



Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) 101 Orientation

**Dave Nelson, FUDS Program Manager, Northwestern Division (NWD), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
Amanda Sticker, Civil Engineer, Environmental and Munitions Center of Expertise (EM CX), USACE**

August 19, 2025

WEBINAR RULES



- Please use the **chat** function for general discussion and answering check-in questions.
- Please use the **react** function to engage throughout the webinar.
- If you experience technical difficulties, please reach out to DoDWebinars@bah.com.



Note: This training is being recorded, and the recording will be posted online at <https://www.denix.osd.mil/fuds/>. The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the presenters and do not necessarily reflect the official position, policy, or endorsement of the Department of Defense (DoD).

PRESENTERS



Dave Nelson has served as the FUDS Program Manager for the NWD since 2018. David started with USACE as a lab assistant prior to graduating from the University of Missouri in Columbia with a Bachelor of Science in Chemical Engineering in December 1999. He served as a Process Engineer with the Environmental Engineering Branch in the Kansas City District from 2000 to 2009. In 2009, he was selected as the District FUDS Program Manager and served in that role until 2018 when he joined the NWD team.



Amanda Sticker is a Civil Engineer with the EM CX. In her current position, she provides guidance and support for all aspects of munitions and explosives of concern response, the Army's 3Rs (Recognize, Retreat, Report) Explosives Safety Education Program, and Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSP) for FUDS, Army National Guard, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC), and the Army. Mrs. Sticker has an extensive background in developing performance work statements, technical evaluations, and acquisitions. Mrs. Sticker holds a B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Alabama, Huntsville.

AGENDA



- Introduction
- FUDS Overview
- Cleanup Process at FUDS
- Roles and Responsibilities in the FUDS Program
- Team Activity
- Wrap-Up and Questions

PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES OF FUDS 101



- Provide a foundational overview of the FUDS Program and policy requirements
- Highlight USACE's roles and responsibilities
- Discuss opportunities for regulator and stakeholder engagement
- Improve FUDS Program execution through collaborative discussion

Note: This webinar provides an overview of the FUDS Program and is not intended to be comprehensive. Attendees may need to consult additional resources to address cleanup efforts at FUDS.

FUDS OVERVIEW





**How many of you have worked on a site where the
Federal government is responsible for
contamination but doesn't own the land?**

Please use the react function and give us a thumbs up.

DEFINITION OF FUDS



- FUDS are defined as:
 - Real property previously owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed by the United States
 - Previously under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense (SecDef)
 - Transferred from DoD control prior to October 17, 1986
 - Must be located within the 50 States, District of Columbia, Territories, Commonwealths, and possessions under the jurisdiction of the United States
- Title 10 United States Code (U.S.C.) 2701(c)(1)(B) authorizes the SecDef to carry out response actions at FUDS properties. Response actions are executed:
 - In accordance with the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA)
 - To address releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from a site

States may assist USACE by identifying potentially contaminated properties that were not previously identified as a FUDS but appear to be potentially eligible for the Program.



What is the significance of October 17, 1986?

Please put your answer in the chat.

FOUNDATION OF DERP AND FUDS



DERP

- DERP Statute (Title 10 U.S.C. section 2700, et seq.)
- Environmental restoration activities executed at facilities under the jurisdiction of the SecDef
- Funding accounts were established for FUDS and active installations

**DERP
FUDS
Program**

- Established by the SecDef to carry out responsibilities found in DERP Statute

**Secretary
of the
Army:
Lead Agent**

- Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 4715.07, *Defense Environmental Restoration Program*: Secretary of the Army is responsible for conducting response actions as the DoD lead agent for FUDS
- Regardless of which DoD Component previously had jurisdiction

**USACE:
Mission
Execution
Agent**

- Secretary of the Army further delegated FUDS mission execution responsibility to USACE

**FUDS
Divisions
and
Districts**

FUDS ORGANIZATION IS LEVERAGING RESOURCES



Districts engage with:

- Military Munitions Design Center (MM DC)
- EM CX
- USACE Headquarters (HQ)

MM DC responsibilities:


- Supports planning and execution

EM CX responsibilities:

- Assists HQ, Divisions, and/or Districts in performing assigned functions

USACE HQ responsibilities:

