



Legacy Resource Management Program DoD Partners in Flight Proposal Criteria 2007 Legacy Proposals



Proposals will be scored from 0 to 5 on each of 10 criteria (0=does not meet criteria, 5=meets criteria) by each DoD Partners in Flight Representative. Total of all scores from all Reps will be summed to provide relative ranking. These rankings are then provided to the Legacy office for their use in evaluating proposals.

Proposal evaluation criteria

1. Promotes mission readiness (x3).

How well does the proposal support and protect the training mission.

- 5=Proposal supports and protects the training mission.
- ...
- 0=Proposal does not acknowledge, relate to, or support the training mission.

2. Supported by the Installation (x2).

Has proposal received support by the Natural Resources/Environmental, or other appropriate entity at all proposed installations mentioned, with installation POC information included in proposal.

- 5=Written endorsement by all installations, and
- 3=Informal support by Natural Resources/Environmental, and
- 1=Installation has been contacted about proposal.
- 0=Installation has no knowledge of proposal.

3. Promotes DoD PIF Strategic Plan (x2).

http://www.dodpif.org/strategic_plan/index.htm

- How well are DoD PIF goals and priorities referenced or addressed.

4. Promotes Regional or State BCP, Species of Concern (x1).

NOTE: Proposals for T&E species will not get the support of DoD PIF. These may be valid projects, but compliance funding should be used for T&E bird projects.

- How well are priority species and habitats addressed from PIF Physiographic or State Bird Conservation Plans. See <http://www.PartnersInFlight.org> to find the Physiographic or State BCP covering the installation(s).
- Address Species of Concern, identified for each installation at:
<http://www.dodpif.org/BCRMap.htm>

5. Promotes PIF, NABCI Goals & Objectives (x1).

Partners in Flight Mission:

- Helping species at risk
- Keeping common birds common
- Voluntary partnerships for birds, habitats, and people

Partners in Flight Goals:

- Elevate landbird population and habitat objectives to priority levels within states, federal agencies and NGOs, equivalent to “traditional” priorities for waterfowl, wetlands, other game birds, big game and fisheries, and increase capacity to implement these objectives.
- Stabilize or reverse the declines of landbird species through active implementation of effective habitat-based projects, programs, and initiatives.
- Increase public awareness and active involvement in bird conservation by incorporating PIF vision, goals and objectives into educational and outreach programs and initiatives at all levels.
- Ensure that the needs of migratory landbirds are met at all stages of their life-cycles, creating new strategies, initiatives, and partnerships beyond US borders.
- Maintain active and adaptive connections among planning, implementation, research, monitoring and evaluation of PIF objectives at all levels; maintain a rigorous scientific basis for decision making and prioritization of funding.

North American Bird Conservation Initiative (NABCI) Mission:

- initiate and broaden bird conservation partnerships
- increase financial resources available for conserving birds in the United States and wherever else they may occur during their life cycles
- enhance the effectiveness of those resources and partnerships by facilitating integrated bird conservation

NABCI Goal:

- To deliver the full spectrum of bird conservation through regionally based, biologically driven, landscape-oriented partnerships.

6. Promotes Installation INRMP implementation (x2).

- How well does proposal provide tangible results that can be integrated into and improve installation INRMP(s).

7. Supports State Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategies (x1).

8 Required elements for CWCS:

- i. Information on the distribution and abundance of species of wildlife, including low and declining populations as the State fish and wildlife agency deems appropriate, that are indicative of the diversity and health of the State's wildlife;

- ii. Descriptions of locations and relative condition of key habitats and community types essential to conservation of species identified in (i);
- iii. Descriptions of problems which may adversely affect species identified in (i) or their habitats, and priority research and survey efforts needed to identify factors which may assist in restoration and improved conservation of these species and habitats;
- iv. Descriptions of conservation actions proposed to conserve the identified species and habitats and priorities for implementing such actions;
- v. Proposed plans for monitoring species identified in (i) and their habitats, for monitoring the effectiveness of the conservation actions proposed in (iv), and for adapting these conservation actions to respond appropriately to new information or changing conditions;
- vi. Descriptions of procedures to review the plan at intervals not to exceed ten years;
- vii. Plans for coordinating the development, implementation, review, and revision of the plan with Federal, State, and local agencies and Indian tribes that manage significant land and water areas within the State or administer programs that significantly affect the conservation of identified species and habitats;
- viii. Broad public participation for developing and implementing these plans, the projects that are carried out while these plans are developed, and the Species in Greatest Need of Conservation that Congress has indicated such programs and projects are intended to emphasize.

8. Supports Regional FWS conservation efforts (x1).

- How well does the proposal support efforts by the US Fish & Wildlife Service Regional office or local Ecological Services office to conserve priority species and habitats, and further strengthen DoD-FWS partnerships.

9. Coordinated with DoD Partners in Flight Regional, Technical or National Reps (x2).

For a list of reps, go to <http://www.dodpif.org> and click on “Representatives”

- 5=DoD PIF involved in development of proposal, and
- 4=DoD PIF asked to review proposal prior to submission, and
- 3=DoD PIF contacted for information, and
- 2=DoD PIF Strategic Plan priorities cited properly.
- 0=DoD PIF not contacted (whether referenced or not).

10. Return on investment (x2).

- Do the project’s products justify the project cost in terms of their (1) support of the mission, (2) conservation of species and habitat, and (3) integration into and benefit to installation INRMP(s).