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Purpose


“Accordingly, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by November 1, 2019, on the Department’s understanding of best practices for the cleanup and disposal of PFOS- and PFOA-contaminated soils, and disposal of spent filters and Aqueous Film Forming Foam. The briefing should include a discussion of current research on these chemicals being conducted by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program or Environmental Security Technology Certification Program and what areas require additional research.”
Overview

• **Developing Best Practices**
  – Cleanup of Waters (Drinking Water and Groundwater)
  – Cleanup of Soils
  – Disposal of Soils, Spent GAC Filters, and Ion Exchange Resin
  – Disposal of Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF)

• **Current Research**
  – Current Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) and Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP) Research on Cleanup and Disposal
  – Current SERDP/ESTCP Research on AFFF Replacement

• **Future Research**
  – Future SERDP/ESTCP R&D Focus Areas for Cleanup and Disposal
  – Future SERDP/ESTCP R&D Focus Areas for AFFF Replacement

• **Conclusions**
1. Granular activated carbon (GAC) (single use or can be regenerated)

2. Ion-exchange resins (single use or can be regenerated)

- **Treatment mechanisms**
  - GAC: PFOS/PFOA adhere to surface of porous carbon particles
  - Ion exchange: positively-charged resin binds negatively-charged PFOS/PFOA

- **Advantages**
  - Commercially available
  - Accepted by regulators
  - Removal of PFOS/PFOA often to the limits of detection (typically in the single-digit parts per trillion [ppt] range)

- **Challenges**
  - Size (ion exchange system smaller than GAC)
  - Cost
  - Single-use spent filters for GAC and spent resin for ion exchange result in increased material requiring disposal
  - Training operators
Examples of PFOS/PFOA Treatment of Waters

- **Willow Grove, PA – GAC/Resin at municipal well head**
  - Installed GAC at five Horsham Water and Sewer Authority (HWSA) public wells (#10, 17, 21, 26, and 40); ion exchange resin also installed on #10 well
  - Filtered water below lifetime health advisory and back to drinking water service

- **Former Pease AFB, NH – GAC/Resin combined injection into groundwater**
  - Installed GAC and ion exchange resin technology to filter PFOS/PFOA
  - Designed to pump and treat up to 700 gallons per minute

- **Picatinny Arsenal, NJ – GAC online system**
  - Installed GAC to remove PFOS/PFOA from existing water supply
  - Two GAC vessels operate in series to remove PFOS/PFOA from drinking water
Cleanup of Soils

1. Excavation

- **Treatment mechanisms**
  - Physical removal: dig up and haul away PFOS/PFOA-containing soils

- **Advantages**
  - Commercially available
  - Accepted by regulators

- **Challenges**
  - Volume and weight of removed soil
  - Soil replacement
  - Cost
Disposal of Soils, Spent GAC Filters, and Ion Exchange Resin

1. Incineration
2. Landfill

• Disposal description and mechanism
  – Incineration: high-temperature decomposition
  – Landfill: containment

• Advantages
  – Commercially available
  – Accepted by regulators

• Challenges
  – No PFOS/PFOA-specific solid waste regulations
  – Dependent on availability of permitted incinerator and willingness to accept
  – Effectiveness of incineration
  – Limited air emissions data; Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Department of Defense (DoD) preparing stack data collection
  – Management of landfill leachate containing PFOS and PFOA

1 NOTE: Current DoD practice is to only use Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)-permitted, hazardous waste incinerators

2 NOTE: Current DoD practice is to only use RCRA-permitted hazardous waste landfills
Disposal of AFFF

1. Incineration

• Disposal mechanism
  – Incineration: high-temperature decomposition

• Advantages
  – Commercially available
  – Accepted by regulators (although we use RCRA-permitted hazardous waste incinerators, there is controversy about using them)

• Challenges
  – No PFOS/PFOA-specific solid waste regulations
  – Dependent on availability of permitted incinerator and willingness to accept
  – Effectiveness of incineration
  – Limited air emissions data

¹ NOTE: Current DoD practice is to only use RCRA-permitted, hazardous waste incinerators
Current SERDP ¹ / ESTCP ² Research on Cleanup and Disposal

• **In-situ remediation** (7 projects completed; 8 projects ongoing)
  – Treatment trains (combining treatment technologies in series)
  – Technologies and agents to capture and retain PFOS/PFOA

• **Above-ground treatment** (15 projects ongoing)
  – GAC alternatives and improvements
  – New membrane and sorbent systems
  – Innovative regeneration processes to minimize PFOS/PFOA waste