- Guidance development, execution planning, reporting, coordination



REGULATOR INVOLVEMENT AT FUDS



- Regulatory involvement included in statute and guidance:
 - Title 42 U.S.C. section 9601, CERCLA
 - Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 300, National Contingency Plan
 - Title 10 U.S.C. section 2701, DERP
 - DoD Manual 4715.20, *Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Management*
 - Engineering Regulation 200-3-1, *Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) Program Regulation*
 - FUDS Handbook: <https://fudsportal.usace.army.mil> (Resources → Public Files)

Regulator involvement is built into the statutes, guidance, and policies that direct FUDS execution. The Statewide Management Action Plan (SMAP) and the Defense and State Memorandum of Agreement (DSMOA) provide mechanisms to:

- Develop plans for the investigation and cleanup of FUDS-eligible properties, and
- Reimburse DSMOA-eligible services provided by State regulators

FUDS OPPORTUNITIES FOR STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT



- DSMOA
 - Established to expedite environmental restoration at DoD installations, including FUDS
 - DSMOA Portal: <https://dsmoa.usace.army.mil>
- SMAP
 - Involves the State through early and ongoing engagement
 - Verifies the inventory of FUDS within a State
 - Considers State cleanup priorities
 - Aids in the development of Relative Risk Site Evaluation (RRSE) and MRSPF scores, which inform Installation Restoration Program (IRP) site and munitions response site (MRS) sequencing, respectively
 - Includes and improves coordination with State regulators related to life-cycle work plans for FUDS projects
- FUDS Forum
 - Opportunity for open communication
 - Promote idea sharing that can be mutually beneficial
 - Elevation of ideas and issues to the National FUDS Forum
- Federal Land Manager (FLM)
 - Promote idea sharing during pre-award
 - Continue collaboration post-award

Opportunities for Input

- RRSE and MRSPF priority determination and updates
- Project planning and implementation (e.g., Management Action Plans [MAPs], SMAPs, workplans for site investigation and study reports, other CERCLA documents or phases)
- Completion of response action activities
- Restoration Advisory Boards (RABs) and community notifications

REGULATOR ENGAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE





Other Programmatic Opportunities

- Access and Rights-of-Entry (ROE)
- SMAP and Prioritization of FUDS Inventory
- Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP)
Interim Risk Management Inquiries
- Schedules, Planning, and Prioritization at Local
FUDS Forum
- Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) Process (e.g.,
letters to PRPs)





What is the earliest you have been engaged?

Please write your answer in the chat.


UNIQUE ASPECTS OF FUDS



- Addresses **DoD** releases at properties prior to October 17, 1986
- Executed at properties **not owned by DoD**
- Includes past property owners, such as:
 - Department of the Army
 - Department of the Navy
 - Department of the Air Force
 - Defense Logistics Agency
 - Other Defense Agencies
- **Does not certify** that the property is clean
- Collaborates with current property owners and regulators on cleanup efforts


Properties* (Installations)

(**7,222** Properties Determined as “Eligible”
out of **10,110** Properties Evaluated)




Projects* (Sites)

(**5,452** Eligible Projects at
2,685 Eligible Properties)



*Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Defense Environmental Programs Annual Report to Congress, published September 2024 – Reporting Scope of FUDS Program

FUDS PROPERTY ELIGIBILITY



FUDS Terminology	Active and BRAC Terminology	Superfund Terminology
Property	Installation	Superfund Site
Project	Site	Operable Unit

Potential Project Types	Non-CERCLA	Building Demolition and Debris Removal (BD/DR)
		Containerized/ Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste (Con/HTRW) (if no CERCLA release)
	CERCLA	HTRW
		MMRP
		MMRP/ Chemical Warfare Materiel (CWM)
		PRP/ HTRW
		PRP/ MMRP

Regulators, landowners, land managers, and other stakeholders may seek to have a property evaluated for FUDS eligibility. This is especially critical if there is evidence of a potential or actual release from DoD operations and indications that the property may be FUDS eligible.



Does eligibility of a FUDS Property automatically mean a project will be initiated?

Yes or No. Please write your answer in the chat.


