



**Consultation Meeting Minutes**  
**Hawai'i Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration**  
**National Historic Preservation Act Programmatic Agreement**  
**Tuesday, February 24, 2026, 0900 HST**

**MEETING SUMMARY**

The meeting was called to order by Erica Rohr, Office of the Assistant Secretary of War (ASW), Energy, Installations, and Environment (EIE) Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration (REPI) Program Mission Sustainment Director, at 9:30 AM HST. Rohr welcomed everyone and introduced herself, the ASW-EIE host participants introduced themselves and explained their roles. The floor was opened for participant introductions.

Kristin Thomasgard, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of War for Environmental Management & Restoration provided opening remarks via recording and thanked the consulting parties for their participation.

Michelle Volkema, ASW-EIE - Deputy Federal Preservation Officer, outlined the Section 106 process for current REPI Program undertakings and why a Programmatic Agreement was determined to be the best alternative for consultation of future REPI Program undertakings.

Dayna Cramer, ASW-EIE – Environmental Planning and Conservation Coordinator, informed the consulting parties of the proposed schedule for the Section 106 consultation plan for REPI Program undertakings in Hawai'i.

Rohr then briefed an overview of the REPI Program in Hawai'i. Discussion included:

- REPI Program accomplishments, support, and partnerships
- In-depth information about REPI partnerships, including authorities
- Hawai'i Sentinel Landscape
- Overview of REPI projects in Hawai'i including key partners, existing and on-going REPI military installation resilience projects in Hawai'i that may trigger Section 106. REPI installation resilience project examples (not all inclusive): Watershed Restoration and Habitat Improvements, Wildfire/Drought, Coastal Flooding (Storm, Surge, Erosion), Land Degradation, and Local Food Resilience

Open discussion

Consulting party question: How do you define cultural when talking about cultural landscapes?

Response and discussion: Cultural, especially when discussing cultural landscapes has a very broad definition in the National Historic Preservation Act and implementing guidance. Because it is legally defined, we will use the legal definition for development of this Programmatic Agreement. Consulting party clarified they want to ensure that many Hawaiians consider the land, flora, fauna, and water to be culturally significant.

Consulting party question: Is the REPI program land-based or do projects also occur in the water?

Response and discussion: Though most REPI projects are land-based, some occur within coastal areas and near-shore areas. Consulting party requested that the group consider that all work on land has the potential to impact the ocean, especially coastal waters.

Consulting party question: How will this Programmatic Agreement be applied?



Response and discussion: This Programmatic Agreement is being drafted to be applied specifically to the DOW REPI program and will be available for use by all Military Services, who are responsible for National Historic Preservation Act compliance. Though many REPI projects will not cause adverse effects on historic properties we are drafting this Programmatic Agreement to clarify the specific projects that are unlikely to cause adverse effects and to build a flexible consultation process to streamline projects that will affect historic properties.

Consulting party question: How is the Programmatic Agreement being designed?

Response and discussion: The proposed PA would identify activities for which consultation is not required and establish standardized and streamlined review processes for REPI actions, including prescribed levels of effort for identification of historic properties as well as standard consultation deadlines. If the consulting parties can agree that activities implemented in specific ways need no further consultation because they are unlikely to cause adverse effects on historic properties, a protocol for level of effort in identification of historic properties, and a streamlined process with deadlines to move required consultation forward we will have met the goals in developing this Programmatic Agreement. The group agreed these were good goals and acknowledged this takes substantial upfront work on the part of the agency.

Consulting party question: It seems REPI does work all over, do state laws apply to REPI projects?

Response and discussion: While state laws may apply to REPI projects, compliance with state and local laws is the responsibility of the project execution partner. The Military Service funding the project follows Federal laws and regulations.

Consulting party question: Will DOW be delegating any responsibilities to state agencies, or is the Federal government going to maintain responsibility for NHPA Section 106 compliance?

Response and discussion: Some of this is dependent on the conversation we will have during these consultation meetings. Though DOW may not have statutory authority to delegate its Section 106 responsibilities to state agencies, this Programmatic Agreement may allow for some actions to be completed by others with review and approval by Federal officials.

Consulting party question: Who will be signing this Programmatic Agreement?

Response and discussion: Required signatories include the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Officer and the, and the DoW. At this time, DoW anticipates that this PA will be signed by the Assistant Secretary of War with no additional signatures from the Military Departments. This document may also include invited signatories that have yet to be identified.

Lunch Break

Open Discussion

Question to the consulting parties: Many REPI projects will be covered by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's Native Hawaiian Ingenious Knowledge Exemption, has anyone used the Exemption? If so, how did it work out for you?

Response and discussion: Only one participant was aware of the exemption being used by a REPI partner. For this PA we are looking at similar activity types and request that similar knowledge be used to execute those



activities. The group raised concerns that some Native Hawaiian Organizations may not have indigenous knowledge specific to that landscape. It is not within the law or the scope of this agreement for the Federal agency to determine whether an individual or group of individuals is or is not Native Hawaiian.

#### Proposed Administrative and Planning Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: Are there any concerns about the potential effects of conservation easements?

Response and discussion: General consensus was that easements are not a concern as they are protective, and the action is often administrative paperwork only.

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on collection of environmental samples being an excluded activity?

Response and discussion: It is possible, but specific parameters need to be in place that limit what is taken, requiring return of certain materials, and saying that no samples or only non-intrusive samples can be taken within historically or culturally sensitive areas. To be further discussed and caveated.

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on logistical support?

Response and discussion: Use of helicopters or drones may be inappropriate in certain areas. This specific method needs to be discussed further. Public outreach activities should consider if public access is available or appropriate for an area.

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on placement of markers for topographical studies?

Response and discussion: No issues but markers must be temporary for the duration of the study or project, with a requirement to clean-up at the conclusion of the work.

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on geographical borings?

Response and discussion: Geographical boring requirements need to be written clearly to ensure the least amount of potential environmental damage. For example, three (3) square meters needs to only allow for a single boring or limit the number of boring in a set area. Must include a requirement to restore the area and/or return the boring samples. Consider any waste or spoils and what will be done with them during or after the project.

#### Proposed Land Degradation Mitigation and Maintenance Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on this category of undertaking and the activities included?

Response and discussion: Most activities under this category need an additional caveat about ground disturbance. Ground disturbance must be defined. If the ground has been previously disturbed there must be a protocol in place for unanticipated discovery. Hawai'i is known for having roads, infrastructure, and buildings on top of graves and historic sites. They are only rediscovered once maintenance work occurs. Include Hawai'i protocol for found burials. Verify applicability of Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) with Office of General Council. One participant stated that all ground disturbance must be consulted. The group did not agree with this statement and further discussion clarified that certain ground disturbances should be identified for purposes of streamlining consultation and relieving workload on all parties. Historic trails should



only be included if specifics about how they are maintained are included. Invasive species should be defined and/or listed so that natives are not erroneously removed during projects.

Proposed Watershed Restoration and Habitat Improvement Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on this category of undertaking and the activities included?

Response and discussion: Overall restoration and improvements are positive but methods must be outlined to show these activities would not be harmful to a historic property. Though use of herbicide and pesticide may not harm historic properties they can harm historic landscapes. Several participants reminded the group that trees (Monkey Pod Trees) are significant cultural properties that need to be considered in this discussion. Local communities are very concerned with pesticide use and this document may be a mechanism to push for public notification of their use. Reminder to the consulting parties that the focus of this consultation and the development of this document is focused on protection of historic properties. If there are other concerns about use of pesticides or herbicides they will have to be addressed under other applicable laws in the appropriate forums. Discussion about ground disturbing activities, at least one participant believes the caveat should be no ground disturbing activities instead of no new ground disturbing activities, the group did not fully agree adding that landscaping may need to include excavation for deep rooted trees where the work is outside of in-ground historic properties. Participants recommended including an allowance for health and safety related maintenance, repairs, and removals in landscaping and grounds maintenance. This clause may need to reference imminent danger. Maintenance of fire breaks may be problematic as not all were consulted, consider the method used (hand tools versus heavy equipment). Participants asked to include exclusions for continuation of existing activities.

Participant Questions

If the State Historic Preservation Division confirms there are no known historic properties, can work proceed?

Answer: Yes. If something is found during the work, the Agency will proceed consistent with the unanticipated discovery protocol.

Can survey information be shared with consulting parties?

Answer: It depends on the information, the type of historic property, who it belongs to, and if there are laws that protect that information from being shared with “the public”.

Can the consulting parties be notified every time a determination is made that consultation is not needed?

Answer: This creates additional work for the agencies. We can include this information in an annual report or something similar.

Does use of Federal funds trigger NAGPRA?

Answer: The act requires Federal Agency who are in possession of remains or burial items to follow certain procedures. We do not plan to take possession of any remains or items as part of these projects, but we will also verify with the Office of General Council that NAGPRA does not apply to REPI projects.



### Proposed Wildfire/Drought Mitigation Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on this category of undertaking and the activities included?

Response and discussion: Please remember that Hawai‘i is not a fire adapted ecosystem. Group consensus that prescribed burning should not be excluded, but consultation can and should be done during the development of a prescribed burn plan. Not all firebreaks were consulted as some were put in during emergencies, consider the method used for maintenance, hand clearing versus heavy equipment. For water control and delivery systems, there is a need for definitions and to specify whether the systems are concrete, stone lines, earth hardened, or natural. The lining and design of these systems matter in how they should be maintained/repared and the likelihood of historic properties being disturbed during those activities. There also needs to be something specific to beach/ocean connection of these systems.

### Proposed Local Food Resilience Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on this category of undertaking and the activities included?

