four repositories in Utah, one repository in California, and one repository in Arizona.

### Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Hill AFB

#### Anonymous

#### Arkush, Brooke S.


#### Christensen, Diana

#### Dames & Moore and Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation

#### Durst, Donald M., Douglas A. Jacobs, and Charissa Y. Wang

#### Hawkins, Bruce R., and David B. Madsen


#### Johnson, David F., Brooke S. Arkush, and La Dawn S. Neilson

1996 Late Quaternary Paleoecology in the Bonneville Basin. 2nd Draft.

#### Montgomery, Jacki, and Sheri L. Murray
Neilson, La Dawn S. (editor)

Polk, Michael R.

Polk, Michael R., and Sheri L. Murray

Utah State University Foundation
1992 Hill Air Force Base Historical and Descriptive Study of Base Structures. Utah State University, Logan.

Workman, Gar W.

Workman, Gar W., Brooke S. Arkush, William B. Faucett, and La Dawn Neilson

Workman, Gar W., Brooke S. Arkush, and La Dawn Neilson


1994 Research Design for Future Archaeological Surveys on the Utah Test and Training Range. Utah State University, Logan, and Weber State University, Ogden, Utah.
Collections Summary

Collections Total: No archaeological material or human skeletal remains; 0.9 linear feet of associated records.

Volume of Artifact Collections: None

Human Skeletal Remains: None

Linear Feet of Records: 0.9 linear feet (11.25 linear inches)

On Post: None

Off Post: 11.25 linear inches at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2)

Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation.

Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not currently funded.

The present Ogden Defense Distribution Depot was selected in 1940 and by 1941 the Utah General Depot was activated as an exempt station under the control of the War Department. Numerous name changes began in 1943 until renamed in 1964 the Defense Depot Ogden. A Prisoner of War camp was established in 1943. Following World War II, employment scaled down until the Korean War began. Ogden Defense Distribution Depot was transferred to the Defense Supply Agency in 1964, which is now called the Defense Logistics Agency. All former Defense Depot Ogden and distribution facilities were consolidated from Hill AFB and Tooele Army Depot (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In October 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Utah Division of State History in Salt Lake City. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Ogden Defense Distribution Depot. No archaeological sites have been recorded, but one report has been generated as the result of an archaeological investigation. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Utah.

Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Ogden Defense Distribution Depot

# Collections Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Total: No archaeological material or human skeletal remains; 0.5 linear feet of associated records.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of Artifact Collections: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Feet of Records: 0.5 linear feet (6.25 linear inches)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1942 construction began on the Tooele Ordnance Depot, which was renamed Tooele Army Depot in 1962. The facility included a hospital, POW camp, troop barracks, housing facilities, and storage depot for Chemical Corps toxins (located 15 miles south in Rush Valley; Deseret Chemical Warfare Depot). Mission activities of the installation are to store vehicles, small arms, and fire control equipment, as well as maintenance shops to rebuild, modify, and reclaim 75-mm howitzer motor carriages and artillery pieces. In 1961, the Deseret Depot Activity was assimilated, and in 1977 the Chemical Agent Munition Destruction/Disposal System mission was added. Command expanded to include four additional depot activities in Umatilla (1973), Fort Wingate, Navajo, and Pueblo (1975).

Tooele Army Depot was realigned to reduce it to depot activity under command and control of Red River Army Depot, Texas. The installation retained conventional ammunition storage and chemical demilitarization missions. The depot workload was to shift to other depot maintenance activities, including those in the private sector. All other activities were inactivated, transferred, or eliminated as appropriate (Evinger 1991, 1995).

In October 1996, St. Louis District personnel performed background research at the Utah Division of State History in Salt Lake City. Research included a review of all pertinent archaeological site forms, records, and manuscripts for Tooele Army Depot. Archaeological sites have been recorded and a small number of reports have been generated as the result of archaeological investigations. Archaeological collections are currently housed at one repository in Utah.

| On Post: None |
| Off Post: 6.25 linear inches at Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants (Chapter 122, Volume 2) |
| Compliance Status: Records require partial rehabilitation to comply with existing federal guidelines and standards for archival preservation. |
| Status of Curation Funding: Curation activities are not currently funded. |
Reports Related to Archaeological Investigations at Toole Army Depot

Grady, J.  

Housley, Harold R.  