CLEANUP PROCESS AT FUDS



U.S. ARMY




CLEANUP PROCESS AT FUDS - OVERVIEW



- USACE follows the CERCLA process to clean up FUDS sites.
- Certain considerations are unique to FUDS sites, including:
 - Eligibility and identification steps (e.g., FDE and INPR)
 - ROE requirements

CLEANUP PROCESS AT FUDS - FDE



FDE:

- Consists of Findings of Fact, including property description, property history (e.g., acquisition, military operations, disposal, non-DoD operations)

Regulator and Community Engagement:

- Provide evidence that may inform eligibility determination
- Provide additional evidence, as available, to influence if a property needs to be re-evaluated for eligibility
- Notified of ineligible properties with opportunity to comment

CLEANUP PROCESS AT FUDS – PA AND INPR




PA: Contains information on releases or threatened releases that forms the basis for development of the INPR

INPR: Documents the outcome of the PA and USACE determinations of **project** eligibility, including:

- Executive Summary of the PA
- Property Summary
- Project Summary
- Property/Project Maps


Regulator and Community Engagement:

- Considers information from lead regulatory agency, FLMs, and property owners
- Early communication assists USACE Districts with:
 - Identifying additional information on the FUDS property.
 - Providing information for the project eligibility determination.




FUDS PROPERTY AND PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

Blue lines indicate engagement opportunities




FY 2023 Inventory:
7,222 Eligible Properties




FUDS PROPERTY AND PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

Blue lines indicate engagement opportunities




FY 2023 Inventory
5,452 Eligible Projects



FUDS PROPERTY AND PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

Blue lines indicate engagement opportunities



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



**How many of you have seen an FDE and/or an INPR?
Please put a thumbs up if you have or a surprised face if not.**

**If the Project was found ineligible, then what is the process to
have USACE look at it again?
Select from the following – Please note your choice in the chat.**

- A) Submit a new FUDS eligibility application
- B) Request re-examination - Submit new evidence of FUDS eligibility with a request for review
- C) File an appeal with USACE HQ
- D) Wait five years and reapply

FUDS IRP SITES AND MRSS



DoD Components use the RRSE and the MRSP to prioritize cleanup at IRP sites and MRSs.

MRS Priority ranges are equivalent to RRSE categories:

- Priorities 1–4 are equivalent to high RRSE
- Priorities 5–6 are equivalent to medium RRSE
- Priorities 7–8 are equivalent to low RRSE

Establish
Projects and
Sequence

For high-priority FUDS MRSs (MRSP scores 1–4), the following targets are in place:

- Achieve RC at 20% of MRSs by FY 2030
- Achieve RC at 30% of MRSs by FY 2035

Additional target to achieve RC at all remaining FUDS MRSs by FY 2120

Regulators have the opportunity to review and comment on MRSP and RRSE scores, as well as the SMAP. SMAPs provide a chance to review and give feedback on District workplans. While risk is the most important factor for priorities guide sequencing, decisions can also be shaped by other key management considerations.



ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
3400 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3400

December 10, 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (INSTALLATIONS, ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS, AND ENVIRONMENT)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS, AND ENVIRONMENT)
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT)

SUBJECT: Holistic Approach to Relative Risk and Site Prioritization

The Department of Defense (DoD) carries out the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), and has incorporated CERCLA's risk-based approach into its site prioritization process. DoD's fundamental premise in site prioritization is "worst first," meaning the DoD Components address sites that pose a relatively greater potential risk to human health, safety, and the environment before sites posing a lesser relative risk. The DoD Components have historically used the relative risk site evaluation (RRSE) methodology to prioritize cleanup at Installation Restoration Program (IRP) sites, and the Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol to prioritize cleanup at munitions response sites (MRSs). Relative priorities are a primary factor in sequencing IRP sites and MRSs for response action, but sequencing decisions can be further refined based on other important risk management considerations (e.g., regulatory considerations, program execution considerations).

To comply with Section 326 of the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2023 and to ensure that DoD makes the best use of available resources to move sites through the cleanup process while protecting human health, safety, and the environment, the DoD Components will use the following approach to collectively prioritize IRP sites and MRSs:


- An MRS Priority of 1-4 is equivalent to a high RRSE.
- An MRS Priority of 5-6 is equivalent to a medium RRSE.
- An MRS Priority of 7-8 is equivalent to a low RRSE.