• **Incineration alternatives, on-site technologies** (18 projects ongoing)
  – Hydrothermal, chemical reactors, cold plasma, indirect thermal desorption, smoldering combustion, etc.
  – Four projects passed proof-of-concept gate, continuing efforts

• **Incineration**
  – Mass balance to confirm PFOS/PFOA destruction; joint effort with EPA

• **Characterization, sampling, & analysis** (2 projects completed, 13 ongoing)

1 Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)
2 Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP)

All current research topics funded for FY20
DoD resources applied: ~$70M to date on research and development
https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Environmental-Restoration
Current SERDP/ESTCP Research on Cleanup and Disposal, and PFAS ¹ Chemicals

- **SERDP statement of need solicitations**
  - Core (3- or 5-year duration research and development [R&D] projects): 15
  - SEED ² (1-year duration projects): 3
  - Supplemental: 2
  - Projects funded: 55

- **ESTCP solicitations**
  - DoD topics and broad agency announcements (BAAs)
  - Demonstration projects funded: 8

- **SERDP/ESTCP workshops**
  - FY13 two-day forum on research needed to more efficiently deal with long-term management and lengthy restoration of complex groundwater sites
  - FY17 two-day discussion forum to provide strategic guidance for research and demonstrations to improve remediation performance, efficiency, and cost of managing complex groundwater sites

¹ Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) (PFOS and PFOA are only 2 of ~5,000 PFAS)
² SERDP Exploratory Development (SEED)
Current SERDP/ESTCP Research on AFFF Replacement

- Partnering with Federal Aviation Administration
- Dual track R&D approach
  - One-year pilot projects and, if promising, full-scale projects
  - More mature projects require toxicology to be assessed
- Demonstration and validation
  - Testing currently available fluorine-free foams
  - BAA resulted in 3 projects in FY2019 to investigate fluorine-free AFFF systems and ability to meet stringent military specification requirements

Testing Fluorine Free Foams:
Performance improving, but still lagging behind AFFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference AFFF</th>
<th>Ext = 30 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Formulation</td>
<td>Ext = 51 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DoD resources applied: ~$6M since FY2017 on AFFF replacement R&D

https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Weapons-Systems-and-Platforms
Future SERDP/ESTCP R&D Focus Areas for Cleanup and Disposal

• **Treatment methods**
  – Cost-effective treatment and disposal technologies
  – Development of on-site technologies for concentrated PFAS waste streams (PFOS and PFOA are only 2 of ~5,000 PFAS)

• **Chemistry**
  – Incineration effected PFOS/PFOA chemical processes
  – Fate and transport properties
  – Bioavailability and biomagnification
  – Toxicity

• **Analysis**
  – Develop and validate PFAS analytical methods with EPA

• **Technology demonstration**
  – Transition high-potential SERDP technologies to ESTCP field trials

• **Commercialization and technology transfer**

All future R&D topics addressed in last FY2017 workshop (description on slide 9)
https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Weapons-Systems-and-Platforms
Future SERDP/ESTCP Research Focus Areas for AFFF Replacement

- Chemistry
  - Unique chemical nature of carbon-fluorine bond (one of shortest and strongest known)
  - Performance requirements currently favor fluorinated compounds
  - Determination of whether engineering and firefighting methodologies can bridge fluorine-free material performance gaps

- Analysis
  - Tools to determine with confidence that replacement is fluorine free
  - Determination of alternatives’ toxicity and fate and transport

- Technology demonstration
  - Transition high-potential SERDP technologies to ESTCP field trials

- Commercialization and technology transfer

DoD resources planned in FY20: ~$7M on AFFF replacement R&D
https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Weapons-Systems-and-Platforms
Conclusions

- GAC and ion exchange are best practices for cleanup of waters containing PFOS/PFOA
- Excavation and removal is best practice for cleanup of soils containing PFOS/PFOA
- Current practice is incineration and lined landfills for disposal of soil, AFFF containing PFOS/PFOA, spent GAC filters, and ion exchange media containing PFOS/PFOA
- Challenges remain for each treatment and disposal best practice, particularly incineration
- Current research focuses on aggressive development of treatment and disposal technologies with lower cost and increased effectiveness, and fluorine-free AFFF replacements
- Future research will focus on remediation chemistry and analysis; AFFF replacement chemistry, analysis, and toxicology; and technology demonstration, commercialization, and technology transfer
Treatment Technologies
- In Situ
- GAC Alternatives
- Incineration Alternatives

Funding Level: ~$70M
SERDP/ESTCP R&D

Funding Level: ~$70M

Fluorine-Free AFFF