Response and discussion: Add hunting and gathering activities to this exclusion, when done utilizing indigenous knowledge for execution of the activity. Group determined that hunting and gathering should have a caveat for NHO oversight or participation. There needs to be more clarity on agricultural activities, specifically means and methods. The group agreed to exclude continuation of existing activities from further consultation.

### Proposed New Installation Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

Question to the consulting parties: What are your thoughts on this category of undertaking and the activities included?

Response and discussion: Group reached consensus with in-kind repair and replacement. The group had concerns about visual clutter related to signs. Group also reached consensus on temporary or emergency new installation of signs with the caveat that the sign only be present for the duration of the project. Permanent installation should be consulted. Group would like to further discuss fencing and get into the details about locations, types, etc. Fencing may be excluded but specifics must be worked out first. Group had differing opinions on the effects of fencing installed at high elevations. Hawai‘i does not have raptor requiring protection, so other language may need to be necessary for these types of devices, if installed.

Question to the consulting parties: Are there parameters where fencing can be excluded?

Response and discussion: Look into the USDA Programmatic Agreement. This PA may also have to consider above or below certain elevations for the exclusion.

Question to the consulting parties: Are there parameters where storage shed or greenhouses could be excluded?

Response and discussion: The buildings or structures should not require any ground disturbance or in ground utilities. They also should not be in the viewshed of above ground resources or sites used for cultural practices. They would not be problematic if they caused no visual or auditory disruptions. One participant asked that this



# REPI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



exclusion be expanded to include simple infrastructure, for example, above ground water storage tanks and similar structures.

The meeting concluded at 3:30 PM HST.



**Signatories and Consulting Parties: NHOs and Interested Parties**

First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 1 02/24/2026
Heather	Abbey		Hanalei, Hulē‘ia, and Kīlauea Point NWRs	
Melva	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
William J.	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
Kunane	Aipoalani		Na ‘Ohana Papa O Mānā	
Kaku Rodney K.	Akau		Kalehuaikawai	
Emma	Anders		HI Sentinel Landscape	
Pelika	Andrade		Nā Maka Onaona	
Sheila	Asuncion		State Historic Preservation Division	
Lyle	Auld		USAG-HI	
Jonathan	Barkmeier		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
Connie	Barnett		HQMC LF/MCICOM GF	
Connie	Barnett		USMC	
Kristie	Baynard		Marstel-day (DON)	
Eric	Beckley		USArmy HQDA DCS G-9	
Dawn	Beyer		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	Present
Nathan	Bomysoad		USN	
Terry	Bowers		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Katherine	Bragdon		USMC	
Nancy	Brighton		USACE DFPO	
Michelle	Brown		SAF/IEE	
Justin	Buller		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	Present
Jordan	Calpito		State Historic Preservation Division	
Jordan	Calpito		Hawai‘i Island Burial Council	
Richard	Carlin		USN	
Ryan	Catlett		USMC IANDL	
Alexis	Catsambis		USN	
Dawn N. S.	Chang		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mason	Chock		Mālama Hulē‘ia	
Richard	Chong		USAF AFRL Energy	Present
Lisa	Cipolla		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
June	Cleghorn		USMC Hawaii CR Program	Present
Benjamin	Colbert		USN CNO	
Tammy	Conkle		USN	
Patricia	Conte		USN COMNAVFACSYSCOM	
Anne	Coyle		Mālama Hulē‘ia	
Dayna	Cramer		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 1 02/24/2026
Dave	Crowley		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Lynette	Cruz		Mālama Mākua	
Valerie	Curtis		USAF Installation & Mission Support	Present
Mahealani	Cypher		Ko‘olau Foundation	
Leimana	DaMate		Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources	Present
Anthony	Danesi		USN NAVFAC SYSCOM	
Thomas	Daubert		Friends of Kaua‘i Wildlife Refuges	
Veronica	Davison		USMC	
Tara	Del Fierro		USN	
Lawrence	DeVries			
Dietrix	Duhaylonsod		Ka‘uikiokapō	
Ashely	Dunn		USN NAVFAC PAC PEARL	
Noel	Dunn		USN	
Coral	Egington		USArmy IMCOM AEC	
Hazel	Estrellado		BAH	
Lu	Faborito		Makaha Hawaiian Civic Club	
Amy	Farak		USN	
Aubrey	Farrell		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Kiersten	Faulkner		Historic Hawai‘i Foundation	
Michael	Faye			
Kimberley	Ferrara		USN INDOPACOM	
Kamakana	Ferreira			
Stacy Kealohalani	Ferreira		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
E. Kalani	Flores		Flores-Case ‘Ohana	
Peleke	Flores		Kanaka	
Susan	Fong		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	Present
Danielle	Foster		National Park Service	
Mark	Fox		Pacific Islands Innovation Landscape Network	Present
Wendy	Gady		State of Hawai‘i Agribusiness Development Corporation	
Rachel	Glover		MCB Hawaii (USMC CR Program)	
Rick	Gmirkin		National Park Service	
Hannah	Griggs			
Candace	Grimes		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
David	Guldenzopf		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
Carmen	Guzman-Simpliciano		Kingdom Pathways	
Edward	Halealoha Ayau		Hui Iwi Kuamoo	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 1 02/24/2026
Kelly	Hallett		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Katerina	Hardegen		USMC MCBH	
Cy	Harris		‘Ohana Kekumano	
Jennifer	Harty		USN COMNAVFACSYSOCOM	
Emily	Hauck		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Michael	Haynes			
Juliana	Henkel		USN DCNO N4	
Kawaikapuikalani	Hewett		Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
William "Willie" Aweau	Ho‘ohuli		Ka ‘Ohana O Ho‘ohuli	
Suzanne	Ho‘ohuli Ely		Ka ‘Ohana O Ho‘ohuli	
Deborah	Ho‘ohuli McKinney		Ka ‘Ohana O Ho‘ohuli	
Wyatt	Hue		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Kaweni	Ibarra		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Savannah	Jimenez		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
James	Johnson		USN CNO	
ShoShannah	Ka‘Ho‘Opi‘i		House of Nobles	
Matthew	Ka‘Ho‘Opi‘i		House of Nobles	
Shauna	Kahiapo		Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs	
Debralee	Kailiwai-Ray			
George	Kailiwai-Ray			
Noelani	Kalipi		OSW OUSW A-S	
Leialoha “Rocky”	Kaluhiwa		Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Ku‘ikeokalani	Kamakea-Ohelo		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Ryan	Kanaka'ole		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mapuana	Kapu Lukela		‘Ohana Kapu	
Mossman	Kaulana		USN	Present
Daniel	Kawaiaea	Jr.	National Park Service	
Hilary	Kawelo		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Richard	Keaopuaokalani NeSmith			
Sarah	Kettles		OSD REPI	Host
Kekuewa	Kikilo		Nohopapa Hawai‘i	
Mary	Kodama		State Historic Preservation Division	Present
Christopher	Koepple		USAF AFCEC	



# REPI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 1 02/24/2026
Leina'ala	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Manual	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Tiana	Lackey		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Frank	Land		National Park Service	
Melia	Lane-Kamahele		National Park Service	
Susan	Lebo		State Historic Preservation Division	Present
Tom	Lenchanko		'Aha Kūkaniloko Ko'a Mana Mea Ola Kanaka Mauli Hoalii Iku Pau	
Morgen	Liebert		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Elizaebth	Liggett		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Louis	Littleton	III	OLDCC	
Kauwilakalaokalani "K"	Liufau		Mālama Ka'ū Foundation	
Rhonda	Loh		National Park Service	
Jaime	Loichinger		Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	
Ikaika	Mahoe		USArmy USARPAC	
Lilliane	Makaila		Department of Hawaiian Home Lands	
William	Manley		Navy Env Region	Present
Brooke	McFarland		Navy PMRF	
Rebecca	Meyer		USAF AF-A4	
Kaulana	Mossman		Navy PMRF	Present
Shane	Nelsen		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Jodi	Ney		DON	
Cara	Oba		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	Present
William	O'Brien		Ka'uikiokapō	
Jennifer	Oelke Farley		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Keone	Oldroyd		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	Present
Nicole	Olmsted		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Jeff	Pantaleo		NAVFAC	Present
Zachary	Payne		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
Kaipo	Perez		OSW	
Kathryn	Plimpton		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Jennifer	Podbesek		USN DCNO N4	
Andrew	Porth		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Jessica	Puff		State Historic Preservation Division	Present



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 1 02/24/2026
Kamaile	Puluole-Mitchell		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Skye Kolealani	Razon-Olds		‘Ohana Nalani Olds	Present
Scotty	Reiz-Moniz		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Walter	Ritte		‘Āina Momona	
Alice	Roberts		USArmy USARPAC	
Keith, Bruce, and Leiana	Robinson		Niihau Robinson Ohana	
James	Rodrigues		Mālama Mākua	
Erica	Rohr		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Jason	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Shawn	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Leah	Rothbaum		USMC MCB Hawaii	Present
Alison	Rubio		USAF AFCEC	
Rob	Rule		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Mary	Schmidt		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	Present
Steven	Sekscienski		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	
Girair	Simon		USN	
Joseph K.	Simpliciano	Jr.	Kingdom Pathways	
Nick	Sinчек		Marstel-day (DON)	
Roland	Sosa		USN COMNAVREG Pearl	Present
Lisa Oshiro	Suganuma		Office of Native Hawaiian Relations	
Alicia	Sylvester		OSW Tribal Relations	
Kristin	Thomasgard		OSW OUSW A-S	
Erin	Tyson		USMC Hawaii CR Program	Present
Mahpiya	Vanderbilt		USAF	
Kaley	Vatalaro		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Susan	Veazey		OLDCC	
Michelle	Volkema		OSW Cultural Resources and Office of General Council	Host
Wendy	Wichman		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
JR Keonekapu	Williams		‘Ohana Kapu	
Doug	Wilson		National Park Service	
Jason	Winner		Marstel-day (DON)	
Scotty	Wong		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Mel	Yokoyama		Mālama Ka‘ū Foundation	
Emma	Yuen		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Programmatic Agreement Consultation Meeting for REPI Program Projects in Hawai'i


Tuesday, February 24, 9:30 AM – 3:30 PM

1



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



**Day 1 – REPI Program Overview, Typical Projects, and Excluded Activities**

**Day 2 – Identification of Historic Properties and Resolution of Effects**

**Day 3 – Summary**

2

## Day 1 - Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Opening Remarks
- PA Objectives and Consultation Plan
- Typical REPI Partners and Projects
- Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation
- Questions & Wrap-up



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



3



**REPI**  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

## Opening Remarks

4

## Objectives of Consultation for REPI Hawai'i Programmatic Agreement

### Develop a streamlined process for National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 consultation for REPI projects in Hawai'i with consulting parties.