This methodology applies to all eligible DERP sites. The point of contact for this matter is Mr. Brian Jordan at 703-409-8657 or brian.d.jordan6.civ@mail.mil.

OWENS.BRE
NDAN.M.1030
451844
Brendan M. Owens

Digitally signed by
OWENS.BRENDAN.M.1
030451844
DN: cn=NDAN.M.1030,
c=US, o=DoD, ou=OSD,
email=NDAN.M.1030@osd.mil

REGULATOR OPPORTUNITIES FOR INPUT IN SEQUENCING



Pro Tip: Schedule Annual FUDS Forum meeting in Quarter 1 to include a focused discussion about workplan build!

CLEANUP PROCESS OVERVIEW – REGULATOR AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OVERVIEW




Opportunities for input and engagement are integrated into the process:

- Inspection
- Investigation
- Cleanup
- RC
- LTM
- Site Closeout

Mechanisms for engagement:

- DSMOA
- SMAP
- FUDS Forum
- Project Meetings
 - Monthly
 - Weekly
 - Systematic Planning Process




CLEANUP PROCESS OVERVIEW – REGULATOR AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OVERVIEW



Opportunities for input and engagement are integrated into the process:

- Public Participation Requirements
- Community Relations Activities for CERCLA Remedial Responses on FUDS
- Community Interviews
- Community Relations Plan (CRP)
- Establish Information Repository
- Establish Administrative Record File
- Public Notice for PP or ROD
- Public Comment Period and Opportunity for Public Meeting
- Public Meetings
- RABs
- Other Opportunities for Engagement
- Community Interviews
- Technical Assistance for Public Participation and Other Assistance Opportunities Available to RABs
- Technical Assistance Grants and Technical Outreach Services to Communities



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



Q1: In what processes have you been involved?

Q2: From your perspective, what defines successful collaboration on your project?

Q3: What opportunities do you see for improving collaboration between Federal, State, and local stakeholders on these types of projects?

Please write your answer in the chat and notate which one you are responding to (e.g., A1: I have been involved with.....)

Feel free to answer more than one question.

BREAK

Please fill out a brief survey here:
<https://forms.osi.office365.us/r/huXJZE2zAC>



WELCOME BACK



ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN THE FUDS PROGRAM




FUDS PROGRAM - ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES



FUDS Community

- This slide highlights the District Project Delivery Team as the FUDS Community nexus for FUDS Program execution
- Includes all those with interest in and/or association with the FUDS Program
- Additional connections between Army and the Office of the SecDef, USACE HQ, regulators, and FLMs
- All elements support the guiding principles to achieve RC in our lifetime



DACTCES: Defense Ammunition Center and U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosives Safety
DDESB: DoD Explosives Safety Board

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT HIGHLIGHTS



- CERCLA Public Participation Requirements for CERCLA Remedial Actions

Legend

R = Required
D = Desirable

Table 8-1. Community Relations Activities for CERCLA Remedial Responses on FUDS.									
Remedial Action	PA	SI	RI/FS	RD	RA-C	RA-C	RA-C	RA-C	RA-C
Community Interviews	PA			RD	RD				
Community Relations Plan (CRP)	R			R	R				
Establish Information Repository and inform public				D	D				
Initiate and maintain the Administrative Record File									
Establish Administrative Record File									
Public Notice for PP and ROD									
Public Comment Period and Opportunity for a Public Meeting									
Revise CRP									

**FUDS
Handbook**

FUDS PROGRAM RESOURCES



- FUDS: <https://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environmental/Formerly-Used-Defense-Sites/>
- FUDS Geographic Information System: <https://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environmental/Formerly-Used-Defense-Sites/FUDS-GIS/>
- FUDS on DoD Environment, Safety and Occupational Health Network and Information Exchange (DENIX): <https://www.denix.osd.mil/fuds/>
- FUDS Portal – Executive Management System (EMS): <https://fudsportal.usace.army.mil/>
- IRP/ MMRP Status Tables on DENIX: <https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/fy2023-status-tables/>
- DENIX Munitions Response Site Inventory (MRSI): <https://www.denix.osd.mil/mmrp/mrsi/home/>
- DERP: <https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/>
- DSMOA Portal: <https://dsmoa.usace.army.mil/>
- USACE Publications: <https://www.publications.usace.army.mil/>

Refer to the handout for more information on each website.