Popek, Gary M.  

Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants  

Steward, Julian H.  
1933  *Early Inhabitants of Western Utah, Part I—Mounds and House Types.* *Bulletin 23 (7).* University of Utah. Salt Lake City.

Weymouth, Heather M.  
Findings Summary

One hundred and seven repositories at 86 facilities in 17 states are known to curate 5,061.5 ft³ of archaeological materials and 783 linear feet of associated documentation recovered from DoD owned lands (Tables 23 and 24). Each of these facilities and their satellite repositories were visited by assessment teams (Table 25). Overall, the teams examined collections recovered from 73 military installations. Assessment teams performed complete examinations of all known military collections at each location. A building evaluation, survey questionnaire, and collections and documentation assessments were completed for all facilities except the Oklahoma Museum of Natural History. This facility, is therefore, not included in any of the statistics that are described below, thus all statistics are based on 106 repositories. In addition, data on pest management procedures for two repositories at Public Service Company, New Mexico were not available at the time of assessment. This information is, therefore, not included below, thus only 104 repositories are represented for pest management.

At base, the following can be concluded. Twenty-nine repositories housing military collections meet the standards of 36 CFR Part 79. They include the following:

University of Alaska
Arizona State Museum (Repository 1)
Statistical Research
KEA Environmental
Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County
San Diego Museum of Man (Repositories 1 and 2)

Tetra Tech
U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles
University of Denver
Ogden Environmental and Energy
University of Hawaii, Hilo
Frontier Army Museum
Gulf South Research Corporation
Northwestern State University, Natchitoches
Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture (Repositories 1 and 2)
Maxwell Museum of Anthropology, University of New Mexico (Repository 1)
New Mexico State University Museum (Repository 1)
University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Fort Sill
Fort Hood
Fort Sam Houston
Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory (Repository 1)
Museum Texas Tech University
Centennial Museum, University of Texas, El Paso
Fort Douglas Military Museum
Utah Museum of Natural History
Utah State Historical Society