- Improve NHO, State, and partner relationships within the REPI Program resulting in more beneficial outcomes
- Agree to notification and consultation procedures.
- Agree to streamlined survey and identification protocols.
- Agree to a standard assessment of effects protocols.
- Agree to timelines for project reviews, determinations of effect, and resolution of effects.
- Agree to a list of activities excluded from further consultation.
- Determine when Hawai'i 6E compliance is required and if and how Section 106 and Hawai'i 6E can be completed as one consultation with appropriate notifications.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



5

## REPI Hawai'i Programmatic Agreement Consultation Plan

- **Consultation and Draft Agreement**
  - February 24-26, 2026: In-person meetings to be held in Hawai'i to discuss identification of historic properties, streamlining consultation, and resolution of adverse effects.
  - March-May 2026: Virtual consultation and listening meetings to discuss specific topics with consulting parties.
- **Draft Reviews and Comment Periods**
  - May 2026: Consulting Parties Draft
  - June 2026: Public Draft
  - July 2026: 30-day Public Comment Period
  - July-August 2026: ACHP and Signatories Final Review
- **Final Programmatic Agreement:** September 2026
- **Timeline Overview:** *Timeline subject to change.*

Consultation and  
Drafting  
(February – May)

Reviews and  
Comment Periods  
(May – July)

Finalizing  
(July – Aug)

Publication and  
Implementation  
(September 2026)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



6



## Typical REPI Partners and Projects

7

**REPI** U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

The DOW's REPI Program is a key tool for protecting irreplaceable training and testing ranges, operating areas, and other mission readiness assets. The REPI Program funds projects that address incompatible development that disrupt or restrict access to DOW training lands, waters, and critical airspace. By helping DOW avoid the more costly alternatives of workarounds, segmentation, or replacing restricted training and testing capabilities, the REPI Program supports the warfighter and protects military readiness.

**Development / Energy Siting**

**Military Installation Resilience:**  
 Coastal Flooding, Excessive Heat, Wildfires, Land Degradation

**Incompatible Development:**  
 Tall Structures, Radar or Spectrum Interference, Operations Security

**Operational Noise:**  
 Noise Complaints, Flight Path Interference, Danger Zones

**Critical Ecosystems:**  
 Realistic Training Environments, Endangered Species Regulatory Restrictions, Recreation Opportunities

**Operations Security and Foreign Land Ownership Proximity**

**Radar Technology and Missile Defense**

**Critical Infrastructure**

**Testing and Training Capabilities**

8

## REPI Program Overview


- Accomplishments:** From FY 2003 through FY 2024, the Department has leveraged over \$1.5 billion with nearly \$1.4 billion from partner contributions to protect over 1.3 million acres of land at 140 locations across 40 states and territories to preserve key operational assets, infrastructure, and capabilities. This represents a total investment of nearly \$2.9 billion.
- Bipartisan Support from Congress:** The program has a bipartisan track record of building local, state, and regional installation-community partnerships that conserve valuable land around installations and ranges to avoid costly training workarounds and maximize taxpayer dollars. Program successes have led to steady increases in funding from Congress since its inception in 2003.
- Partnerships:** The REPI Program leverages funding from other Federal agencies, state and local governments, educational institutions, and private organizations to fund REPI projects that accelerate project implementation and sustain critical operations. These mutually beneficial partnerships are key to local community relationships in Hawaii, Guam and CNMI to protect national defense.
- Sentinel Landscapes Partnership:** Through DOW's involvement in the Sentinel Landscapes Partnership, the REPI Program can maintain mission requirements, develop new local partnerships, and advance project activities using fewer DOW resources. As of 2025, there are 19 sentinel landscapes across 60 military installations and ranges.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM






9




# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

### REPI in Hawai'i Investments:

- ✓ **15,830 acres** conserved/protected through FY 2024.
- ✓ Over **\$116 million** from combined REPI Program and Military Service funds through FY 2024. Includes conservation easements and Sikes Act projects for installation resilience and natural resource management.
- ✓ Continued prioritization anticipated in future fiscal years.



10


## Key REPI Program Partners in Hawai'i

### Current Partners:

- AES Hawai'i, Inc.
- American Bird Conservancy
- Bishop Museum
- City and County of Honolulu
- City and County of Kaua'i
- Department of Hawaiian Homelands
- Hawai'i Association of Watershed Partnerships/University of Hawai'i
- Hawai'i Conservation Alliance
- Hawai'i Invasive Species Committees
- Hawai'i Land Trust
- Kāko'o 'Ōiwi
- Kekaha Agricultural Association
- Kua'āina Ulu 'Auamo
- MA'O Organic Farms
- Maui County
- National Fish and Wildlife Foundation
- NOAA
- North Shore Community Land Trust
- Office of Hawaiian Affairs
- Parker Ranch
- State of Hawai'i Agribusiness
- Development Corporation
- State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources
- Trust for Public Land
- U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resources Conservation Service
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

### New Partners (Fiscal Years 25/26):

- Board of Water Supply
- Kalaeloa Heritage and Legacy Foundation
- Kīlauea Point National Wildlife Refuge
- Ko'olau Foundation
- O'ahu National Wildlife Refuge
- Oikonos Ecosystem Knowledge
- Pacific Rim Conservation
- Queen Emma Land Company



# Hawai'i Sentinel Landscape

**Overview:** Sentinel landscapes are areas where conservation, working lands, and national defense interest converge. Designated landscapes receive priority consideration for USDA, DOW, and DOI. Hawai'i was designated in May 2024 and includes 2.0 million acres on portions of Kaua'i, O'ahu, and Hawai'i Island.


**Military Installations:**

- Marine Corps Base Hawai'i
- U.S. Army Garrison Hawai'i
- Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam
- Pacific Missile Range Facility Barking Sands
- U.S. Army Garrison Hawai'i (Pōhakuloa Training Area)

**Key Local Partners:**

- Hawai'i State Dept. of Land and Natural Resources
- Hawai'i State Dept. of Agriculture
- Trust for Public Land
- Hawai'i Assoc. of Conservation Districts
- Hawai'i Cattlemen's Council
- Hawai'i Conservation Alliance
- University of Hawai'i Office of Land and Ocean Conservation Futures


## Hawai'i Sentinel Landscape Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



# Natural Resource & Installation Resilience Challenges\*



**Watershed Restoration and Habitat Improvements**

- Re-establish native forests
- Erosion control measures (hydroseeding, mulching)
- Removing invasive species
- Species specific fencing




**Wildfire/Drought**

- Removing fire-prone invasive species (post-fire hazardous weeds)
- Establishing firebreaks/green breaks and reducing wildland fuels
- Creating no-development zones in high-risk fire zones



**Coastal Flooding (Storm Surge, Erosion)**

- Revegetating dunes
- Restoring coral reefs
- Constructing living shorelines and reestablishing shorelines



**Land Degradation**

- Native outplanting/ground cover for soil stabilization
- Implementing reduced grazing, rotational grazing, or seasonal grazing
- Removing invasive species



**Local Food Resilience**

- Supporting crop diversity and local agriculture
- Compatible development through agricultural easements
- Implementing new sustainable soil practices

\*This list provides a sample of common REPI installation resilience actions, but it is not all-encompassing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## REPI and NHPA Section 106 Consultation

- **REPI projects usually do not cause adverse effects to historic properties.**
- The majority of REPI projects, to date, are led by DLNR and occur on DLNR-managed lands.
  - DLNR has an established consultation process to comply with state requirements. The Military Services and Commands have their own process for consultation and complying with the Federal requirements, even as a partner with DLNR.
  - A few REPI projects are led by other state agencies (not DLNR).
  - A growing number are led by other HI partners, including NHOs.
- There are opportunities for improved Section 106 consultation suitable to the needs of State of Hawai'i Historic Preservation Division, Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHO), DNLN, and REPI installation resilience projects.




Partners gather at DLNR DOFAW's Rare Plant Nursery



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Example Project: Waiawa Forest/Watershed Protection Above Pearl Harbor



### Project Summary:

REPI-funded Navy Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam (JBPHH) project with the State DLNR Division of Forestry and Wildlife. Helps provide long-term protection of 44,436 acres of forested land directly above JBPHH to increase and secure the water supply vital to mission capabilities and resilience and improve water quality and harbor conditions to ensure safe operations and training conditions for the Navy. Focus on native forest management and priority invasive species control (weeds and introduced feral pigs) to replenish ground water supply to the Pearl Harbor Aquifer.

