

Mitigation Issues and Policy

Susan-Marie Stedman NOAA Fisheries Office of Habitat Conservation



Why a NOAA Policy on Mitigation?

Mitigation is an important part of NOAA programs

Mitigation is evolving quickly

Growing private sector investment

New situations for staff

Only major agency without mitigation policy

Existing NOAA Guidance on Mitigation

Interagency Guidance on Mitigation Banking and ILF

- 1995 and 2000
- Now obsolete

California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy

- 2014
- Expanding to entire west coast

West Coast Region
Conservation Banking
Guidance

- 2015
- Broad, with emphasis on ESA

NRDA Banking Guidance

- In response to Pres Memo
- Recently completed

Policy Development Rules

Goal: A Mitigation Policy for Coastal Resources (broadly defined)

Don't Re-invent the Wheel

Stakeholder Involvement

Mitigation Policy Game Plan


Overarching Policy

- Universal issues
- Coastal issues

Followed by Guidance, as needed

- Program-specific Guidance
- Regional Guidance

Tool Development



Mitigation Policy Timeline

Sept 2016 – June 2017

- Develop Internal Issue Paper
- Stakeholder Input/Scoping

Feb 2017-Dec 2017

- NOAA Internal Workshop on Mitigation
- Create draft Mitigation Policy
- Stakeholder Input on Issues and Approaches

Dec 2017 -Jan 2018

- Draft Mitigation Policy circulated within NOAA
- Explore options for finalizing

Mitigation Major Issues -Universal

Mitigation goals

Focus on our authorities

Sequencing/Hierarchy

• Differing approaches by program area

High value/Hard to replace

• Emphasize avoidance

Invasive Species

Removal as restoration

Mitigation Major Issues - Coastal

Landscape/seascape approach

What works best for coastal areas?

Out of kind compensation

Shallow water unvegetated, corals, seagrass

Out of coastal area compensation

High ecological value vs high sociological value

Preservation

Special emphasis in coastal/urban areas?



Your Thoughts?

susan.stedman@noaa.gov