To achieve proper care, collections should be coalesced into designated repositories. Fifty-two facilities house artifact collections that require rehabilitation; 18 artifact collections require complete rehabilitation, and the remaining 30 collections require partial rehabilitation. Four collections require no rehabilitation.
### Table 23.
Facilities and Number of Repositories Housing Archaeological Collections from Department of Defense Installations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>No. of Repositories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Northern District Office</td>
<td>Fairbanks</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson Air Force Base</td>
<td>Eielson</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Land Use Research</td>
<td>Fairbanks</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan Road House Delta Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Delta Junction</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Alaska, Fairbanks</td>
<td>Fairbanks</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Research Services</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Museum</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State University</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix District</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Yuma District</td>
<td>Yuma</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca</td>
<td>Ft. Huachuca</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Air Force Base</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum of Northern Arizona</td>
<td>Flagstaff</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northland Research</td>
<td>Flagstaff</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Research</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCA</td>
<td>Flagstaff</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Air Force Base</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground</td>
<td>Yuma</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEA Environmental</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Museum of Man</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra Tech</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Department of Transportation,</td>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Air Force Base</td>
<td>Colorado Springs</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers Elevation Company</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado, Colorado Springs</td>
<td>Colorado Springs</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado Museum</td>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Denver</td>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Associates</td>
<td>Stone Mountain</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Consultants of Hawaii</td>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop Museum</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Surveys Hawaii</td>
<td>Kailua</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia and Associates</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Archaeological Research Institute</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Environmental and Energy</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul H. Rosendahl, Inc.</td>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Consultants Services</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Hawaii, Hilo</td>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontier Army Museum</td>
<td>Ft. Leavenworth</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas State Historical Society</td>
<td>Topeka</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Kansas Museum</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Leesville</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf South Research Corporation</td>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University</td>
<td>Natchitoches</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City Museum</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies, University of Nevada, Las Vegas</td>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada State Museum</td>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University</td>
<td>Portales</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Systems Research</td>
<td>Las Cruces</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Systems Research</td>
<td>Tularosa</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 23. Facilities and Number of Repositories Housing Archaeological Collections from Department of Defense Installations (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>No. of Repositories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland Air Force Base</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture</td>
<td>Santa Fe</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Museum of Anthropology</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico State University Museum</td>
<td>Las Cruces</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Company</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quivera Research Center</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRC-Mariah</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Albuquerque</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range</td>
<td>White Sands</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrow and Associates</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill</td>
<td>Lawton</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Museum of Natural History</td>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio</td>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss</td>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hood</td>
<td>Killeen</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sam Houston</td>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo-Marine</td>
<td>Plano</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech University</td>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Texas, El Paso, Centennial Museum</td>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society</td>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City District</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dames and Moore</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground</td>
<td>Dugway</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Douglas Military Museum</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University</td>
<td>Provo</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Geological Survey</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Museum of Natural History</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah State Historical Society</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber State University</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parson's Engineering Science</td>
<td>Fairfax</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 107
Table 24.
Repositories Holding Department of Defense Archaeological Collections and Quantities of Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository/Installation(s)</th>
<th>ft(^a)</th>
<th>l.l.(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Northern District Office, AK</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Greely, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wainwright, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Junction Chamber of Commerce Sullivan Roadhouse, AK</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Greely, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson Air Force Base, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson AFB, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Land Use Research, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear AFS, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson AFB, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Alaska Museum, AK</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>55.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Adak, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eareckson AFB, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Greely, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Richardson, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wainwright, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harding Lake Recreation Area, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue Military Reservation, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Research Services, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCAS Yuma/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Museum, AZ</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>78.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Army Depot, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCAS Yuma/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State University, AZ</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>16.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix Field Office, AZ</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>38.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCAS Yuma/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Field Office, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca, AZ</td>
<td>191.7</td>
<td>89.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Air Force Base, AZ</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>62.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum of Northern Arizona, AZ</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northland Research</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Research, AZ</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>86.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Test and Training Range/Hill AFB, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Army Depot, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCA, AZ</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Army Depot, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Air Force Base, AZ</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository/Installation(s)</td>
<td>ft (^a)</td>
<td>li (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEA Environmental, AZ</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, CA</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Museum of Man, CA</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEA Environmental, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergstrom AFB, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Test and Training Range/Hill AFB, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Army Depot, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB/Goldwater Range, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Army Depot, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Ground, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Army Depot, NV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Department of Transportation, CO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force Academy, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Air Force Base, CO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers Elevation Company, CO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowry AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, CO</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>73.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne Mountain AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force Academy, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado, Museum, CO</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Arsenal, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force Academy, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Denver Museum, CO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon AFB, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force Academy, CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Associates, GA</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Consultants of Hawaii, HI</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMRF, Barking Sands, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 24. Repositories Holding Department of Defense Archaeological Collections and Quantities of Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository/Installation(s)</th>
<th>ft²</th>
<th>l.i.²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bernice P. Bishop Museum, HI</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Barbers Point, HI</td>
<td>779.8</td>