### Partners:

DLNR DOFAW (Lead); Ko'olau Mountains Watershed Partnership; O'ahu Invasive Species Committee



Partners gather for a site visit led by DLNR DOFAW



Partners gather for a site visit led by DLNR DOFAW



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Example Project: I Ulu mai ka Ulu Lā'au - Kūkaniloko

### Project Summary:

REPI-funded U.S. Army Garrison Hawai'i (USAG-HI) project with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). This project will create a sustainable buffer through reforestation, community food and water security, climate resilience through native species recovery, and the perpetuation of traditional ecological knowledge and other cultural traditions. This project includes planting disease-resistant koa, 'iliahi, and rapid 'ōhi'a death-resistant 'ōhi'a, scaling up soil remediation, ecosystem services, and food production demonstration plots. For more than 60 years, Hawaiian Civic Club of Wahiawā has cared for this sacred place.

### Partners

OHA (Lead); Hawai'i Agriculture Research Center; University of Hawai'i College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources; Hui Kū Maoli Ola; Forest Solutions, Inc.; Hawaiian Civic Club of Wahiawā; Ka Hiapo



Partners gather for a site visit led by Uncle Tom Lenchanko



Kukui and a'ali'i growth at the vegetation continuum site



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



**REPI**  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM


## Questions and Break for Lunch Return at 1:00 PM

Programmatic Agreement Link: <https://denix.osd.mil/auth/hawaii-repi>

REPI Program Link: <https://www.REPI.mil>

Email: [osd.culturalresources@mail.mil](mailto:osd.culturalresources@mail.mil)

## Proposed Undertaking Consultation Process



### Condensed Process

Typically, the Section 106 consultation process for individual undertakings is completed in phases:

1. Initiate and define the Area of Potential Effects
2. Identify Historic Properties
3. Assess Adverse Effects
4. Resolve Adverse Effects

We propose condensing these phases and we will be discussing options throughout the consultation process.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



**REPI**  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

## Existing ACHP Exemption

### Indigenous Knowledge-Informed Activities by Native Hawaiian Organizations

This Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) approved exemption relieves Federal agencies from the historic preservation review requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act regarding the effects of various undertakings in Hawaii that are proposed, directed, led, designed, or managed by a Native Hawaiian Organization (NHO), utilizing the Indigenous Knowledge of that NHO.

### Covered Activities

- Landscaping: arboreal practices, invasive species removal, and other maintenance, reestablishment, or facilitation.
- Conduct of agriculture or aquaculture practices or activities
- Species and habitat conservation and management activities to perpetuate Native Hawaiian cultural practices.
- Rehabilitation, preservation, restoration, or reconstruction of water features/systems, agricultural terraces, historic pathways, sacred and traditional sites, and traditional Native Hawaiian buildings and structures.
- New construction using traditional Native Hawaiian techniques and primarily natural materials.
- Installation of interpretive signage.

### Not Covered

- Demolition, removal, or modifications that adversely affect properties eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places



Community builds protective wall for burial site



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



21

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Encroachment and Planning Activities

- Establishment or renewal of easements for environmental protection or conservation.
- Renewal of agricultural or aquacultural leases, including farming, grazing, and fishing, that remain the same with no new ground disturbance and/or improvements required.
- Studies of natural resources including on-foot surveys, placement of cameras, trapping, netting, hand-capturing, and collecting of samples.
- Logistical support such as helicopter use, hiking, climbing, field camping, ground transportation, project monitoring and public outreach activities.
- Topographical studies requiring the placement of wooden or metal stakes, benchmarks or other necessary land markers.
- Geographical borings or other cylindrical hand or mechanical auger powered soil analysis with a surface area disturbance of less than three (3) square meters required for design, remediation, or soil management plans.



US Air Force conducts site survey on O'ahu



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



22

## Addressing Land Degradation



Pōhakuloa Training Area staff and Dry Forest Hui collect native seeds for REPI post-fire restoration project, Hawai'i Island

- Native out planting/ground cover for soil stabilization
- Implementing reduced grazing, rotational grazing, or seasonal grazing
- Removing invasive species



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



23

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Land Degradation Mitigation and Maintenance Activities

- Maintenance of or modification to existing buildings, objects, and/or structures less than 50 years in age. This includes interior and exterior work, finishes, roofs, siding, porches, windows, doors, utilities, and life, health, and safety systems and equipment, etc.
- Maintenance, modification, repair, or replacement of existing buildings, structures, or objects 50 years or older, when written concurrence from the SHPO has determined the property is not eligible for either the Hawaii or National Register of Historic Places. This includes interior and exterior work, finishes, roofs, siding, porches, windows, doors, utilities, and life, health, and safety systems and equipment, etc.
- Maintenance and in-kind repair of historic man-made elements such as building features, structures, or objects, where the work is done in accordance with the Secretary of Interior Standards and Briefs. This includes interior and exterior work, finishes, roofs, siding, porches, windows, doors, utilities, and life, health, and safety systems and equipment, etc.



Hale repaired using in-kind materials



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



24

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Land Degradation Mitigation and Maintenance Activities

- Maintenance, repair or resurfacing of existing roads, trails or paths and structural repairs (such as for sinkholes) or replacement of existing paved or concrete streets, parking areas/lots, driveways, sidewalks, curbs, steps and gutters and/or storm drains if work matches existing design, size, configuration and materials. Equipment storage areas, spoil piles, and borrow pits are confined to previously disturbed areas.
- Maintenance, repair or resurfacing of bridges or culverts provided there is no associated ground disturbance.
- Installation, removal or replacement of existing bollards, footings, foundations, gates, fences, fence posts, catterguards, signposts or other similar infrastructure, provided that no ground disturbance occurs outside of the existing footprint of the item being removed or replaced or on adjacent to existing roads in previously disturbed areas.



*Ko'olau Mountains Watershed Partnership construct connector fence at Helemano. Photo by DLNR DOFAW*



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



25

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Land Degradation Mitigation and Maintenance Activities

- Landscaping, grounds maintenance, ongoing maintenance of existing landscaping, removal of dead, dying, or hazard trees or other vegetation which does not require subsurface disturbance like root grubbing or root removal.
- Maintenance or stabilization of existing vehicle and pedestrian trails, firebreaks, drainages, ditches and vegetation management, whether executed mechanically or through a prescribed burn event. Approved methods of stabilization include, but are not limited to the placement of silt fencing, geo-textile fabric, and gravel, clean fill dirt or topsoil and/or seed and straw.



*Removal of invasive, fire-prone weeds, photo by DLNR DOFAW*




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



26

## Watershed Restoration and Habitat Improvements



- Re-establish native forests
- Erosion control measures (hydroseeding, mulching)
- Removing invasive species



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



27

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Watershed Restoration and Habitat Improvement Activities

- Ecological restoration (e.g., enhancing sites traditional practices, restoration activities, etc.) where there is no new ground disturbance.
- Vegetation management including but not limited to targeted invasive species removal using mechanical or chemical means, where there is no new ground disturbance.
- Aerial, boom, or crew backpack application of herbicide or pesticide.
- Reintroduction of endemic or native species into their historic habitat where there is no new ground disturbance.
- Activities implemented to control threats from invasive or predatory species, such as installation of fencing and barriers for animal detection and population control, hunting and aerial shooting with firearms, trapping, netting, and hand-capture, and chemical control.
- Forest health and watershed improvement (e.g., removing trees, timber salvage, hazard tree removal, wetland or watershed restoration, and associated activities).



Removal of invasive mangrove trees from endangered species habitat



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



28

## Addressing Wildfire/Drought Risks



Wildland fire near Haleakala Ranch, Maui

- Removing fire-prone invasive species (post-fire hazardous weeds)
- Establishing firebreaks/green breaks and reducing wildland fuels
- Creating no-development zones in high-risk fire zones
- Species specific fencing



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



29

## Addressing Coastal Flooding Risks



Lo'i kalo at Kāko'o 'Oiwī, Marine Corps Base REPI partner

Storm Surge, Erosion

- Revegetating dunes
- Restoring coral reefs
- Constructing living shorelines and reestablishing shorelines



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



30

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Wildfire and Drought Mitigation Activities

- Prescribed burn activities (e.g., site preparation, igniting fuels, containing fire through various firefighting methods, and other associated activities). Known historic properties will be marked off and protected as appropriate for the type of historic property.

### Flood Mitigation Activities

- Maintenance of water control and water delivery systems, including canals and ditches, that does not alter the original design and/or where no new ground disturbance is required.



DLNR DOFAW fire crew uses cleared trail for fire mitigation, photo by DLNR DOFAW



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



31

## Advancing Local Food Resilience



Weeding for invasives and planting organic crops at MA'O Farms

- Supporting crop diversity and local agriculture
- Compatible development through agricultural easements
- Implementing new sustainable soil practices



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



32

## Maintenance, Repair, Replacement, and Installation for Conservation



Staff construct fence at Waiawa, photo by DLNR DOFAW

- Fencing for invasive species exclusion or endangered species protection
- Project related signs
- Natural resources support facilities and related infrastructure



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



33

## Proposed: Activities Excluded from Further Consultation

### Local Food Resilience Activities

- Restarting agricultural or aquacultural practices, where those practices, including farming, grazing, and fishing, previously occurred and no new ground disturbance is required.
- Range Activities including livestock grazing, range improvements, and associated activities.

### New Installation Activities

- Installation, repair, or replacement of signage.
- Installation and/or replacement of devices to protect human or secure animal life, such as lightning strike or raptor electrocution prevention and fencing.
- Installation or relocation of prefabricated storage sheds, provided that grubbing and/or grading of the ground for a foundation pad or utility service is not required.



Waimano Greenhouse, part of the watershed project for Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




34



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Proposed Excluded Activities


- What are your thoughts on the proposed excluded activities list?
- Are we missing any activities that could or should be excluded?
- What projects or project types will not have an effect to historic properties?
- What project types concern you and what are those concerns?