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



Of all the stakeholders involved in FUDS, from Regulators to Property Owners to FLMs to Federally Recognized Tribal Nations, whose role do you think is most often misunderstood or overlooked, and why?

Please write your answer in the chat.

TEAM ACTIVITY



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



Of the following, decide which region you identify with:

- A: Northeast Region
- B: Northwest Region
- C: Southeast Region
- D: Southwest Region

Got it?

We'll ask a series of questions, directed towards each group. Only the group assigned to the question can respond.

READY? We'll start with the Northeast Region.



Legend
USACE HQ
Division Office
District Offices



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



NORTHEAST REGION:

If you were trying to find out how many properties were FUDS eligible in the State of Maryland, where would you look?

Where would you look to find out how many projects are eligible for FUDS and how many of those projects have achieved RC?

**PUT YOUR ANSWERS IN THE CHAT IF YOU'RE WITH THE
NORTHEAST REGION.**

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



REGULATOR INSIGHT



U.S. ARMY



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



NORTHWEST REGION:

If you were trying to find out what is in the FUDS FY 2025 Workplan for the State of Oregon, where would you go?

Where would you look to find out the planned execution for State of Oregon?

**PUT YOUR ANSWERS IN THE CHAT IF YOU'RE WITH THE
NORTHWEST REGION.**

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



REGULATOR INSIGHT



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



SOUTHWEST REGION:

How do you utilize the Management Action Plan (MAP)?

How does the MAP help a person with no background knowledge of the project?

**PUT YOUR ANSWERS IN THE CHAT IF YOU'RE WITH THE
SOUTHWEST REGION.**

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



REGULATOR INSIGHT



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



SOUTHEAST REGION:

Where do you find the Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSP) or “*MRS Score*” for your sites?

How do you track the full inventory for your area?


PUT YOUR ANSWERS IN THE CHAT IF YOU’RE WITH THE SOUTHEAST REGION.

<https://www.denix.osd.mil/mmrp/mrsi/home/>

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



MRS Priority ranges are equivalent to RRSE categories:

- Priorities 1–4 are equivalent to high RRSE
- Priorities 5–6 are equivalent to medium RRSE
- Priorities 7–8 are equivalent to low RRSE

For high-priority FUDS MRSs (MRSPS scores 1–4), the following targets are in place:

- Achieve RC at 20% of MRSs by FY 2030
- Achieve RC at 30% of MRSs by FY 2035

Additional target to achieve RC at all remaining FUDS MRSs by FY 2120

Florida

FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES - CAMP BLANDING MIL RESERVATION - FFID FL49799F434300

The following is a listing of Military Munitions Response site details for CAMP BLANDING MIL RESERVATION.

Site Id	MRSPS Score	Nearest City	County	Landowner Name	MRS Acreage	Site Access
02OEW	Third MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	333.00	Limited public access
03OEW	Sixth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Bradford County	State Government	264.00	Limited public access
04OEW	Third MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	53.00	Limited public access
05OEW	Sixth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	1096.00	Limited public access
06OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	1831.00	Limited public access
07OEW	Third MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	11866.00	Limited public access
08OEW	Eighth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	313.00	Limited public access
09OEW	Third MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	1328.00	Limited public access
10OEW	Seventh MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	152.00	Limited public access
11OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	773.00	Limited public access
12OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.	STARKE, FL	Clay County	State Government	2054.00	Limited public access

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



What does the MRSP or MRS Score tell us?

- MRS Scores of 1–4 are equivalent to high priority
- MRS Scores of 5–6 are equivalent to medium priority
- MRS Scores of 7–8 are equivalent to low priority

Having an MRS Score of 1- 8 means the project has not achieved Response Complete (RC).

There are three other scores called Alternative Ratings:

Evaluation Pending (EP), No Known or Suspected Hazard (NKSH) and No Longer Required (NLR)

EP: Need to gather additional data in the CERCLA process. (*i.e. sampling needs to be conducted for Health Hazard Evaluation (HHE) module.*) The MRS has not achieved RC.