<td>70.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Kamehameha, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Shafter, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helemano Radar Station, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaena Point Tracking Station, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lualualei Naval Magazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMRF, Barking Sands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waianae Army Recreation Center, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Army Installation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cultural Surveys Hawaii, HI</em></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Kamehameha, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaena Point Tracking Station, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kipapa Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waianae Army Recreation Center, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcia and Associates, HI</em></td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort DeRussy, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Shafter, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makua Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc. (IARI), HI</em></td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>86.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Barbers Point, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Ford Island, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort DeRussy, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Kamehameha, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Shafter, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAVMAG Lualualei/Waikiki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMRF, Barking Sands, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield Barracks, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Army Air Field, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ogden Environmental and Energy Services, HI</em></td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>85.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Barbers Point, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort DeRussy, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Kamehameha, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Shafter, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahuku Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makua Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMRF, Barking Sands, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 24. Repositories Holding Department of Defense Archaeological Collections and Quantities of Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository/Installation(s)</th>
<th>ft²</th>
<th>t.l.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ogden Environmental and Energy Services, HI (cont’d)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield Barracks, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waianae Army Recreation Center, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Army Air Field, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paul H. Rosendahl, Inc., HI</strong></td>
<td>308.8</td>
<td>184.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Barbers Point, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahoolawe Island, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Complex, Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMRF, Barking Sands, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield Barracks, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific Consultants Services, HI</strong></td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Smith, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillingham Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawaiola Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makua Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Base Hawaii-Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield Barracks, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University of Hawaii, HI</strong></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Army Engineer District, Pacific Ocean Division, HI</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>88.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellows AFS, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Smith, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillingham Military Reservation, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort DeRussy, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Kamehameha, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Shafter, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickam AFB, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCB Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohakuloa Training Area, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield Barracks, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waianae Army Recreation Center, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Army Air Field, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frontier Army Museum, Fort Leavenworth, KS</strong></td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kansas City Museum, KS</strong></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant, KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kansas Historical Museum, KS</strong></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University of Kansas, Museum of Anthropology, KS</strong></td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant, KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Polk, LA</strong></td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>1341.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gulf South Research Corporation, LA</strong></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northwestern State University, Natchitoches, LA</strong></td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>92.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant, LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 24.
Repositories Holding Department of Defense Archaeological Collections and Quantities of Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository/Installation(s)</th>
<th>ft³</th>
<th>l.i. *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Reed Army Medical Center, D.C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV</strong></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>33.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant, NV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nevada State Museum, NV</strong></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Army Depot, NV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agency for Conservation Archaeology, Eastern New Mexico University, NM</strong></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Systems Research, Las Cruces, NM</strong></td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>477.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Systems Research, Tularosa, NM</strong></td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>240.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kirtland Air Force Base, NM</strong></td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>26.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, and ARMS, NM</strong></td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>477.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maxwell Museum of Anthropology, University of New Mexico, NM</strong></td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Mexico State University Museum, NM</strong></td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico, NM</strong></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>22.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Service Company, NM</strong></td>
<td>241.5</td>
<td>unk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quivera Research Center, NM</strong></td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>unk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRC-Mariah Associates, NM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Army Engineer District, Albuquerque, NM</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>682.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White Sands Missile Range, NM</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garrow and Associates, NC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Corpus Christi, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Sill, OK</strong></td>
<td>241.5</td>
<td>unk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oklahoma Museum of Natural History, OK</strong></td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>unk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Centennial Museum, University of Texas, TX</strong></td>
<td>287.0</td>
<td>360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio, TX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td>1300.0</td>
<td>2712.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Bliss, TX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 24.
Repositories Holding Department of Defense Archaeological Collections and Quantities of Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository/Installation(s)</th>
<th>ft²</th>
<th>li.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Hood, TX</strong></td>
<td>345.7</td>
<td>1339.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hood, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Sam Houston, TX</strong></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sam Houston, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geo-Marine, TX</strong></td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory (TARL), TX</strong></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergstrom AFB, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS Kingsville, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonestar Army Ammunition Plant, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matagorda Island Air Force Range, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River Army Depot, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Mine Warfare Center, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wilderness Park Museum, El Paso Archaeological Society, TX</strong></td>
<td>158.7</td>
<td>61.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City Office, UT</strong></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dames and Moore, UT</strong></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Douglas Military Museum, UT</strong></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Douglas, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University, UT</strong></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>28.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Douglas, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants, UT</strong></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Army Depot, NV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Test and Training Range/Hill AFB, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke AFB, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Defense Distribution Depot, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooele Army Depot, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utah Geological Society, UT</strong></td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Test and Training Range/Hill AFB, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utah Museum of Natural History, UT</strong></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>28.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Douglas, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utah Division of State History, UT</strong></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill AFB, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weber State University, UT</strong></td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Test and Training Range/Hill AFB, UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parson's Engineering Science, VA</strong></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland AFB, TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total</strong></td>
<td>5,061.5</td>
<td>9,394.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(783 linear feet)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refers to cubic feet of archaeological materials.
+ Refers to linear inches of associated documentation.
\[ Records from Fort Sill were not available for examination.
\[ Collections at the Oklahoma Museum of Natural History were not available for examination at the time of the assessment.
Table 25. Types and Frequencies of Facilities Curating Department of Defense Archaeological Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Number Present</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Museum</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Laboratory/Curation Facility</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Firm</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Installation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Agency</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the facilities possessing record collections reach the level of care for those records that is stated in the standards for archival preservation. Eighteen records collections require complete rehabilitation and 45 need only partial rehabilitation. Seven institutions do not currently curate documentation associated with the military collections stored there. Management controls and a master collection inventory and database for military collections are deficient to nonexistent and should be created immediately.