35



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Questions and Wrap-up

**Programmatic Agreement Link:** <https://denix.osd.mil/auth/hawaii-repi>

**REPI Program Link:** <https://www.REPI.mil>

**Email:** [osd.culturalresources@mail.mil](mailto:osd.culturalresources@mail.mil)

36



**Internal Stakeholder Meeting Minutes  
Hawai‘i REPI NHPA Programmatic Agreement  
Wednesday, February 25, 2026, 1000 HST**

**MEETING SUMMARY**

The meeting was called to order by Erica Rohr, Office of the Assistant Secretary of War, Energy, Installations, and Environment (OASW(EI&E)) Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program (REPI) Mission Sustainment Director, at 10:00 AM HST. Rohr welcomed everyone and the floor was opened for any new participant introductions.

Dayna Cramer, OASW(EI&E) – Environmental Planning and Conservation Coordinator, provided a brief overview of the previous day.

At the request of the Aha Moku and with agreement of the group, Leimana DaMate and Leialoha “Rocky” Kaluhiwa gave a special presentation about the Aha Moku. For information about this presentation, please reach out directly to Aha Moku.

Lunch Break

Identifying Historic Properties Open Discussion

Discussion about determining the Area of Potential Effects (APE): Group consensus that type and scope of project will determine the APE. Consideration should be given broader impacts like sight and sound. Specifically, consider if the project will change or expose a historic property. The APE should consider potential effects up or downstream. When developing an APE that may be near a traditional cultural property, it is necessary to consider the cultural practices that utilize the space and consider the entire space instead of just a portion of the property. Consider developing an “Aspect and Impact Checklist” to help ensure there is consistency in what is considered when developing an APE. APE should also take into consideration changes in pedestrian, vehicle, and boat traffic caused by a project and if a historic property is or is not open to the public. On APE maps, be sure to call out specific spaces or features that help orient the reviewer or consulting party. Additionally, call out anything that specifically changes access to and from historic properties or traditional cultural places.

Discussion about Identifying Historic Properties: Historic Properties for the purposes of this consultation and document use the definition from the NHPA, 54 USC § 300101, *et seq.*, meaning that to be considered a historic property the property must meet the criteria to be eligible for inclusion or listed in the National Register of Historic Places. The Hawai‘i State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) has a library that should be used as a primary resource. Aha Moku can also assist with notifying Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) and lineal descendants if an APE map is provided. Group also recommended utilizing Hawaiian Civics Clubs to distribute information and consultation documents. Consider if the property has any type of covenant or specialized easement for historic or cultural preservation. Recommendation to utilize 1835 traditional maps or Hawaiian homeland maps when surveying for historic properties. Hawaiian historic properties are not the only historically significant properties on the islands, also consider other ethnic groups and their use of different areas.

Question to the consulting parties: What is the definition of lineal descendant and how are they determined?



Response and discussion: Clarification that lineal descendants cannot be given preference in consultation and it is not part of the National Historic Preservation Act. Group discussed that Native Hawaiian Organizations are very broadly defined and are allowed to self-identify, meaning that not all organizations utilize indigenous knowledge. Ultimately, group determined that lineal descendants will be given an opportunity to consult but Federal government will not decide who is a lineal descendant or give preference to anyone claiming to be one. The group recommended looking into the Land Commission Awards records when determining who had historically lived on or used an area on the islands.

Question to the consulting parties: How should burials be identified and protected?

Response and discussion: REPI projects can avoid known or identified burials. Participants recommended using the state protocol for unanticipated discovery of a burial. Participants recommended having a contact list for each island/area. Aha Moku has maps that indicate which Native Hawaiians should be contacted for different areas of the islands.

General discussion: The group agreed it would be helpful to have a checklist of resources to review, things to look for on-site, and organizations to talk to about the likelihood of historic properties being present. The federal agency should consider both western and Native Hawaiian indigenous knowledge when surveying for artifacts or potential historic properties. Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) acknowledged that sometimes there is a need for vagueness in identification. For SHPD, a statement that Native Hawaiians or others were consulted in determining if historic properties are present within the APE would be sufficient for a review.

The group desires to have standardize consultation submission packages for the SHPD that follows a potential for checklist, though not all items must be included showing they were considered goes a long way. Standardized maps that are simple enough for REPI partners to put together would help streamline reviews. Consider use of Google Earth, USGS Maps, TMK Maps, and publicly accessible free GIS applications. Hawai'i state and various counties have free resources. Programmatic Agreement needs to allow for generation of these documents by different parties. Federal agency would maintain responsibility for review and signature of any consultation documents. Review by a Secretary of Interior Qualified must occur prior to signature. Form should have 4 distinct parts that are used as necessary: 1) Defining the APE, 2) Identifying Historic Properties, 3) Assessing Effects, and 4) Resolving Adverse Effects.

Group discussed potential for funding staff to support REPI project review in the SHPD office. This is not something that can be determined in this consultation, agreed to, or funded by this programmatic agreement. Should either the DoW or SHPD wish to pursue this effort, it will be done separately for this agreement.

#### Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects

Group discussed potential effects of various project types on historic properties. The Agency must consider any special requirements for a property that are based on covenants, easements, or traditional cultural practices. Those properties that have covenants or easements may have specific restrictions about what can be done on or around them or have requirements under different types of management plans. The consultation package should outline if the project meets those requirements including those for buffer spaces. If these exist and project is compliant, it needs to be clearly outlined in the consultation package. Consultation packages must clearly outline the consideration of avoiding or minimizing effects to historic properties. If the project may have a temporary adverse effect but includes a restoration phase or will be an overall net benefit to a historic property, that must be



clear in the consultation package. Be sure to discuss the means and methods to be utilized during the project, if others were considered, and why they were not used. For example, if dealing with invasive species that are over taking a historic site discuss using hand tools and why you chose not to use heavy equipment. If restoration is part of the project, make it clear in the consultation package. If traditional Hawaiian or Secretary of Interior Standards are used be sure to outline the practices, materials, and if a traditional practitioner or specialist is doing the work.

Question from consulting parties: Can Department of Land and Natural Resources get some feedback on their projects that minimize effects on historic properties?

Response and discussion: Both DoW and SHPD responded with yes and requested that any partner be very clear in what they are doing to minimize effects. If there is a standardized best practice, it may be possible to include that as an excluded activity under the Programmatic Agreement. Pae Pae o He'eia was used as an example where invasive mangroves are being removed to stabilize and restore a cultural landscape. Though this may seem clear to the project proponent, it may not be clear to the consulting parties or consultation package reviewer. Thus, it is necessary to connect the dots and clearly outline the overall benefit of the project, not just the temporary disruption or effect to historic properties.

Question from consulting parties: Who will be responsible for consultation?

Response and discussion: All formal consultation is the responsibility of the Military Departments, though preliminary research, consultation building, and informal discussions can be done by REPI partners, including Division of Fish and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, or Native Hawaiian Organizations.

The meeting concluded at 3:30 PM HST.