NKSH: a) The MRS has no unacceptable risk and has achieved RC or b) The MRS has not achieved RC and the Explosives Hazard Evaluation (EHE) Module has Small Arms Ammunition only.

NLR: The MRS no longer requires an assigned priority because DoD has conducted a response, all remedial action objectives set out in the decision document for the MRS have been achieved, and no further action, except for LTM and recurring reviews are required (RC). Used to indicate that an MRS no longer requires prioritization. 56

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



Comparing the MRSI Information to the MAP from the FUDS Portal

Site Id ↕	MRSPF Score ↕
02OEW	Third MRSPF priority.
03OEW	Sixth MRSPF priority.
04OEW	Third MRSPF priority.
05OEW	Sixth MRSPF priority.
06OEW	Fourth MRSPF priority.
07OEW	Third MRSPF priority.
08OEW	Eighth MRSPF priority.
09OEW	Third MRSPF priority.
10OEW	Seventh MRSPF priority.
11OEW	Fourth MRSPF priority.
12OEW	Fourth MRSPF priority.

Project Number	Category	Name	Legal Driver	RRSE	MRSPF	Status	RIP	RC
0	PA/INPR	PA/INPR Funding				Complete		
01	HTRW	4 AREAS	CERCLA	Not Required		Complete	11/2007 Actual	11/2007 Actual
02	MMRP	Grenade Ranges	CERCLA		03	Underway	09/2029 Scheduled	09/2029 Scheduled
03	MMRP	Mortar Projectile Training Ranges	CERCLA		06	Future	09/2052 Scheduled	09/2052 Scheduled
04	MMRP	Anti-Tank Rocket & Rifle Grenade Range	CERCLA		03	Underway	09/2029 Scheduled	09/2029 Scheduled
05	MMRP	Demolition Ranges	CERCLA		06	Future	09/2054 Scheduled	09/2054 Scheduled
06	MMRP	ART - Artillery Range	CERCLA		04	Future	09/2032 Scheduled	09/2032 Scheduled
07	MMRP	Range Complexes and Bomb Target	CERCLA		03	Future	09/2032 Scheduled	09/2032 Scheduled
08	MMRP	Radio Controlled Airplane Target Q2	CERCLA		08	Future	09/2050 Scheduled	09/2050 Scheduled
09	MMRP	Combat Firing Ranges & Rnge Complex No 4	CERCLA		03	Underway	09/2029 Scheduled	09/2029 Scheduled
10	MMRP	Range Complex No. 5	CERCLA		07	Future	09/2052 Scheduled	09/2052 Scheduled
11	MMRP	Range Complex No. 6	CERCLA		04	Future	09/2052 Scheduled	09/2052 Scheduled
12	MMRP	Range Complex No. 7	CERCLA		04	Future	09/2053 Scheduled	09/2053 Scheduled

PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITY



Comparing the MRSI Information to the FUDS FY25 Workplan from the FUDS Portal

Site Id ↕	MRSPS Score ↕
02OEW	Third MRSPS priority.
03OEW	Sixth MRSPS priority.
04OEW	Third MRSPS priority.
05OEW	Sixth MRSPS priority.
06OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.
07OEW	Third MRSPS priority.
08OEW	Eighth MRSPS priority.
09OEW	Third MRSPS priority.
10OEW	Seventh MRSPS priority.
11OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.
12OEW	Fourth MRSPS priority.

State / Territory	Division	District	FUDS Property Number	Property Name	FUDS Project Number	Project Name	Category
Florida	SAD	SAJ	I04FL0015	CAMP BLANDING - Grenade Ranges	02	CAMP BLANDING - Grenade Ranges	MMRP
Florida	SAD	SAJ	I04FL0015	CAMP BLANDING - Anti-Tank Rocket & Rifle Grenade Range	04	CAMP BLANDING - Anti-Tank Rocket & Rifle Grenade Range	MMRP
Florida	SAD	SAJ	I04FL0015	CAMP BLANDING - Combat Firing Ranges & Range Complex No 4	09	CAMP BLANDING - Combat Firing Ranges & Range Complex No 4	MMRP

REGULATOR INSIGHT



WRAP-UP AND QUESTIONS





Thank you!

Please fill out a brief survey here:

<https://forms.osi.office365.us/r/huXJZE2zAC>