Environmental Controls

Seventy-eight of the 106 repositories control temperature through the use of central or radiated heat and air conditioning (Table 26). Additionally, 25 repositories are equipped with temperature controls that either monitor or control humidity but not both. Twenty-eight repositories have no type of temperature or humidity monitoring or control systems.

Pest Management

Fifty-nine of 104 repositories control for pests. This treatment is either performed by staff on an as-needed basis or is conducted by trained professionals on a regular schedule (Table 26). Forty-five of the repositories take no precautions against pests whatsoever. The types of chemicals used, their frequency of use, and the attendant hazard to personnel and collections are beyond the scope of this report and should be investigated.

Security

Seventy of the 106 repositories meet minimum federal standards for security of archaeological collections (e.g., possess intrusion alarms and/or guards) (Table 26). All of the repositories are secured with key and/or dead-bolt locks, most provide for limited access, and those with windows include simple window locks. Although there were no documented cases of unauthorized entry linked with loss of DoD collections, the potential for this exists at several of the repositories examined.

Fire Safety

Fire detection and/or suppression devices are nonexistent in 42 of the 106 repositories. Sixty-four repositories provide adequate to superb fire detection, meeting minimal federal requirements.

Artifact Curation

Fifty-two facilities house DoD archaeological collections (Table 24). None of these facilities has properly prepared the collections for long-term curation. Eight-three percent of the collections have been cleaned and 53% have been labeled. However, most of the collections are housed in repositories that lack full-time curatorial care.

Overall, primary containers are acidic or acid-free cardboard boxes with telescoping lids, each encompassing a volume slightly larger than one cubic foot. Many are overpacked and coated with dust. Almost all boxes (53%) included some type of label, if only rudimentary.

Most of the collections are stored in archival-quality, polyethylene plastic, zip-lock bags. Two percent of the collections are stored loose, without secondary containers. Most secondary containers are labeled directly, although adhesive or interior labels are also present. The wide variety of nonarchival secondary containers and the overall lack of any secondary containers together will contribute to the deterioration of these collections (Table 27).

Data were also generated regarding the major prehistoric and historical-period material classes observed in each of the collections (Table 28). Stone archaeological materials are most...
Table 26. Presence/Absence of Infrastructure Controls at Repositories
Housing Department of Defense Archaeological Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Fire Safety</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Environmental Controls</th>
<th>Pest Management</th>
<th>36 CFR 79 Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Northern District Officea</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson Air Force Basea</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Land Use Researcha</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan Roadhouse Delta Chamber of Commercea</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Alaska, Fairbanksb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Research Servicesa</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Museumb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Universityb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix Districta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Districta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachucab</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Air Force Basea</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum of Northern Arizonab</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northland Researcha</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Researcha</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Air Force Basea</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Proving Grounda</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEA Environmentala</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Museum of Natural Historyb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Museum of Manb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra Techa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer, Los Angelesa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer, Sacramentoa</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Department of Transportationa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson Air Force Basea</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers Elevation Companya</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 26. Presence/Absence of Infrastructure Controls at Repositories

**Housing Department of Defense Archaeological Collections (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Fire Safety</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Environmental Controls</th>
<th>Pest Management</th>
<th>36 CFR 79 Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado, Colorado Springs&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Denver&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Associates&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological Consultants of Hawaii&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Surveys Hawaii&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia and Associates&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Archaeological Research Institute&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden Environmental and Energy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul H. Rosenthal, Inc. &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Consultants Services&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Hawaii, Hilo&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontier Army Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Historical Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Kansas Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf South Research Corporation&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern State University&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Reid Center for Environmental Studies &lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nevada, Las Vegas&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada State Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency for Conservation Archaeology, ENMU&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Systems Research, Las Cruces&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Systems Research, Tularosa&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 26.
### Presence/Absence of Infrastructure Controls at Repositories
#### Housing Department of Defense Archaeological Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Fire Safety</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Environmental Controls</th>
<th>Pest Management</th>
<th>36 CFR 79 Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirtland Air Force Base&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Museum of Anthropology&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico State University Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Contract Archaeology, University of New Mexico&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Company&lt;sup&gt;a,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiverra Research Center&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRC-Mariah&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Engineer District, Albuquerque&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands Missile Range&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrow and Associates&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Museum of Natural History&lt;sup&gt;a,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Archaeological Research, University of Texas, San Antonio&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hood&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sam Houston&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo-Marine&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Archaeological Research Laboratory&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech University&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech University&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Texas, El Paso, Centennial Museum&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Texas, El Paso Archaeological Society&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City District&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dames and Moore&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 26.
Presence/Absence of Infrastructure Controls at Repositories
Housing Department of Defense Archaeological Collections (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Fire Safety</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Environmental Controls</th>
<th>Pest Management</th>
<th>36 CFR 79 Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dugway Proving Ground&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Douglas Military Museum&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Public Archaeology, Brigham Young University&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagebrush Archaeological Consultants&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository 2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Geological Survey&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Museum of Natural History&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah State Historical Society&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber State University&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons Engineering Science&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Nonpermanent repositories holding DoD collections. For detailed descriptive statistics see the Executive Summary of this report.