**Signatories and Consulting Parties: NHOs and Interested Parties**

First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 2 02/25/2026
Heather	Abbey		Hanalei, Hulē‘ia, and Kīlauea Point NWRs	
Melva	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
William J.	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
Kunane	Aipoalani		Na ‘Ohana Papa O Mānā	
Kaku Rodney K.	Akau		Kalehuaikawai	
Emma	Anders		HI Sentinel Landscape	
Pelika	Andrade		Nā Maka Onaona	
Sheila	Asuncion		State Historic Preservation Division	
Lyle	Auld		USAG-HI	
Jonathan	Barkmeier		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
Connie	Barnett		HQMC LF/MCICOM GF	
Connie	Barnett		USMC	
Kristie	Baynard		Marstel-day (DON)	
Eric	Beckley		USArmy HQDA DCS G-9	
Dawn	Beyer		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	Present
Nathan	Bomysoad		USN	
Terry	Bowers		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Katherine	Bragdon		USMC	
Nancy	Brighton		USACE DFPO	
Michelle	Brown		SAF/IEE	
Justin	Buller		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	Present
Jordan	Calpito		State Historic Preservation Division	
Jordan	Calpito		Hawai‘i Island Burial Council	
Richard	Carlin		USN	
Ryan	Catlett		USMC IANDL	
Alexis	Catsambis		USN	
Dawn N. S.	Chang		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mason	Chock		Mālama Hulē‘ia	
Richard	Chong		USAF AFRL Energy	Present
Lisa	Cipolla		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
June	Cleghorn		USMC Hawaii CR Program	Present
Benjamin	Colbert		USN CNO	
Tammy	Conkle		USN	
Patricia	Conte		USN COMNAVFACSYSYSCOM	
Anne	Coyle		Mālama Hulē‘ia	
Dayna	Cramer		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Dave	Crowley		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Lynette	Cruz		Mālama Mākua	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 2 02/25/2026
Valerie	Curtis		USAF Installation & Mission Support	Present
Mahealani	Cypher		Ko'olau Foundation	
Leimana	DaMate		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	Present
Anthony	Danesi		USN NAVFAC SYSCOM	
Thomas	Daubert		Friends of Kaua'i Wildlife Refuges	
Veronica	Davison		USMC	
Tara	Del Fierro		USN	
Lawrence	DeVries			
Dietrix	Duhaylonsod		Ka'uikiokapō	
Ashely	Dunn		USN NAVFAC PAC PEARL	
Noel	Dunn		USN	
Coral	Eginton		USARmy IMCOM AEC	
Hazel	Estrellado		BAH	
Lu	Faborito		Makaha Hawaiian Civic Club	
Amy	Farak		USN	
Aubrey	Farrell		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Kiersten	Faulkner		Historic Hawai'i Foundation	
Michael	Faye			
Kimberley	Ferrara		USN INDOPACOM	
Kamakana	Ferreira			
Stacy Kealohalani	Ferreira		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
E. Kalani	Flores		Flores-Case 'Ohana	
Peleke	Flores		Kanaka	
Susan	Fong		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	Present
Danielle	Foster		National Park Service	
Mark	Fox		Pacific Islands Innovation Landscape Network	Present
Wendy	Gady		State of Hawai'i Agribusiness Development Corporation	
Rachel	Glover		MCB Hawaii (USMC CR Program)	
Rick	Gmirkin		National Park Service	
Hannah	Griggs			
Candace	Grimes		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
David	Guldenzopf		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
Carmen	Guzman-Simpliciano		Kingdom Pathways	
Edward	Halealoha Ayau		Hui Iwi Kuamoo	
Kelly	Hallett		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Katerina	Hardegen		USMC MCBH	
Cy	Harris		'Ohana Kekumano	
Jennifer	Harty		USN COMNAVFACSYSCOM	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 2 02/25/2026
Emily	Hauck		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Michael	Haynes			
Juliana	Henkel		USN DCNO N4	
Kawaikapuikalani	Hewett		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
William "Willie" Aweau	Ho'ohuli		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Suzanne	Ho'ohuli Ely		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Deborah	Ho'ohuli McKinney		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Wyatt	Hue		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Kaweni	Ibarra		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Savannah	Jimenez		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
James	Johnson		USN CNO	
ShoShannah	Ka'Ho'Opi'i		House of Nobles	
Matthew	Ka'Ho'Opi'i		House of Nobles	
Shauna	Kahiapo		Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs	
Debralee	Kailiwai-Ray			
George	Kailiwai-Ray			
Noelani	Kalipi		OSW OUSW A-S	
Leialoha "Rocky"	Kaluhiwa		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Ku'ikeokalani	Kamakea-Ohelo		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Ryan	Kanaka'ole		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mapuana	Kapu Lukela		'Ohana Kapu	
Mossman	Kaulana		USN	Present
Daniel	Kawaiaea	Jr.	National Park Service	
Hilary	Kawelo		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Richard	Keaopuaokalani NeSmith			
Sarah	Kettles		OSD REPI	Host
Kekuewa	Kikiloi		Nohopapa Hawai'i	
Mary	Kodama		State Historic Preservation Division	Present
Christopher	Koepple		USAF AFCEC	
Leina'ala	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Manual	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Tiana	Lackey		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Frank	Land		National Park Service	
Melia	Lane-Kamahele		National Park Service	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 2 02/25/2026
Susan	Lebo		State Historic Preservation Division	Present
Tom	Lenchanko		'Aha Kūkaniloko Ko'a Mana Mea Ola Kanaka Mauli Hoalii Iku Pau	
Morgen	Liebert		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Elizabeth	Liggett		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Louis	Littleton	III	OLDCC	
Kauwilakalaokalani "K"	Liufau		Mālama Ka'ū Foundation	
Rhonda	Loh		National Park Service	
Jaime	Loichinger		Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	
Ikaika	Mahoe		USArmy USARPAC	
Lilliane	Makaila		Department of Hawaiian Home Lands	
William	Manley		Navy Env Region	Present
Brooke	McFarland		Navy PMRF	
Rebecca	Meyer		USAF AF-A4	
Kaulana	Mossman		Navy PMRF	Present
Shane	Nelsen		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Jodi	Ney		DON	
Cara	Oba		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	Present
William	O'Brien		Ka'uikiokapō	
Jennifer	Oelke Farley		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Keone	Oldroyd		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	Present
Nicole	Olmsted		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Jeff	Pantaleo		NAVFAC	Present
Zachary	Payne		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
Kaipo	Perez		OSW	
Kathryn	Plimpton		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Jennifer	Podbesek		USN DCNO N4	
Andrew	Porth		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Jessica	Puff		State Historic Preservation Division	Present
Kamaile	Puluole-Mitchell		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Skye Kolealani	Razon-Olds		'Ohana Nalani Olds	Present
Scotty	Reiz-Moniz		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Walter	Ritte		'Āina Momona	
Alice	Roberts		USArmy USARPAC	
Keith, Bruce, and Leiana	Robinson		Niihau Robinson Ohana	
James	Rodrigues		Mālama Mākua	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Day 2 02/25/2026
Erica	Rohr		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Jason	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Shawn	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Leah	Rothbaum		USMC MCB Hawaii	Present
Alison	Rubio		USAF AFCEC	
Rob	Rule		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Mary	Schmidt		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	Present
Steven	Sekscienski		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	
Girair	Simon		USN	
Joseph K.	Simpliciano	Jr.	Kingdom Pathways	
Nick	Sinчек		Marstel-day (DON)	
Roland	Sosa		USN COMNAVREG Pearl	Present
Lisa Oshiro	Suganuma		Office of Native Hawaiian Relations	
Alicia	Sylvester		OSW Tribal Relations	
Kristin	Thomasgard		OSW OUSW A-S	
Erin	Tyson		USMC Hawaii CR Program	Present
Mahpiya	Vanderbilt		USAF	
Kaley	Vatalaro		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Susan	Veazey		OLDCC	
Michelle	Volkema		OSW Cultural Resources and Office of General Council	Host
Wendy	Wichman		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
JR Keonekapu	Williams		‘Ohana Kapu	
Doug	Wilson		National Park Service	
Jason	Winner		Marstel-day (DON)	
Scotty	Wong		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Mel	Yokoyama		Mālama Ka‘ū Foundation	
Emma	Yuen		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Programmatic Agreement Consultation Meeting for REPI Program Projects in Hawai'i


Wednesday, February 25, 2026 10:00 AM – 3:00 PM HST

1



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



**Day 1 – REPI Program Overview and Typical Projects,  
Excluded Activities**

**Day 2 – Identification of Historic Properties and  
Resolution of Effects**

**Day 3 – Summary**

2

## Day 2 - Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Opening Remarks
- Day 1 Overview
- Proposed Consultation Process
- Defining the Area of Potential Effects
- Identifying Historic Properties
- Assessing and Resolving Adverse Effects
- Questions & Wrap-up



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



3



# REPI


READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Day 1 Overview

4

## Proposed Undertaking Consultation Process



### Condensed Process

Typically, the Section 106 consultation process for individual undertakings is completed in phases:

1. Initiate and define the Area of Potential Effects
2. Identify Historic Properties
3. Assess Adverse Effects
4. Resolve Adverse Effects

We propose condensing these phases and we will be discussing options throughout the consultation process. Consultation of these phases will continue after today, as necessary.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




**REPI**

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Determining the Area of Potential Effects

## Area of Potential Effect (APE)



**Area of potential effects** means the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The area of potential effects is influenced by the scale and nature of an undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking.

36 CFR 800.16(d)

**APE for this Programmatic Agreement:** Statewide

**APE for PA Projects:** Specific for the scale and nature of the project undertaking.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



7

## Considerations for Determining the Area of Potential Effect (APE)



Example of how site features can change indirect effects – visual and auditory

### Direct APE

- Area directly affected by the undertaking due to use or modification
- Where the undertaking may change the use, require replacement of material, or require ground disturbance, or new construction

### Indirect APE

- Area around the undertaking, where there may be indirect effects
- This area may be affected by visual, auditory, sociocultural, or other effects



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



8

## Considerations for Determining the Area of Potential Effect (APE)



Example fencing project site map

### Project Examples

- Construction
- Fishpond improvements
- Fencing
- Easement



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



9



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Defining the Area of Potential Effect

- What constitutes a reasonable and good faith effort to define the area of potential effects?
- What site features should be considered when defining the area or potential effects?
- What considerations should be specifically called out in a consultation letter?
- Is there anything else we have not discussed yet, that should be considered when developing an APE?

10



# Identifying and Evaluating Historic Properties

11


## Proposed Process for Identifying Historic Properties

● NRHP Properties

1. Ask the landowner and/or manager if they are aware of any historic properties or significant man-made or natural features within the Area of Potential Effect (APE).
2. Check the National Register of Historic Places.
3. Check local Historic Property Registries (State, County, and Municipal).
4. Check local property records and other repositories for historic information like Native Hawai'ian Organizations, historical societies, and universities.
5. Conduct a walking survey of the APE to identify (map and note) any potential artifacts or significant man-made or natural feature. Preferably with a NHO and Aha Moku representative.

12

## Proposed Process for Identifying & Evaluating Historic Properties



Process for identifying Native Hawaiian historic properties:


- Should the consultation letter contain information about how the APE was developed? If so, what information should be included?
- What should be included on the map(s) to make it easier to determine if historic properties are present?
  - Ex. Street names, latitude/longitude, landmark call outs, elevations, Ahupua'a delineation
- What information about the research and walking survey should be included in the consultation letter?
- Besides the standard National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation, are there resources that should be referenced?




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



13



**REPI**  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



### Identifying and Evaluating Historic Properties


- Should the letter contain information about how the APE was developed?
- If so, what information should be included?
- How should confidential information provided by Native Hawaiian Organizations be addressed and protected in the consultation documentation/letters?