<sup>b</sup> Permanent repositories holding DoD collections. For detailed descriptive statistics see the Executive Summary of this report.

Public Service Company had no information for pest management available and could not be assessed according to 36 CFR Part 79.

Oklahoma Museum of Natural History was not assessed for this information.

Abundant in the prehistoric collections. Principal historical-period materials include glass, metal, and ceramics.

**Human Skeletal Remains**

Human skeletal remains and associated burial goods comprise 14 percent of the prehistoric material classes. A minimum number of 1,681 individuals (based on anatomical singularity) is included in the

Table 27.
Summary of Secondary Containers Housing
Department of Defense Archaeological Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Containers</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Bags</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Bags</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardboard Boxes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total based on 4,820 ft², as collections at Fort Sill were not assessed for secondary containers. For types of secondary containers included under “Other” see individual chapters.

Table 28.
Summary of Material Classes Present in the
Department of Defense Archaeological Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Class</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithics</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faunal Remains</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{14})C</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Skeletal Remains</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical-Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick/Masonry</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages are calculated by volume. For materials listed under prehistoric “Other” see individual chapters. For materials listed under historic “Other” see individual chapters.
collections, which comprise 747.6 ft³. In those cases where it has not already been done, all human remains should be examined by a qualified physical anthropologist. Additionally, complete rehabilitation (e.g., reboxing, rebagging, labeling) should be carried out in order to stabilize the remains and a complete inventory should be generated in order to comply with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (P.L. 101-601).

**Records Management**

Records associated with archaeological work conducted on DoD installations encompass 783 linear feet and include paper, photographic, maps, and draft report records. In many cases, paper records are not housed in acid-free folders, photographs are not isolated and stored in chemically inert sleeves, and large-scale maps are not stored flat in map drawers. In most cases documentation for the collections has either been misplaced over the years or simply was not curated with the archaeological materials after fieldwork was completed.

Environmental controls for both temperature and humidity that meet the federal standards in 36 CFR Part 79 exist at only 29 of the repositories. Records housed in the remaining repositories are subject to temperature and humidity fluctuations. Archive materials readily absorb and release moisture, leading to expansion and contraction, dimensional changes that accelerate deterioration and promote major visible damage such as cockling paper, flaking ink, warped covers on books, and cracked emulsion on photographs.

**Management Controls**

Information regarding management controls was available from only 33 repositories. Of those, basic policy and procedure statements for artifact curation, inventories, and records management are present at 25 and do not exist in any form at eight. Therefore, most of the examined facilities entrusted with the care of these collections have no long-term plan for the management of these resources. This responsibility must be honored by the federal managers as well and must be corrected immediately. Failure to acknowledge the basic curation needs of these collections has led to the substandard care of many of the DoD collections.

Prior to this collections assessment, DoD was unfamiliar with the extent, location, or conditions of its archaeological collections in the project area states. DoD personnel should be commended for recognizing this problem and addressing it, but now that specific deficiencies have been identified, action must be taken to protect these collections. At minimum a plan of action for the long-term management of the collections should implement the following four tasks.

1. Inventory all human skeletal remains to comply with NAGPRA.

2. Establish a priority for the collections and their rehabilitation.

3. Inventory and rehabilitate the collections.

4. Develop an archives management plan.

Implementation of these minimal tasks will contribute greatly to our understanding of the culture history of not only the western United States but also of North America.
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