14



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Identifying and Evaluating Historic Properties


- What information can we include to help make it easier to determine if the APE is sufficient and if historic properties are or are not present?
- What information about this identification process should be included in the consultation letter(s)?
- Are there any special requirements or processes for State lands, Native Hawaiian Trust lands, etc? Ex. Notification, Consultation, Permits, etc

15



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




## Questions and Break for Lunch Return at 12:30 PM

Programmatic Agreement Link: <https://denix.osd.mil/auth/hawaii-repi>

REPI Program Link: <https://www.REPI.mil>

Email: [osd.culturalresources@mail.mil](mailto:osd.culturalresources@mail.mil)

16




**REPI**  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM


## Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects

17


### Recommended Process of Assessing Effects



1. Verify and describe the significance, integrity, any character defining features and sometimes the use of the identified historic property using established resources
2. Characterize what if any effects the undertaking could have on historic properties within the APE
3. Explain how effects to the historic property were avoided, minimized, alternatives considered, or any proposals for mitigation



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



18

## Hierarchy of Preferred Outcomes



1. Benefit – preserve, restore or rehabilitate using appropriate techniques and materials
2. Avoid – destroying or altering features that are integral to a property’s significance
3. Minimize – adverse effects by limiting the impact, ex. In-kind or substitute materials
4. Mitigate – makeup for the loss of a historic property or its features through other means



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



19

## Assessment of Adverse Effects



An **adverse effect** is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the National Register. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.

36 CFR 800.5(a)(1)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




20



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




## Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects

- What project activities have the potential to adversely affect historic properties and what are those effects?
- Are those effects only present for certain historic properties? ex. District, Site, Building, Structure, or Object
- Can adverse effects be avoided, minimized, or mitigated?
- If so, what do you recommend for minimization or mitigation?

21

## Resolving Adverse Effects - Minimizing Adverse Effects



Minimize – limiting the impact of adverse effects, ex. In-kind or substitute materials

Outline any steps taken to minimize adverse effects

Examples:

- Placement of temporary fencing to protect and archaeological site during prescribed burn
- Lowering the height of new construction to minimize visual impacts to a historic property



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




22



### Applying Minimization

- Are there any best practices for minimizing effects on different historic property types that should be applied to REPI projects?
- Have you seen or heard about any successful project modification or alternatives to minimize adverse effects that could be applied to REPI projects?

### Resolving Adverse Effects - Mitigating Adverse Effects



Mitigate – makeup for the loss of a historic property or its features through other means


When an undertaking will cause an adverse effect that cannot be avoided or minimized, mitigation should be considered and proposed

Standard Mitigation


- Data Collection or Photographic Documentation

Alternative Mitigation

- Production of educational materials
- Ensuring protection of other similar sites



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM





# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Determining Appropriate Mitigation

- What is in the public interest?
- What are the benefits to, or concerns of, the consulting parties, those they represent, and those who ascribe importance and value to the property?
- If the proposed mitigation is designed to advance our knowledge about the past, how will this knowledge be provided to the public, NHOs, and professional archaeologists?
- Will mitigation enhance the preservation and management of historic sites in the region?

25



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Streamlining Consultation

- How can we best consolidate the identification of historic properties with assessment of effects and resolution of adverse effects?
- We would like to combine phases. What phases can be reasonably combined if the appropriate information is included in the consultation package?
- What information must be included in a single-phase consultation package?
- What information is often missing from consultation packages you see?

26



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Questions and Wrap-up

**Programmatic Agreement Link:** <https://denix.osd.mil/auth/hawaii-repi>

**REPI Program Link:** <https://www.REPI.mil>

**Email:** [osd.culturalresources@mail.mil](mailto:osd.culturalresources@mail.mil)



**Internal Stakeholder Meeting Minutes  
Hawai'i REPI NHPA Programmatic Agreement  
Thursday, February 26, 2026, 0900 HST**

**MEETING SUMMARY**

The meeting was called to order by Dayna Cramer, ASW-EIE – Environmental Planning and Conservation Coordinator, at 9:00 AM HST. Cramer welcomed everyone and introduced the ASW-EIE host participants and explained their roles.

Cramer provided an overview of the previous two (2) days of in-person meetings, starting with who attended the previous days' meetings.

The day one (1) summary included a quick overview of agenda topics

- Participants discussed the need for proposed excluded activities to require specific parameters, standards, best management practices, or caveats to be utilized.
- Very few if any excluded activities were approved or outright denied.
- Both Hawai'i Division of Forestry and Wildlife and the State Historic Preservation Division offered to provide best management practices and example language for the excluded activity list.

The day two (2) summary included a quick overview of

- The proposed consultation process, including consolidating phases as appropriate and utilizing checklist and standardized consultation packages or forms to simplify things for the consulting parties and reviewers
- Defining the Area of Potential Effect (APE), including developing a list of specific considerations, limiting factors, and requirements to standardize maps in the consultation package
- Special thank you to Aha Moku for their presentation to the consulting parties. For copies of the presentation please reach out to Aha Moku.
- Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects, including the recommendation that the Federal Agency should 'show their work' on how they determined effects on historic properties within the APE. This includes any consideration given or steps taken to avoid or minimize effects on historic properties.
- Mitigating Adverse Effects, no discussion was had on whether this agreement will contain specific mitigation requirements

**Substantive Comments**

In the previous two (2) days' meetings DoW received the following substantive comments:

- Consultation phases can and should be consolidated as long as the proper information is included
- The Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) prefers that consultation with others is completed prior to their involvement. The outcome of those consultations should be included in the consultation package submitted to SHPD.
- Every consultation package should include how the APE was developed and determined to be sufficient.
- Be sure that when assessing effects, visual or auditory disturbances are included.
- Be mindful of historic properties with significance to all ethnic groups, not just Native Hawaiians.



The floor was opened for questions.

Question from the consulting parties: If we could not attend in-person, are we still able to submit comments?

Response and discussion: Yes, as we work through various sections and drafts, they will be sent out to the group who has expressed interest in consulting on this Programmatic Agreement. No decisions were made this week, as the intent was to listen to the consulting parties and understand how to best make this work for everyone involved.

Question from the consulting parties: This seems like it is only focused on Native Hawaiian historic properties, were other ethnic groups considered and involved?

Response and discussion: Though the discussions this week tended to focus on Native Hawaiian historic properties, this Programmatic Agreement covers all properties that fall under the definition of historic properties in the National Historic Preservation Act. The properties must be eligible for listing or listed in the National Register of Historic Places, which requires meeting specific criteria. This criteria is not specific to any ethnic group and any member of the public is able to consult with us or comment on this Programmatic Agreement.

Question from the consulting parties: Does this Programmatic Agreement apply to all military services?

Response and discussion: While use of this Programmatic Agreement is not mandated, it is available for all military services to utilize for REPI projects.

Question from the consulting parties: What about the Army's Warfighter Program Comment? Doesn't it include REPI and off-installation activities?

Response and discussion: The Army's Warfighting Readiness Program Comment is currently up for vote with the Advisory Council and we do not know if it will be executed as written. Whether the Army chooses to utilize this Programmatic Agreement for REPI projects is up to the Army.

Question from the consulting parties: Within the proposed Army Program Comment, it states the Army will not enter into separate agreements and they plan on cancelling agreements, isn't that correct?

Response and discussion: The Army's Warfighting Readiness Program Comment is currently up for vote with the Advisory Council and we do not know if it will be executed as written. Whether the Army chooses to utilize this Programmatic Agreement for REPI projects is up to the Army.

The line was held open for an additional thirty minutes to allow for any additional questions or comments.

The meeting concluded at 10:00 AM HST.



**Signatories and Consulting Parties: NHOs and Interested Parties**

First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Virtual 02/26/2026
Heather	Abbey		Hanalei, Hulē‘ia, and Kīlauea Point NWRs	
Melva	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
William J.	Aila	, Jr.	Hui Mālama O Mākua	
Kunane	Aipoalani		Na ‘Ohana Papa O Mānā	
Kaku Rodney K.	Akau		Kalehuaikawai	
Emma	Anders		HI Sentinel Landscape	Present
Pelika	Andrade		Nā Maka Onaona	
Sheila	Asuncion		State Historic Preservation Division	
Lyle	Auld		USAG-HI	
Jonathan	Barkmeier		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
Connie	Barnett		HQMC LF/MCICOM GF	
Connie	Barnett		USMC	
Kristie	Baynard		Marstel-day (DON)	
Eric	Beckley		USArmy HQDA DCS G-9	
Dawn	Beyer		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	Present
Nathan	Bomysoad		USN	Present
Terry	Bowers		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Katherine	Bragdon		USMC	
Nancy	Brighton		USACE DFPO	
Michelle	Brown		SAF/IEE	
Justin	Buller		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	
Jordan	Calpito		State Historic Preservation Division	
Jordan	Calpito		Hawai‘i Island Burial Council	
Richard	Carlin		USN	
Ryan	Catlett		USMC IANDL	
Alexis	Catsambis		USN	
Dawn N. S.	Chang		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mason	Chock		Mālama Hulē‘ia	
Richard	Chong		USAF AFRL Energy	
Lisa	Cipolla		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
June	Cleghorn		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
Benjamin	Colbert		USN CNO	
Tammy	Conkle		USN	Present
Patricia	Conte		USN COMNAVFACSYSCOM	
Anne	Coyle		Mālama Hulē‘ia	Present
Dayna	Cramer		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	Host
Dave	Crowley		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Lynette	Cruz		Mālama Mākua	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Virtual 02/26/2026
Valerie	Curtis		USAF Installation & Mission Support	Present
Mahealani	Cypher		Ko'olau Foundation	
Leimana	DaMate		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	Present
Anthony	Danesi		USN NAVFAC SYSCOM	
Thomas	Daubert		Friends of Kaua'i Wildlife Refuges	
Veronica	Davison		USMC	
Tara	Del Fierro		USN	Present
Karen	Desilets			Present
Lawrence	DeVries			
Dietrix	Duhaylonsod		Ka'uikiokapō	
Ashely	Dunn		USN NAVFAC PAC PEARL	
Noel	Dunn		USN	
Hazel	Estrellado		BAH	
Lu	Faborito		Makaha Hawaiian Civic Club	
Amy	Farak		USN	Present
Aubrey	Farrell		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Kiersten	Faulkner		Historic Hawai'i Foundation	Present
Michael	Faye			
Kimberley	Ferrara		USN INDOPACOM	
Kamakana	Ferreira			
Stacy Kealohalani	Ferreira		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
E. Kalani	Flores		Flores-Case 'Ohana	
Peleke	Flores		Kanaka	
Susan	Fong		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	Present
Danielle	Foster		National Park Service	
Mark	Fox		Pacific Islands Innovation Landscape Network	Present
Wendy	Gady		State of Hawai'i Agribusiness Development Corporation	
Rachel	Glover		MCB Hawaii (USMC CR Program)	
Rick	Gmirkin		National Park Service	
Hannah	Griggs			
Candace	Grimes		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
David	Guldenzopf		USArmy HQDA ASA IEE	
Carmen	Guzman-Simpliciano		Kingdom Pathways	Present
Edward	Halealoha Ayau		Hui Iwi Kuamoo	
Kelly	Hallett		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Katerina	Hardegen		USMC MCBH	Present
Cy	Harris		'Ohana Kekumano	
Jennifer	Harty		USN COMNAVFACSYSCOM	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Virtual 02/26/2026
Emily	Hauck		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Michael	Haynes			
Juliana	Henkel		USN DCNO N4	
Kawaikapuikalani	Hewett		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
William "Willie" Aweau	Ho'ohuli		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Suzanne	Ho'ohuli Ely		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Deborah	Ho'ohuli McKinney		Ka 'Ohana O Ho'ohuli	
Wyatt	Hue		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Kaweni	Ibarra		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Savannah	Jimenez		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
James	Johnson		USN CNO	
ShoShannah	Ka'Ho'Opi'i		House of Nobles	
Matthew	Ka'Ho'Opi'i		House of Nobles	
Shauna	Kahiapo		Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs	
Debralee	Kailiwai-Ray			
George	Kailiwai-Ray			
Noelani	Kalipi		OSW OUSW A-S	
Leialoha "Rocky"	Kaluhiwa		Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Ku'ikeokalani	Kamakea-Ohelo		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Ryan	Kanaka'ole		Department of Land and Natural Resources	
Mapuana	Kapu Lukela		'Ohana Kapu	
Mossman	Kaulana		USN	
Daniel	Kawaiaea	Jr.	National Park Service	
Hilary	Kawelo		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Richard	Keaoopuaokalani NeSmith			
Sarah	Kettles		OSW REPI	Host
Kekuewa	Kikilo		Nohopapa Hawai'i	
Mary	Kodama		State Historic Preservation Division	
Christopher	Koepple		USAF AFCEC	Present
Leina'ala	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Manual	Kuloloio		Kuloloi'a Lineage- Ke Kai O Kuloloi'a	
Tiana	Lackey		USArmy IMCOM Pacific	
Frank	Land		National Park Service	



**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Virtual 02/26/2026
Melia	Lane-Kamahele		National Park Service	
Susan	Lebo		State Historic Preservation Division	
Tom	Lenchanko		‘Aha Kūkaniloko Ko‘a Mana Mea Ola Kanaka Mauli Hoalii Iku Pau	
Morgen	Liebert		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Elizaebth	Liggett		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Louis	Littleton	III	OLDCC	
Kauwilakalaokalani "K"	Liufau		Mālama Ka‘ū Foundation	
Rhonda	Loh		National Park Service	
Jaime	Loichinger		Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	
Ikaika	Mahoe		USArmy USARPAC	
Lilliane	Makaila		Department of Hawaiian Home Lands	
William	Manley		Navy Env Region	
Brooke	McFarland		Navy PMRF	
Rebecca	Meyer		USAF AF-A4	
Kaulana	Mossman		Navy PMRF	
Shane	Nelsen		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Jodi	Ney		DON	
Cara	Oba		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	
William	O'Brien		Ka‘uikiokapō	
Jennifer	Oelke Farley		ODASD(EMR)/OASD(EI&E)	
Keone	Oldroyd		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Nicole	Olmsted		USN NAVFAC Hawaii Pearl	
Jeff	Pantaleo		NAVFAC	
Zachary	Payne		Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation	
Kaipo	Perez		OSW	
Kathryn	Plimpton		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Jennifer	Podbesek		USN DCNO N4	
Andrew	Porth		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Jessica	Puff		State Historic Preservation Division	
Kamaile	Puluole-Mitchell		Office of Hawaiian Affairs	
Skye Kolealani	Razon-Olds		‘Ohana Nalani Olds	
Scotty	Reiz-Moniz		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Walter	Ritte		‘Āina Momona	
Alice	Roberts		USArmy USARPAC	
Keith, Bruce, and Leiana	Robinson		Niihau Robinson Ohana	




**REPI** UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



First Name	Last Name	Suffix	Org 1	Virtual 02/26/2026
James	Rodrigues		Mālama Mākua	
Erica	Rohr		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	Host
Jason	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Shawn	Rose		USAF AFCEC	
Leah	Rothbaum		USMC MCB Hawaii	
Alison	Rubio		USAF AFCEC	
Rob	Rule		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	
Mary	Schmidt		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	
Steven	Sekscienski		USArmy HQDA DCS G9	
Girair	Simon		USN	
Joseph K.	Simpliciano	Jr.	Kingdom Pathways	Present
Nick	Sinчек		Marstel-day (DON)	
Roland	Sosa		USN COMNAVREG Pearl	
Lisa Oshiro	Suganuma		Office of Native Hawaiian Relations	
Alicia	Sylvester		OSD Tribal Relations	
Kristin	Thomasgard		OSW OUSW A-S	
Erin	Tyson		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
Mahpiya	Vanderbilt		USAF	
Kaley	Vatalaro		ODASW(EMR)/OASW(EI&E)	Host
Susan	Veazey		OLDCC	
Michelle	Volkema		OSW Cultural Resources and Office of General Council	Host
Wendy	Wichman		USMC Hawaii CR Program	
JR Keonekapu	Williams		‘Ohana Kapu	
Doug	Wilson		National Park Service	
Jason	Winner		Marstel-day (DON)	
Scotty	Wong		~Friends of Waimānalo nonprofit organization ~Ohana Hui Venture	
Mel	Yokoyama		Mālama Ka‘ū Foundation	
Emma	Yuen		Division of Forestry and Wildlife	

 **REPI**  
READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM




## National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Programmatic Agreement Consultation Meeting for REPI Program Projects in Hawai'i


Thursday, February 26, 2026 9:00 AM - 12:00 PM HST


1

### Meeting Agenda


- Welcome and Opening Remarks
- Overview of previous days
- Substantive Comments
- Questions & Wrap-up



 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



2



**REPI**  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

## Overview

3

## Attendees

- REPI Program, Military Departments
- Cultural and Natural Resources, Military
- Aha Moku
- Office of Hawaiian Affairs
- Hawaii State Historic Preservation Division
- Department of Forestry and Wildlife
- Native Hawaiian Organizations
  - 'Ohana Nalani Olds
  - Native Hawaiian Community Development Corporation




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

4


## Day 1 - Summary

- **PA Objectives and Consultation Plan**
- **Typical REPI Partners and Projects**
  - More information can be found on DENIX
- **Proposed: Activities Excluded From Further Consultation**
  - Most proposed exclusions require specific parameters, standards, best management practices or caveats
  - DOFAW and SHPD to provide best practices and suggested language for consideration



## Day 2 - Summary

- **Proposed Consultation Process**
  - Phases to be consolidated where appropriate
  - Potential to use standardized forms and requiring specific information, may benefit 6E
- **Defining the Area of Potential Effect (APE)**
  - Recommendation to develop a specific list of considerations for each project type
  - APE should call out any limiting factors
  - APE may need to include the whole of a traditional cultural property, project specific




## Day 2 - Summary


- We want to thank the Aha Moku for being present during these consultation meetings.
- We want to recognize Rocky Kaluhiwa and Leimana DaMate for providing a special presentation to attendees.
- We are grateful that you were willing to share your knowledge and insights with us; we recognize the respect shown to us and the program and we look forward to our continued work together.



## Day 2 - Summary

- **Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects**
  - Federal Agency should 'show their work' on how they determined effects
  - Should outline if temporary effects are adverse and long term benefit the historic property in the long run
- **Mitigating Adverse Effects**
  - Specific mitigation may or may not be part of this agreement







**REPI**  
 READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

## Substantive Comments

9

## Substantive Comments

- **Proposed Consultation Process**
  - Phases can and should be consolidated as long as the proper information is included
  - Best to consult with Aha Moku, lineal descendants, and the public (other ethnic groups that consider an area significant) prior to consulting with SHPD

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

10

## Substantive Comments

- **Defining the Area of Potential Effect (APE)**
  - How the APE was developed must be outlined in any consultation letter
- **Assessing Effects and Resolving Adverse Effects**
  - Consideration for cultural practices should be considered – visual and auditory disruptions, access by practitioners and the public, changes in traffic, etc.
  - Special considerations may need to be given to non-Hawaiian ethnic historic properties



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



11



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Continuing Consultation

12

## Continuing Consultation

- Streamlining consultation by combining phases
- Standardizing consultation packages
- Developing checklist for phases
- Template forms to simplify reviews
  
- Defining the Area of Potential Effect (APE)
- Identifying historic properties
- Assessing effects and resolving adverse effects
- Mitigating adverse effects



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WAR  
**REPI** READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



13



# REPI

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM



## Questions and Wrap-up

**Programmatic Agreement Link:** <https://denix.osd.mil/auth/hawaii-repi>

**REPI Program Link:** <https://www.REPI.mil>

**Email:** [osd.culturalresources@mail.mil](mailto:osd.culturalresources@mail.mil)

14